

In The Court of Sessions Judge, Gonda

Bail Application No. 1237/2026

CNR No. UPGD01003635-2026

Ajeet Singh, aged about 47 years, S/o Radhey Shayam Singh, R/o Kaimi, P.S.- Motiganj,
District- Gonda.

...Accused/Applicant

Versus

State of Uttar Pradesh

... Opposite party

Date: 01.06.2026

1- This bail application has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Ajeet Singh**, U/s 483 BNSS in relation to case crime no. 73/2026, U/s 109(1), 115(2), 352, 351(3) BNS, P.S.- Motiganj, District- Gonda. Accused/applicant is in judicial custody.

2- Brief facts of the first information report is that on 05.04.2026 at around 10.00 PM, because of old animosity, opponents Ajeet Singh, Seema Singh @ Abbi Singh and Suneeta Singh who are resident of complainant's village. It is next alleged that Seema Singh @ Abbi Singh and Suneeta Singh caught hold complainant's mother Satyabhama and Ajeet Singh assaulted on the head of complainant's mother with Kudal and when they again assaulted complainant's mother bend (tircha) her head and resultantly the kudal hit on the right side of the temple and caused deep injury. On noise, villager save complainant's mother life and subsequently accused persons ran away from the spot extending threat of life. It is next submitted by the complainant that his mother is under treatment and still admitted in ICU.

3- On the basis of above information, on 6.04.2026 at about 11.54, a first information report U/s 115(2), 352, 351(3) BNS has been registered against 03 named persons (including present accused/applicant) in aforesaid case crime number at police station concerned .

4- It is contended on behalf of accused/applicant that he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the instant case due to animosity. Accused/applicant has no relation with the alleged incident. There is land dispute between the parties. At the time of alleged incident accused/applicant was not on the spot but was present at hospital for his treatment in relation to FIR no. 76/2026. Alleged incidence is of night and there is no source of light. There is no independent witness for alleged incident. Injuries of the injured persons are simple in nature. FIR is delayed and no reason for the same was shown. There is contradiction in FIR version and statement of complainant. All the allegations levelled against the accused/applicant are baseless. On the above grounds, learned counsel for the accused/applicant prayed to enlarge the accused/applicant on bail.

5- Learned DGC (Crl.) for the State opposed the instant bail application and argued that accused/applicant along with other co-accused persons is named in the FIR. On the pointing out of the accused persons weapon i.e. kudal used in the crime has been recovered. One persons i.e complainant's mother is badly injured in instant case having injuries on her vital part. After investigation, police has prepared the charge-sheet against present accused/applicant and others in aforesaid sections. Offence is heinous in nature and maximum punishment for the offence committed in instant case is imprisonment for life. Apart from, this,

co-accused bail application is already rejected by this court and accused/applicant has main role in the alleged incident. Accordingly, prayed to reject this bail application.

6- Heard learned counsel for both the parties and perused the record.

7- From the perusal of record, it transpires that accused/applicant along with other co-accused persons is named in the FIR. Initially FIR has been lodged u/s 115(2), 352, 351(3) BNS. During investigation, on the basis of evidence collected sections 109 (1) BNSS has been added to the case. One person is injured in instant case. In medical report of injured Satyabhama Singh 04 injuries are shown on her body which are as follow:-

i- Stitched wound inverted L shape 8 cm x 13 stitch right side forehead to face. 1 cm lateral to right eye. ii- Blush Black contused swelling 4 cm x 3 cm right side face just below right eye. iii- stitched wound 6 cm x 5 stitch over. Jugalor knotch and iv- Dark red brown abrasion 3 cm x 1 cm back of right fore arm 2 cm above right wrist joint.

In statement u/s 180 BNSS complainant, injured and eye-witness Kamlesh Singh, Shivnandan Singh have supported the prosecution version and stated to the I.O. that on the date of alleged incident at around 10.00 p.m. co-accused persons caught hold Satyabhama Singh and accused/applicant Ajeet Singh assaulted on her head with kudal and caused grievous injuries. Apart from this, on the instigation of accused/applicant Ajeet Singh weapon used in the crime i.e. Kudal has been recovered. After investigation police has filed the charge-sheet against present accused/applicant and others in aforesaid sections. Maximum punishment for the offence committed in the instant case is life imprisonment. Offence is heinous in nature. Apart from this co-accused persons Seema Singh @ Abbi Singh and Smita Singh bail application has already been rejected by this court and accused/applicant has main role in the alleged incident.

8- Accordingly, in light of above fact and circumstances of the case and seeing the nature and gravity of the offence, in my opinion, it is not a fit case for bail.

Order

Bail application no. 1237/2026 moved on behalf of accused/applicant **Ajeet Singh** in relation to case crime no. 73/2026, U/s 109(1), 115(2), 352, 351(3) BNS, P.S.- Motiganj, District- Gonda is hereby **rejected**.

Dated : 01.06.2026

(Durg Narain Singh)
Sessions Judge,
Gonda
ID no. : UP 5863