



UPHR010015162026

**In the Court of Sunil Kumar Singh-III, Special Judge, SC/ST (P.A) Act,
Hardoi.**

Sessions Case No.- 137/2026

Manoj and others ----- Applicant/Accused

Versus

U.P. Govt. ----- Opposite Party.

Surrender Application dated- 11.03.2026,
Bail Application No.- 670/2026,
Crime No.- 367/2024,
U/S-115(2), 352 BNS
& 3(1)(ॐ), 3(2)(V)a SC/ST Act,
Police Station- Kachhauna,
District Hardoi.

Date- 11.03.2026

Order to furnish personal bond without taken into custody

1. Vide letter no. 14293/Admin. G-II 04.11.2025, the Hon'ble High Court circulated the case law of **Bacchi Devi Vs. State of U.P. and Another**, Criminal Misc. Application U/S 528 BNSS, No.- 6400 of 2025, wherein Hon'ble High Court passed the following directions in Para 38 of the judgment:-

“(i) All District Judges shall ensure that, in case where the charge-sheet has been filed without arrest- whether because custodial interrogation was not effected during investigation by Investigating Officer, or the accused had secured anticipatory bail/protective orders under Article 226 of the Constitution or Section 528 of the BNSS and duly cooperated during investigation- the trial court **shall not remand the accused to judicial custody upon appearance** pursuant to summons, nor insist upon filing of regular or anticipatory bail applications. The accused shall be permitted to appear and furnish a personal bond at the first instance, in terms of *Musheer Alam (supra)* and *Satender Kumar Antil (supra)*. The requirement of surety under Section 91 BNSS may be considered subsequently at the court's discretion to ensure appearance of the accused.”

2. Vide office report dated 11.03.2026, the office reported that the charge-sheet has been filed against the accused without arrest, hence, aforesaid case law perfectly applies to the facts of the present case.

3. In view of the aforesaid direction passed by the Hon'ble High Court, the accused namely **Manoj and Babu Ali** are directed to furnish a personal bond of Rs. 25,000 each without taken into custody.

4. As regards the provisions of Section 15A (3) and (5) of the SC/ST (P.A.) Act, it is observed that since the accused are not taken into custody, no question of bail arises at this stage and therefore no notice to the complainant-victim is required. Nevertheless, the right of the complainant-victim to be heard under Section 15A (3) and (5) shall remain preserved for any future proceedings relating to bail or discharge. Accordingly, surrender application and bail applications are disposed of.

Date- 11.03.2026

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
Special Judge, SC/ST (P.A.) Act,
Hardoi.
UP6076