

Witness present and duly sworn in on:11.12.2023.

Chief examination by Shri.HTV Advocate for respondent

Today I have produced following document to support of this case:

Ex.R.3 Permit extract

Cross examination by Shri.CTS advocate for petitioner.

It is true to suggest that on the date of accident policy was valid. It is true to suggest that, I am not the eye witness. It is false to suggest that, auto driver had valid DL on the date of accident. It is true to suggest that, we issued the 134 (C) notice to auto owner but he had not given any reply. It is false to suggest that, auto had valid permit on the date of accident. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely to escape from the liability. We can examine the RTO.

RE-examination:NIL.

(Evidence deposed by the witness is computerized to my dictation in the open court).

R O I & A C

XV ASCJ, Bengaluru