

**In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-III**

**A.B.P. No. 820 of 2026**

**Nagar P.S. Case No.- 466/2026**

**Ravi Jha**

.....**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**The State of Bihar**

.....**Opposite Party**

**Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:-**  
**Ld. Counsel for the State :-**

**Shri Dharmendra Kumar**  
**Shri P.N. Singh, APP**

**Date of hearing/Disposal: 10-06-2026**

**ORDER**

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Ravi Jha who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nagar P.S. Case No. 466/2026 for the offences registered U/S 126(2), 109, 352, 79, 3(5) of B.N.S. and 27 Arms Act. The copy of the same has been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner has six criminal antecedents. The petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence at all. The petitioner has no role in the said occurrence and has been implicated in such false and fabricated case without any substantive basis. The entire allegation of firing is highly doubtful and improbable inasmuch as no injury whatsoever has been caused to any person despite the allegation of repeated firing at close range. The petitioner is the husband of Nidhi Jha and due to strained matrimonial relationship, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The matter has been amicably compromised between the petitioner and the victim. Petitioner is local man and ready to willing to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of this court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The prosecution story according to the FIR in brief is that on 23.04.2026 at about 07:30 P.M. informant of this case namely Babita Devi was sitting at her house along with her daughter namely Nidhi Jha. At that time petitioner, who is the son-in-law of the informant came there along with other co-accused and abused and threatened them. In the meantime, the petitioner took out pistol from his waist and fired upon informant but anyhow, informant saved herself. It is further alleged that the petitioner again fired upon Nidhi Jha and abused her. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the CD. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered for the offence punishable U/S 126(2), 109, 352, 79, 3(5) of B.N.S. and 27 Arms Act. against the accused person. Though, the petitioner is named accused of FIR, and there is allegation against him that he fired bullet, but injury report not found. Petitioner was not apprehended at the spot. Vacant bullet has not been recovered by police rather produced by the informant. Moreover, the matter has been compromised between the petitioner and victim namely Nidhi Jha and a joint compromise petition is available on the record. Prima facie, there appears family dispute between the parties. Anyone has not sustained any injury. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence and he is ready to co-operate during investigation and trial.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of accused petitioner namely Ravi Jha hereby **allowed** and the petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 15,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)  
Sunil Kumar Singh-III  
D.A.S.J.-III, Katihar  
10.06.2026