



**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jaunpur
Present : Sri Sushil Kumar Shashi (H.J.S)
Anticipatory Bail Application No. -384/2026
CNR No. UPJP010043202026**

1. Vikash Gupta S/o Sohan Gupta, R/o Pathana, P.S. Sarai Khwaja,
District Jaunpur.

Versus

State of U.P.,

Mr. Nitesh Kumar, Advocate for the applicant,
D.G.C. Criminal for the State.



**Anticipatory Bail Application No. -385/2026
CNR No. UPJP010043212026**

1. Umesh Bind,
2. Ashish Bind

both S/o Jhinkinnu Bind, R/o Pathana, P.S. Sarai Khwaja, District
Jaunpur.

Versus

State of U.P.,

Mr. Gyanendra Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the applicant,
D.G.C. Criminal for the State.



**Anticipatory Bail Application No. - 408/2026
CNR No. UPJP010045652026**

1. Sharda Gupta,
2. Mohan Gupta,

both S/o Shobhnath Gupta, R/o Pathana, P.S. Sarai Khwaja, District
Jaunpur.

Versus

State of U.P.,

Mr. Padmakar Upadhyay, Advocate for the applicant,
D.G.C. Criminal for the State.

Crime No.- 105/2026
U/s- 191(2), 109(1), 115(2), 352,
351(2), 324(4), 117(2) B.N.S.
& 7 CLA Act,
P.S- Sarai Khwaja, District- Jaunpur.

02-07-2026

All the anticipatory bail applications are relating to same crime, so, for the sake of convenience all the applications are being disposed of simultaneously.

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 384/2026, 385/2026 and 408/2026 have been moved by applicants/accused Vikash Gupta, Umesh Bind, Ashish Bind, Sharda Gupta and Mahesh Gupta respectively in Crime No. 105/2026, under Sections 191(2), 109(1), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 117(2) B.N.S. & 7 CLA Act, P.S. Sarai Khwaja, District Jaunpur.

The prosecution story in brief is that complainant Akash Yadav lodged an FIR at P.S. Sarai Khwaja, Jaunpur alleging therein on 04.03.2026, at about 03.00 PM day, due to old dispute, the O.Ps. Sarda Gupta, Panna Gupta, Mohan Gupta, Vikash, Vishal, Umesh Bind, Ashish Bind and Nilesh Bind came with intension to cause death and assaulted his family members. The O.Ps. broke the car.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned DGC criminal for the state and perused the materials available on record.

Learned counsel for the applicants contended that accused/applicants are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. There is long delay in lodging the FIR. Applicants were not present on the spot at the time of occurrence. There is no eye witness of the alleged incident. There is a cross case of the same incident. Injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. No specific role of the accused/applicants has been assigned in the FIR. There is no evidence available on record. They have anticipation to be arrested by the police concerned and prayed to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Learned DGC (Criminal) has opposed the anticipatory bail application and alleged that accused/applicants along with other co-accused persons assaulted the complainant's family members.

Heard and perused the materials available on record.

In FIR, allegations of extending threat to kill, abusing and assaulting, damaging the car have been levelled against the accused/applicants and other co-accused persons. The FIR has been registered under Sections 191(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 110 BNS, but during investigation Section 109(1) BNS in place of 110 BNS and 117(2) BNS added and 7 CLA Act also added. The injured Jayprakash Yadav has sustained 07 injuries, injured Sushma Yadav has sustained 06 injuries, injured Pritam has sustained 03 injuries, injured Mahendra Yadav has sustained 08 injuries, injured Nirmala and Pradeep Kumar have sustained 02 injuries in the occurrence. In X-Ray reports of Jayprakash and Mahendra Yadav, NAD noted. In X-Ray report of Nirmala, rt elbow showing fracture distal end. The said injury is said to be grievous in nature. Injury reports are available on record. The complainant and other prosecution witnesses have supported the prosecution case. Evidences available on record shows the active involvement of the accused/applicants. The investigation is still going on. Co-accused Pannalal Gupta, Vishal and Nilesh have not been enlarged on Regular Bail by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2026. The allegation against the accused/applicant is serious in nature.

Considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the accusation and materials available on record, anticipatory bail application is liable to be rejected.

Accordingly, these anticipatory bail of accused/applicants Vikash Gupta, Umesh Bind, Ashish Bind, Sharda Gupta and Mahesh Gupta respectively in Crime No. 105/2026, under Sections 191(2), 109(1), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 117(2) B.N.S. & 7 CLA Act, P.S. Sarai Khwaja, District Jaunpur is rejected.

A copy of this order be placed on Anticipatory Bail Application nos. 385/2026, Umesh Bind and one other vs State and 408/2026 Sharda Gupta and one other vs State.

Date: 02.07.2026
Kajal Sahu/-

(Sushil Kumar Shashi)
J.O. Code:- UP 2001
Sessions Judge,
Jaunpur.