

KACB010004222023



**IN THE COURT OF THE I-ADDL. DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE AT CHIKKABALLAPUR.**

Dated this the 25th day of April, 2025.

: PRESENT :

Sri. SHIVAPRASAD K.B., B.Com., LL.B.,
I-Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapur.

S.C.No.17/2023

COMPLAINANT: The State of Karnataka,
Rep. by Bengaluru Rural Railway
Police Station, Yashwanthapur,
Bengaluru District

(By learned Public Prosecutor)

V/S

- Accused :**
- 1.** Rajesh S/o Sanjeevappa,
Aged about 30 years.
 - 2.** Sindhu @ Sindhu, D/o Dasappa,
Aged about 27 years,

Both are R/at Thiriyuru Village,
Kodigehalli Hobli,
Madhugiri Taluk,
Tumkur District.
 - 3.** Dasappa, S/o Gangappa,
Aged about 51 years,
R/at Hosuru, Ambedkar Colony,
Gowribidanur Taluk,
Chikkaballapur.

(Rep. by Sri.H.V.Venkatesh,

Advocate)**TABULATION OF EVENTS**

Date of Offence	24.11.2022
Date of report of offence	24.11.2022
Date of arrest of Accused No. 1 and 3	02.12.2022
Date of appearance of Accused No. 2	02.02.2023
Date of release of Accused No.1	06.03.2023
Date of release of Accused No.2	02.02.2023 On Anticipatory Bail Crl.Misc.No. 05/2023
Date of release of Accused No.3	02.02.2023
Period of custody of accused no.1	Year/s Month/s Day/s 00 03 04
Period of custody of accused no.2	Year/s Month/s Day/s 00 00 00
Period of custody of accused no.3	Year/s Month/s Day/s 00 02 00
Name of the complainant	PW.1-Shirisha M. D/o Manjunath
Date of commencement of Recording evidence	20.10.2023
Date of closing evidence	15.04.2025
Offence complained of	Under sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC.
Opinion of the Judge	Accused No.1 to 3 found not guilty for the offences alleged against them.

(SHIVAPRASAD K.B.)

I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapur

J U D G M E N T

PW.15- Shiva Kumar, P.S.I., Bengaluru Rural Railway Police Station, Yashawanthapura, Bengaluru Submitted the charge sheet against the accused No. 1 to 3 for the offenses punishable under sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC.

2. The prosecution case in brief is as under:

The PW.1, 3 and 4 are the children of deceased Gangarathnamma and they are residing at Gowribidanur. Deceased Gangarathnamma had been working at Raju Biryani Center hotel belong to PW.2- Raghu, at Bengaluru Circle, Gowribidanur Town. The accused No.1-Rajesh was also working in the said hotel and he and deceased Gangarathnamma had the illicit relationship between them. The accused No.1 used to visit the house of deceased Gangarathnamma and inducing her, got pledge her gold jewels at Muthoot finance, Gowribidanur Branch and misappropriated her money. After knowing the relationship of deceased Gangarathnamma and accused No.1-Rajesh, his wife accused No.2 and his father-in-law-accused No.3 came near the house of deceased Gangarathnamma, picked up quarrel and

accused no.3 abused her alleging the illicit contact with accused no.1 and uttered to die. Thereafter, the accused no.1 and said Gangarathnamma eloped together. The accused no.2 lodged the compliant at Ex.P.37 before the Kodigenahally police station against the accused no.1 and his sister. 15 days thereafter, the accused no.2, on the pretext of settling the matter, asked the accused no.1 and deceased to come back. Accordingly, the accused No.1 and deceased returned and thereafter, on the pretext of enquiry, on 23.11.2022 at 1.00 noon, secured the deceased to the Police Station. At that time, the accused No.3 abused and uttered indecent languages towards the deceased. Despite the accused no.1 was responsible for such elopement and he received the benefits by pledging jewels of deceased, she was abused in indecent languages, and as such, the deceased Gangarathnamma become upset and feeling insulted, she videographed herself in her Oppo mobile phone at M.O.14, narrating the incidents led her decision to commit suicide and forwarded to the lenova mobile phone of PW.1 at M.O.6 through Whatsapp. Thereafter, on 24.11.2022 during early hours, she herself lied on the railway track and runover by moving Bidar-Yeshwanthpur Express Railway No. 16572 at Railway Kilometer No. 79/600-700, Down

Town line, in between Gowribidanur-Vidurashwatha Railway Station, thus she committed suicide.

3. The PW.8-George Pentaleon, Loco Pilot of the Bidar-Yashwanthpur Express Railway No.16572, immediately reported the accident to CW.12-Mekala Sriramalu, Railway officer, Gowribidanur. He informed the incident to CW.13-Chandrashekhar Rao, Station Master Doddaballpur Railway station and he laid the report at Ex.P.24 before the CW.17-Nataraju, ASI at Out Post police station Doddaballapur. He forwarded the said report to PW.15 Shivakumar P.S.I. Bengaluru Rural Railway police station, Yeshawanthapur for further action and he registered UDR case in UDR No.213/2022 as per Ex.P.25.

4. The PW.15 visited the incident spot, drew the Panchanama as per Ex.P.10 in the presence of PW.6 and PW.7. The PW.1 identified the dead body of her mother Gangarathnamma. He got snapped photographs at Ex.P.2 to 5. He conducted the inquest Panchanama as per Ex.P.12 in the presence of PW.6 and 7, and seized M.O.1 to 5, 13 and 14. He forwarded the dead body of Gangarathnamma to M.S.Ramaiah hospital for postmortem examination. The PW.1 produced her Lenovo Mobile phone as per M.O.6 and PW.15 seized the said mobile phone in the

presence of PW.6 and 7 though the Panchanama at Ex.P.13, snapped the photograph at Ex.P.6. The PW.1 gave complaint at Ex.P.1 to him at the spot and he forwarded the said complaint to the police station. On the said complaint, the PW.11-S.T.Venaktesh ASI, converted UDR case into crime case and registered the FIR in Cr.No.179/2022 at Ex.P.20 for the offenses punishable under sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC against the accused No. 1 to 3.

5. The PW.15 deputed PW.12-Keshavamurthy ASI, for data extraction from M.O.6 and 14- mobile phone handsets at CID office, Bengaluru, and accordingly PW.12 got conducted the extraction of those mobile phones at CID Office in the presence of PW.9 and 13 and obtained the data in one seagate hddisk. He drew the mahazer at Ex.P.18, snapped photographs at Ex.P.18 to 20. He produced the said mahazar, photographs and certificate under Sec.65-B Indian Evidence Act of Karthik H.P. PC-09 at Ex.P.26, C.D at Ex.P.27 before PW.15. He received the postmortem report of Gangarathnamma at Ex.P.16 from CW.14. He also received the certificate under sec. 65-B of Indian Evidence Act., from Manjunath Police Constable-14 as per Ex.P.29, and C.D. at Ex.P.30. He got translated the video records found in the mobile

phones at M.O.6 and 14 from CW.16, translator at SIU Translation Center as per Ex.P.32 to 34. He also received the loan documents from Muthoot Finance, Gowribidanur branch as per Ex.P.35. Further, he received the NCR acknowledgments, complaint at Ex.P.36 to 38 from Kodigehally Police Station. He received the FSL report at Ex.P.39 and DVD at Ex.P.42 relating M.O.6 and 14. He recorded the statements of PW.3 to 7, 10, 14 and CW.10. After completion of investigation, he laid the charge sheet against the accused No. 1 to 3 before the Prl. Civil Judge and JMFC, Gowribidanur, for the aforesaid alleged offenses.

6. The learned Prl. Civil Judge and JMFC, Gowribidanur, took cognizance of the aforesaid offences against the accused No.1 to 3, registered the C.C.No.68/2023 and securing accused No.1 to 3, furnished copies of the charge sheet along with police papers as contemplated under Sec.207 of Cr.P.C.

7. After securing the accused No.1 to 3, the learned Magistrate committed the matter to Hon'ble Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Chikkaballapur and registered the present case and made over this matter to this Court for disposal.

8. After securing the accused No.1 to 3, this Court framed the charge for the offence punishable under Sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC., against accused No.1 to 3. They pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried. Hence, posted for prosecution evidence.

9. In order to substantiate the charge leveled against the accused No.1 to 3, prosecution examined PW.1 to 16 witnesses and produced Ex.P.1 to 42 documents and material objects M.O. 1 to 14.

10. On closure of evidence of prosecution side, the statement under Section 313 of Cr.P.C., has been recorded against the accused No.1 to 3, and they denied the whole incriminating materials put against them. The accused No. 1 to 3 has not lead any defense evidence.

11. Heard learned Public prosecutor and learned counsel for the accused.

12. Upon hearing and perusal of material evidence on record, the points that arise for my consideration are as under:

Point No.1: Whether the prosecution proved beyond reasonable doubt that, 15 days prior to 24.11.2022, near the house of deceased Gangarathnamma at Gowribidanur town, with the

common intention of accused No. 1 to 3, the accused no.3 abused deceased Gangarathnamma alleging illicit contact with accused no.1 and uttered to die; and further, after securing her to Police station on 23.11.2022, on the pretext of settling the disputes, the accused no.1 to 3 abused for taking the accused no.1 with her and abused her in indecent languages and insulted her, and abetted the deceased Gangarathnamma to commit suicide and thereby, the accused no.1 to 3 committed the offence punishable under sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC?

Point No.2: What order?

13. My findings to the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Negative ,

Point No.2: As per final order,
for the following:

REASONS

POINT NO.1:

14. At the outset, it is not in dispute that, Gangarathnamma committed suicide at the Railway line in between Gowribidanur-Vidhurashwatha Railway Station. The PW.8-George Pentaleon the Loco Pilot of Bidar-Yashwanthpur Express train No. 16572, stated that he was working as a Loco pilot in the said Train, and at about 5.30-6.00 a.m., while the train was proceeding in between Devarapalli-Gowribidanur, a

women suddenly lied on the Railway track and as the said train was proceeding at about 100 km/hour, he could not able to stop the said train and said train run over on the woman. He informed the incident to concerned officials. In the cross-examination, the accused has not denied manner of such incident and death of such woman on the railway track.

15. As per the prosecution case, the CW.12 railway station master at Gowribidanur Railway station passed the intimation to CW.13, the station master at Doddaballapur railway station, who issued the memo at Ex.P.24 and on such memo, PW.15 registered UDR No.213/2022 as per Ex.P.25 and thereafter P'W.15 visited the incident spot, drew the panchanama at Ex.P.10, inquest panchanama Ex.P.11, seized the belongings of deceased at M.O.1 to 5, 13, 14 at the incident spot in the presence of panch witnesses PW.7 and 8 and PW.1.

16. The PW.1 is the daughter of deceased Gangarathnamma and though she has not supported the cause for death of Gangarathanamma and seizure of M.O.1 to 5, 13, 14 at the incident spot, her evidence indicated that she and her uncle Shekhar searched for Gangarathnamma, came to Gowribidanur Railway gate

and found the dead body of her mother Gangarathanamma on the day of incident. She also identified the dead body of her mother in the photographs at Ex.P.2 to 6 snapped at the incident spot during the mahazer. The identification of the dead body of the deceased at the incident spot by PW.1 is not disputed by the accused.

17. The Ex.P.16 is the Postmortem report of Gangarathnamma and it appears crush injury to the head and grazed abrasion on the left fore arm of deceased Gangarathnamma. Further, it appears that the brain matter completely missing. As per Ex.P.16, the death of Gangarathnamma is due to shock and hemorrhage, as a result of head injury. The Ex.P.16 got exhibited with the consent of the accused. Thus, from the aforesaid evidences goes to show that indisputably, the death of deceased Gangarathnamma by runover of the express train no.16572, drove by PW.8 near the Gowribidanur Railway gate.

18. According to prosecution case, the accused No.1 and deceased Gangarathnamma had the illicit contact between them, and after knowing the said illicit connection, the accused No. 2 and 3 came near the house of Gangarathnamma, abused her filthily and

uttered to die and accused no.2 lodged the complaint at Kodigenahally police station as per Ex.P.37. After the said incident, the accused No.1 and deceased Gangarathnamma eloped together, and 15 days after, the accused No.1 and deceased Gangarathnamma secured on the pretext of settling the dispute between them. Further, according to prosecution, after return of deceased to her home, on 23.11.2022, secured her to the police station and the accused No.3 abused Gangarathnamma for taking the accused no.1 with her and used indecent languages towards her. Though the accused no.1 to 3 obtained the financial benefits by pledging her jewels, and she was not responsible for the elopement, as the accused no.3 abused Gangarathnamma, she become upset; and recording the circumstances led to commit suicide in her Oppo mobile phone at M.O.14 and after forwarding the same to M.O.6-Lenovo mobile phone of PW.1 her daughter through Whatsapp, she committed suicide. Hence, the prosecution alleged that the said utterances, abusive language and harassment of accused No. 1 to 3, after obtaining financial benefit from her, she become upset and such conduct of the accused no.1 to 3, incited the deceased to commit suicide on the Railway track.

19. At this juncture, it is to be noted that, in order to prove the offence punishable under Sec.306 of IPC, the abetment of suicide involves a mental process of instigating a person or intentionally aiding that person in doing of a thing. There must be mens rea to commit offence under Sec.306 of IPC, and it requires commission of direct or active act on the part of accused which led deceased to commit suicide seeing no other option and such act must be intended to push the deceased into a position that he commit suicide. Let me examine whether the prosecution proved the alleged acts of accused and by the alleged act, instigated and incited the deceased and pushed the deceased to commit suicide, without any option and the accused have committed the said offence.

20. During evidence of PW.15 investigating officer, the prosecution produced the Ex.P.37 complaint of accused no.2 lodged before the Kodigehally police station and NCR acknowledgment issued at Ex.P.36. On perusal of the Ex.P.37 complaint, it appears that the accused no.1 had the intimate relationship with Gangarathnamma and neglected his family and while the accused no.2 not consented for her co-habitation with accused no.1 and deceased in the same house, the accused no.1 has not

come to the house for a month, therefore the accused no.2 requested the Kodigehally Police to advise her husband. The accused has not disputed the Ex.P36 acknowledgment of police and Ex.P.37 complaint at any point of time. Thus, indisputably, there was close contact between the accused no.1 and deceased Gangarathnamma; and accused no.1 interested to lead the matrimonial life with accused no.2 and deceased Gangarathnamma in the common house, which was resisted by the accused no.2 and as such, the accused no.2 left the home and neglected his family; therefore, the accused no.2 lodged the complaint before the Kodigehally Police.

21. However, none of the material witnesses have spoken with regard to elopment of deceased with the accused no.1. In so far as the alleged abuse, insult and harassments by accused no.1 to 3, though the evidences of PW.1, 3, 4 children and PW.5 brother-in-law of Gangarathnamma indicates the death of deceased Gangarathnamma, their evidences does not show the cause for her death. Their evidences does not show abuse and use of indecent languages towards the deceased by any of the accused. The PW.2 Owner of Raju Biriyan Center also does not show the cause for the death of deceased Gangarathnamma. Further, the

evidences of PW.10 and 14 neighbors of deceased, also does not show any incident near the house of deceased, in their presence and utterances of abusive languages and utterance to die towards the deceased by the accused. Thus, the evidences of PW.1 to 5, 10, 14 goes against the prosecution case with regard to cause of death of deceased Gangarathnamma.

22. According prosecution, after return of accused no.1 and deceased, the deceased secured to the police station on the pretext of settlement of the matter, and after her return to her home, the deceased narrated the occurrence of the incidents, circumstances led her decision to commit suicide by recording in her Oppo mobile phone at M.O.14 which seized during the inquest mahazer at Ex.P.12 and forwarded such videograph to PW.1 to her Lenova mobile phone at M.O.6, through Whatsapp.

23. As per the prosecution case, the PW.15 conducted the spot mahazer at Ex.P.10, inquest panchanama at Ex.P.12, lenova mobile phone M.O.6 seizure panchanama at Ex.P.13 from 10.00 a.m., to 3.00 p.m., on 24.11.2022 at the incident spot in the presence of PW.6 and 7, snapped photographs at Ex.P.2 to 6; and seized M.O.1 to 5, 13 and M.O.14-

the Oppo mobile phone of deceased found on the railway track, during the inquest panchanama as per Ex.P.12. However, the PW.6 and 7 have not supported the said mahazers and the seizure of M.O.1 to 6, 13 and 14 in their presence.

24. As per the prosecution case, the PW.1 also present during inquest panchanama and her photo is reflecting in Ex.P.2, 4, 6. However, she could not identify the Oppo Mobile phone at M.O.14 and she stated that the deceased has not sent any video message through phone. She stated that police obtained her mobile phone at M.O.6, while she had been to the spot to see the dead body of her mother. Such evidence is undisputed by the accused. Thus, the evidence on record reveals that the prosecution could not prove the seizure of M.O.14 at the incident spot during inquest mahazer at Ex.P.12, however, from the undisputed evidence of PW.1, it appears that she gave her lenova mobile phone at M.O.6 to the police at the incident spot.

25. According to prosecution, the M.O.6 mobile phone contained videographs, received from the mobile phone at M.O.14-Oppo mobile phone through the Whatsapp, and the investigating officer conducted the

extraction of those mobile phones at Ex.P.18 with the assistance of PW.12 at COD Office, Bengaluru in the presence of PW.9 and 13. The panch witness PW.9 has not supported the said mahazer and extraction at COD office. However, the evidence of another panch witness PW.13 indicates that he visited the COD office and one Mallikarjun and Kirankumar retrieved the data from the M.O.6 and 14, stored in an hard disc and gave to PW.12. As per the Ex.P.18 mahazar, after retrieving the data from the said mobile phones, stored in the external hard disk and handed over the two mobile phones and seagate 1 T.B. hard disc to PW.12. However, such 1 T.B. seagate hard disk containing the retrieved data of M.O.6 and 14 is not produced before the Court. It is true that the Ex.P.19 and 20 indicates the presence of PW.12 and panch witnesses PW.9 and 13 during said extraction of mobile phones. However, the seagate 1 T.B. hard disc is not before the court. So, there is doubt with regard to the alleged extraction of the M.O.6 and 14 mobile phones as per Ex.P.18 at the COD office.

26. As per the prosecution case, the investigating officer forwarded the M.O.6 and 14 to SFSL Bengaluru for examination. The PW.16 is the scientific officer examined the M.O.6 and 14 and he has spoken about

the examination and analysis of Oppo mobile phone and lenovo mobile phone at M.O.14 and 6 respectively and found four video files in Oppo mobile phone and three video files in Lenova mobile phones and those video files are continuous and not morphed. He issued the Ex.P.40 test report and said report appears that four videographs found in Oppo mobile phone M.O.14 and three videographs found in Lenovo mobile phone at M.O. 6 and 14 are continuous and not edited and morphed. In his cross-examination nothing elicited to disbelieve evidence of PW.16 with regard to his analysis and report.

27. During the course of evidence of PW.15, the prosecution produced the compact disc at Ex.P.27 which contained the five alleged videographs of the Gangarathnama. As per first videograph the deceased alleged that accused no.2 betrayed her, they abused her, despite eight years relationship, further, threatened to murder her children and abused indecently and the accused represented that they kept her jewels at the house of her mother. In the second videograph, she regretted for her relationship with accused no.1. As per the third videograph, the deceased alleged that the accused betrayed the deceased, they uttered to die and obtained her

signature to blank paper though the accused No.1 himself took her. In the fourth and fifth videographs, the deceased alleged that the accused no.2 and 3 are responsible for her death. On perusal of the aforesaid videographs, it appears that as the accused no.2 lodged the complaint to the police and alleged that deceased is responsible for elopment and abused her, after obtaining the benefits from the deceased, she being insulted, she decided to commit suicide. The record reveal that the accused no.1 had the illicit relationship with the deceased for considerable time and he neglected his family. While, the accused no.2 and 3 resisted, the accused no.1 and deceased might have eloped. The relationship of the accused no.1 with deceased was extra-marital affair, and those videographs does not show any allegation against accused no.1 or instigation by accused no.1. Though those videographs would indicate the allegations against the accused no.2 and 3, there is no corroborative evidences to substantiate such alleged abuse and utterances by the accused No. 2 and 3 at the police station. Even if the said videographs of the deceased are considered, the alleged complaint of accused No. 2 and abuses of accused No. 2 and 3 were in the backdrop of disturbance to the matrimonial relationship of accused No. 1 and 2, due to extra-

marital affair between accused No. 1 and deceased. Such conduct of the accused no.2 and 3 alleged by the deceased does not indicate that they had the intention to put the deceased to commit suicide and there was no option to the deceased, but to commit suicide.

28. Thus, on appreciation of the oral and documentary evidence on record, absolutely there is no evidence to show the abuse, insult and utterance to die to Gangarathnamma near her house. Further, there is no direct evidences to show the alleged abuse, insult, and harassment, when Gangarathnamma secured to the police station, on the pretext of settling the matter. It is true that M.O.6 Lenovo mobile phone belongs to the PW.1, however the M.O.14 Oppo Mobile phone is not identified by PW.1, 3, 4, the children of Gangarathnamma and seizure of said mobile phone is not proved. It is true that FSL report indicates the videographs found in M.O.6 and 14 are continuous and not morphed. But, there is no corroborative evidences to substantiate the allegations of the deceased made in the videographs. Further, even if the videographs are considered, it does not show the intention of the accused no.2 and 3 to drive the

deceased to commit suicide and there was no option to the deceased, but to commit suicide.

29. It is further true that the Ex.P35 indicates that deceased pledged her jewels at Muthoot finance. So, also the accused no.1 also pledged the jewels at Muthoot finance. However, mere pledging the jewels by the deceased and accused no.1 would not conclude that the deceased pledged her jewels in order to pay the money to the family of accused no.1 to 3. From the evidence on record, there is no cogent and clear evidence to show the incitement of accused No.1 to 3 in the suicidal death of deceased. Thus, the prosecution failed to prove the offenses under sec. 306 of IPC against the accused No. 1 to 3. Hence, I hold the point No. 1 in the **Negative**.

Point No.5:

30. Thus, in view of my aforesaid discussions, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

Acting under Sec.235(1) of Cr.P.C.,
the accused 1. Rajesh, S/o
Sanjeevappa, accused No.2. Sindhu @
Sindhu, D/o Dasappa, accused No.3.

Dasappa, S/o Gagappa, are **acquitted** for the offences punishable under sec. 306 r/w sec. 34 of IPC.

The bail bond executed by the accused no.1 to 3 and their sureties shall be in force for a period of six months from this date as per section 437-A of Cr.P.C.

Acting under Sec.452(3) of Cr.P.C. directed to deliver the M.O.1 to 14 to Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chikkaballapura to deal with those properties in the manner provided under Sec.457 and 458 of Cr.P.C.

Send the copies of the charge sheet, depositions and exhibits to the learned CJM, Chikkaballapur for the purpose of disposal of the said properties.

(Dictated to the STENOGRAPHER. Transcript computerized by her, after corrections, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court on this the 25th day of April- 2025)

Sd/-

(SHIVAPRASAD K.B.)

I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapur

ANNEXURE**I. List of witnesses examined for the prosecution:**

PW.1	Shirisha
PW.2	Raghu
PW.3	Archana
PW.4	Monica
PW.5	Muniraju
PW.6	Ananda
PW.7	Gangadhara
PW.8	George Pentaleon
PW.9	Suresh Babu
PW.10	Shivanna
PW.11	S.T.Venkatesh (ASP)
PW.12	Keshava Murthy B.R
PW.13	Narasimhamurthy
PW.14	Gangadharappa
PW.15	Shivakumar (PSI)
PW.16	Kailash, SFSL

II. List of documents exhibited for prosecution:

Ex.P.1	Complaint
Ex.P.1(a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.1
Ex.P.1.(d),	Signature of PW.11
Ex.P.1.(e)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.2 to 6	Five Photos
Ex.P.3 (a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.5 (a)	
Ex.P.6 (a)	
Ex.P.7	Statement of PW.3
Ex.P.8	Statement of PW.4

Ex.P.9	Statement of PW.5
Ex.P.10	Spot Mahazar Dated 24.11.2022
Ex.P.10(a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.6, PW.7 and PW.15
Ex.P.11	Inquest Notice
Ex.P.11(a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.6, PW.7 and PW.15
Ex.P.12	Inquest Report
Ex.P.12 (a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.6, PW.7 and PW.15
Ex.P.13	Seizure mahazar
Ex.P.13 (a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.6, PW.7 and PW.15
Ex.P.14	Statement of PW.6
Ex.P.15	Statement of PW.7
Ex.P.16	Postmortem Report
Ex.P.16(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.17	Police Notice
Ex.P.17(a), (b)	Signature of PW.9 and PW.12
Ex.P.18	Two mobile phones Data Retrieve Time mahazar
Ex.P.18(a), (b), (c)	Signature of PW.9, PW.12 and PW.13
Ex.P.19 and 20	Two photos
Ex.P.20(a)	Signature of PW.12
Ex.P.21	Statement of PW.10
Ex.P.22	FIR
Ex.P.22(a)	Signature of PW.11
Ex.P.23	Statement of witness of PW.14
Ex.P.24 to 25	Copy of memo and UDR documents
Ex.P.24(a) to	Signature of PW.15

25(a)	
Ex.P.26	Certificate u/sec. 65-B of Indian Evidence Act.
Ex.P.26(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.27	One CD
Ex.P.28	Requisition Dated 01.12.2022
Ex.P.28(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.29	Another Certificate u/sec. 65-B of Indian Evidence Act.
Ex.P.29(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.30	One CD
Ex.P.31	FSL Acknowledgment
Ex.P.31(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.32 to 34	SIU Translation Center. Translated from Telugu to Kannada
Ex.P.35	Copy of Muthoot Finance
Ex.P.35(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.36	Acknowledgment
Ex.P.36(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.37	Copy of Complaint
Ex.P.37(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.38	Another acknowledgment
Ex.P.38(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.39	FSL Test Report
Ex.P.39(a)	Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.40	FSL Report with sample seal
Ex.P.40(a)	Signature of PW.16
Ex.P.41	Certificate u/sec. 65-B of Indian Evidence Act.
Ex.P.41(a)	Signature of PW.16
Ex.P.42	One CD(marked and kept in CD master bubble envelop)

III. List of witnesses examined for the defence:

	-Nil
--	------

IV. List of documents exhibited for defence:

	NIL
--	-----

V. List of material objects marked in this case:

M.O-1	Two pairs of toe ring
M.O-2	Karimani Chain which consists of 55 gold beads
M.O-3	One fancy Bangle
M.O-4	One fancy earrings
M.O-5	Turmeric thread which consists of 4 red corals
M.O-6	One Lenovo mobile phone
M.O-7	One blue color Jerkin
M.O-8	One blue color Chudidar
M.O-9	One blue color Legin
M.O-10	One while color Bra
M.O-11	One while black color dupatta
M.O-12	One pair black chappal
M.O-13	One gold nose stud
M.O-14	Oppo mobile phone

(SHIVAPRASAD K.B.)

I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapur