

**In the Court of Ms. Reena Rani,
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phul
UID No. PB0824**

State	Versus	Ram Kumar, S/o Lal Chand, S/o Shyam Lal, R/o Street No. 8 near Ambedkar College, Indergarhi, District Gaziabad. Accused
-------	--------	---

FIR No. 216 dated 18.12.2022
Police Station :- City, Rampura
Under Sections:- 379 of IPC

Present: Sh. Sahil Jindal, APP for the State.
Accused Ram Kumar on bail represented by counsel Sh. Akash
Kaushal, Advocate.

JUDGMENT

1. The above stated accused has been forwarded by the Officer In-charge, Police Station City, Rampura before this Court to face trial for the commission of offence punishable under section 379 of Indian Penal Code in case bearing F.I.R. No. 216 dated 18.12.2022 registered at P.S. City, Rampura
2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 18.12.2022 ASI Sher Singh along-with police party was on patrolling duty and was present at drain-bridge Rampura Mandi, where complainant Sukhwinder Singh, S/o Joginder Singh, R/o Bhairupa came and got recorded his statement that he is running the business of transport and on dated 05.12.2022 he had parked

his Canter bearing registration No. RJ-14GG-3538 at Dashmesh Canter Union, Rampura Mandi after locking the same and had gone to attend a marriage function at Mansa with his family. On returning on 09.12.2022, he found that the said vehicle was missing. During inquiry, it came to light that some unknown persons had stolen the said Canter during the intervening night of 05.12.2022. Subsequently, on 23.04.2023, complainant Sukhwinder Singh informed the police that his stolen Canter had been recovered in case FIR No.150 dated 23.03.2023 registered under Sections 411/413 IPC and 4/25/54/59 Arms Act at Police Station Kotwali Dehat, District Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh and disclosed the names of accused namely Rajmani @ Ramjani, Amir, Rashid and Ram Kumar. The complainant also produced photocopy of RC of the stolen vehicle which was taken into police possession vide separate recovery memo. Accordingly, on the basis of statement of complainant, FIR was registered. Place of occurrence was visited and rough site plan was prepared. Later on, on completion of investigation, challan was presented against accused persons for the commission of offence punishable under section 379 of IPC.

3. On appearance of accused Ram Kumar in the Court, copies of all the documents sent along with the final report and relied upon by prosecution, were supplied to him, free of costs, as per mandatory requirements of section 207 Cr.P.C.

4. While the present case was pending for prosecution evidences, accused Ram Kumar has confessed his guilt voluntarily, without any pressure or threat and with his own free consent. A separate statement

to this effect has been taken on record.

5. This Court has explained to the accused that he is not bound to make confession and that if he makes any such confession, that may be used as evidence against him. This Court believed that this confession was got recorded by the accused voluntarily. It was taken in presence of this Court and was read over to accused, who admitted the same to be correct and the said confessional statement contained a full and true account of the statement made by accused Ram Kumar.

6. After being satisfied that above mentioned accused Ram Kumar voluntarily and without any pressure, confessed his guilt, in the light of his candid confession, accused Ram Kumar is held guilty of commission of offence punishable under section 379 of IPC and is convicted there under. Let he be taken into custody and be heard on the question of sentence.

**Pronounced in open Court:
Dated: 04.06.2026**

**(Reena Rani), PCS,
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Phul/UID-PB0824**

Renu Rani Stenographer III.

QUESTION OF SENTENCE.

Present : Sh. Sahil Jindal, APP for the State.
Accused Ram Kumar on bail represented by counsel Sh. Akash Kaushal, Advocate.

1. I have heard the convict on the question of sentence. The convict has submitted that he has already suffered incarceration for a long period and prayed that a lenient view may be taken against them while imposing the punishment.

Considering the nature and gravity of offence, circumstances and antecedents of convict, he is sentenced to pay fine of Rs. 2000/- and in default of payment of fine to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 7 days. **Fine paid.** Copy of Judgment be given to convict free of costs. Bail bonds and surety bonds of accused, if any stands discharged.

Pronounced in open Court:
Dated: 04.06.2026

(Reena Rani), PCS,
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Phul/UID-PB0824

Renu Rani Stenographer III.