

Order below Exh. 01.

Police has filed a charge-sheet u/s. 173 of Criminal Procedure Code ('the Code' in short) for the offence alleged to have been committed by the accused u/s. **188 of IPC** alongwith other offences. As per Section 195 of the Code, only complaint defined u/s. 2(d) of the Code is to be filed and as per the definition of the complaint, police report is specifically excluded. Undisputedly, police has filed a charge-sheet and not a complaint defined u/s. 2(d) of the Code and therefore, cognizance on basis of charge-sheet is barred. I have taken into consideration the ratios laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in the cases of (1) Kanjibhai Babaldas Patel v. State of Gujarat - Criminal Misc. Application No. 348 of 1995; Govardhankumar Thakoredas Asrani v. State of Gujarat - 2018(1) GLH 63; C. Muniappan v. State of Tamil Nadu - (2010)9 SCC 567; M. S. Ahlawat v. State of Haryana - AIR 2000 SC 168; Daulat Ram v. State of Punjab - AIR 1962 SC 1206; Babita Lila v. Union of India - AIR 2016 SC 4061; Bechar Vala v. State of Gujarat - 2002 eGLR_HC 10005365; Arundhati Bhattacharya v. State of Gujarat - Cr.M.A. 12818-2014; Merubhai Mandanbhai Chandra v. State of Gujarat - Cr.M.A. 17286-2017; Shahidkhan Vahidkhan Pathan v. State of Gujarat - Cr.M.A. No. 17473 of 2020 (DOJ 14-06-2021) and including the recent Judgment in case of Hardik Bharatbhai Patel v. State of Gujarat - Special Criminal Application No. 7425 of 2020 (DOJ 01-12-2021). Almost in all the said cases, Hon'ble Superior Courts have laid down the ratio, by and large, in these words : The Provisions of Section 195 of the Code are mandatory and non-compliance of it would vitiate the prosecution and all the consequential orders. Only the complaint as defined u/s. 2(d) would be mandatory and not the charge-sheet. If the offences falling under the purview of Section 195 of the Code and other penal provisions are not able to be separated or segregated, such other penal provisions are also barred to be taken cognizance of on basis of charge-sheet. Therefore, this Court has no jurisdiction to take cognizance on basis of charge-sheet as barred u/s. 195 of the Code.

Police has also filed charge-sheet, in addition to above offences, for other offences i.e. **Section 131 of Gujarat Police Act**, but going through the charge-sheet and allied documents, all the offences seem to have been committed in the course of one transaction. This Court is very well aware of the ratios laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Pankaj Aggarwal v. State of Delhi reported in 2001(3) Crimes (SC) 361; ratios laid down by Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in the cases of Kandhal Sarman Jadeja v. State of Gujarat - 2019(2) GLR 1462 and Nayan Harishbhai Kankhara v. State of Gujarat - Cr.M.A. No. 23009-2015, but as all the offences alleged are inter-

connected and can't be split from one another, this Court would not be in a position to take cognizance as barred by Section 195 of the Code.

Recently on 21st September, 2020, Hon'ble Bombay High Court (Nagpur Bench) has quashed FIR and charge-sheet filed u/Ss. 269, 270 of I.P.C, Section 51 of Disaster Management Act, Section 3 of the Epidemic Diseases Act, 1987 & Section 14 of the Foreign Act being barred by Section 195 of the Code in the case of HLA SHWE v. State of Maharashtra in Criminal Application (APL) No.453-2020.

Thus, as per the above discussion, the whole proceedings are barred by Section 195 of the Code and are not tenable. In light of the aforementioned decisions and as per the ratio laid down in the Case of K. Prabhakar Rao v/s. State of A. P., decided on 25/11/2014 by the Hon'ble Apex Court, the proceedings of the present Criminal Case should be stopped u/s Section 258 of the Code as this Court is lacking Jurisdiction. Hence, following order.

- :: Final Order :: -

Proceedings of the present case are hereby ordered to be stopped u/s. 258 of the Criminal Procedure Code as barred by Section 195 of the Code and thereby, the accused of the present case is discharged.

Appropriate authority is at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings afresh as per the provisions of law.

Bail & bond be treated as bonds u/s. 437-A of the Code for the next 6 months and thereafter, it shall stand cancelled.

This Order is pronounced in the Special Sitting of Magistrates.

Ahmedabad
Date:- **12-11-22**

(S. G.DODIYA),
Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate,
Court No.16, Ahmedabad.
GJ00902