



UPKJ010007872026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, KANNAUJ.  
Presiding Officer: Narendra Kumar Jha, H.J.S. UP02007  
Bail Application No. 343 of 2026

Gaurav Yadav son of Ashok Yadav alias Munua, resident of village Dharnidharpur, Nagariya, P.S Vishungarh, District Kannauj.

.....Applicant/Accused.

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Prosecution.

16.03.2026

Disposal the Bail Application

The first Bail application has been filed on behalf of Accused/applicant **Gaurav Yadav**, in Case Crime No. 16 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 352, 109, 351(2) of the B.N.S., P.S. Vishungarh, District Kannauj.

The prosecution case in brief is that the informant Gaurav Pradhan submitted a written tahrir at the concerned Police Station stating that on 11.02.2026 he was returning to his village from Chhibramau along with his companion Ajay, son of Vinod. On the way between Nadanpur Unchi Puliya and the canal embankment (*bamba*), they saw some persons already standing there. When they reached near them, those persons came in front of them and stopped them. A motorcycle was parked across the road. They identified Gaurav Yadav and Abhay Yadav alias Tinku, sons of Ashok Yadav alias Munua, along with four to five other persons, all residents of Village Dharni Dhar Pur Nagariya, Police Station Vishungarh, District Kannauj. The informant and his companion managed to tilt their motorcycle and escape. It is alleged that the aforesaid persons fired at the informant from behind with a country-made pistol (*tamancha*), abused him, and chased him for about 10 meters, threatening that he had escaped that day but would be killed if found again. A prayer was made for legal action.

I have heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the applicant/accused as well as the learned D.G.C. (Criminal) for the State and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the F.I.R. was lodged belatedly. As per the F.I.R., six persons were alleged to have been involved in firing however, no injury was caused to the informant or to the another persons who was with him at the time of the alleged incident. It is further submitted that political enmity and rivalry are the only reasons for lodging the F.I.R. The anticipatory bail was rejected by

this court on the ground of criminal history, however it I submitted that wrong information was given to the court in this regard. The present applicant is involved in only one case, bearing case crime No. 24 of 2024 under section 8/20 N.D.P.S. Act.

The learned D.G.C. (Criminal) appearing for the State submits that it was the fortune of the informant no bullet was hit him. The brother of the present applicant is a notorious criminal of the locality who had killed a police constable.

From the contents of the F.I.R., it appears that when the informant along with another person was coming on a motorcycle, six persons tried to stop him and firing was made at him when he tried to escape. It also transpires that though the allegation is that the firing was made from the very close range but no injury was sustained by any of the persons. So far the submission of the state to the effect that the brother of the present applicant/accused was responsible of killing of a police constable is concerned, it is no doubt that the presnet bail application is not of that person. Taking into consideration that no injury was sustained by either of the persons who were on the motorcycle, though the allegation is that the firing was made from the very close range and also considering that the applicant/accused is in jail since 02.03.2026, it appears to be a fit case for enlarging the applicant on bail.

#### **ORDER**

The first bail application No. 343 of 2026 moved by the accused/applicant **Gaurav Yadav**, in Case Crime No. 16 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 352, 109, 351(2) of the B.N.S., P.S. Vishungarh, District Kannauj, is allowed. The applicant/accused is directed to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of **₹80,000 (Eighty Thousand Rupees)** and two reliable sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Magistrate.

**(Narendra Kumar Jha)**  
**Sessions Judge,**  
**Kannauj**  
**J.O. CodeUP02007**