

Case No. MNT-535/2016

Chetna Sharma Vs. Deepak Sharma

Present: None for petitioner.

Case called up at 9.30 am. None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. Notice issued to respondent served through his wife received with report that compromise has been effected. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up after lunch.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: None for petitioner.

Case called up at 1.45 pm. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up again.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: None for petitioner.

Case called up again. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite of repeated calls given to the case. It is already 3.55 pm. No further wait is justified. This court has left with no other option, as such, present case stands dismissed in default for want of prosecution. File is ordered to be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017
Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar.

Case No. CS-336/2015

Gursharan Singh Vs. Jasbir Singh & ors.

Present: None for plaintiff.
Suit qua defendants No. 1, 4, 5 & 6 dismissed
under Order 9 rule 2 CPC.

Case called up at 9.30 am. None has appeared
on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to
the case. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up after
lunch.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: None for plaintiff.
Suit qua defendants No. 1, 4, 5 & 6 dismissed
under Order 9 rule 2 CPC.

Case called up at 1.45 pm. None has appeared
on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to
the case. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up
again.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: None for plaintiff.
Suit qua defendants No. 1, 4, 5 & 6 dismissed
under Order 9 rule 2 CPC.

Case called up again. None has appeared on
behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the
case. It is already 3.55 pm. No further wait is justified.
This court has left with no other option, as such, present
suit stands dismissed in default under Order 9 Rule 3 CPC.
File is ordered to be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017
Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Steno

Jalandhar.

Case No. CS-58092

Surinder Singh Vs. Kulwinder Kaur & Ors.

Present: None for parties.

Case called up at 9.30 am. None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up after lunch.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: None for parties.

Case called up at 1.45 pm. None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, file be taken up again.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: None for parties.

Case called up again. None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. Perusal of the case file shows that on the last date of hearing also no body was appeared on behalf of the parties. It is already 3.55 pm. No further wait is justified. This court has left with no other option, as such, present suit stands dismissed in default under Order 9 Rule 3 CPC. File is ordered to be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017
Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar.

Sarabjit singh Vs. Gram Panchayat

Present: Sh. H.L Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. B.R Mahey, counsel for defendant.

No rebuttal evidence is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for rebuttal evidence, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno CJJD/07.04.2017
The Hind Samachar Vs. Manpreet singh

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for applicant.

Application for restoration of the suit received through allocation. It be registered. Report of Ahlmad be called for 11.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno CJJD/07.04.2017
Case No. CS-837/2017

Virendr Kumar Saul Vs. Ashish Jain

Present: Sh. S. Sohal, counsel for the plaintiff.

Suit received by entrustment. Reader to report.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Sir,

Court fees affixed on the plaint is correct.

Reader/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. S. Sohal, counsel for the plaintiff.
Report of Reader seen. Suit be registered. Notice to

defendant be issued for 11.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-55378/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Rajinder Pal Singh Vs. PSPCL

Present: Sh. Anil Verma, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants exparte.

Arguments not addressed. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for exparte consideration.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-838/2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Kamal Chowdhary & ors. Vs. Usha Rani & ors.

Present: Sh. Pritpal Singh, counsel for the plaintiffs.

Suit received by entrustment. Reader to report.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Sir,

Court fees affixed on the plaint is correct.

Reader/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Pritpal Singh, counsel for the plaintiffs.

Report of Reader seen. Suit be registered. Heard on grand of ad-interim injunction. After perusing the case file no ground is made out for granting ad-intim injunction in favour of the plaintiff. Accordingly, notice of the suit be issued to defendants for 19.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Case No. CS-836/2017

Sarwan Singh Vs. Charanji Lal & Ors.

Present: Sh. Rakesh Dhir, counsel for the plaintiff.

Suit received by entrustment. Reader to report.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Sir,

Court fees affixed on the plaint is correct.

Reader/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Rakesh Dhir, counsel for the plaintiff.

Report of Reader seen. Suit be registered. Heard on grand of ad-interim injunction. After perusing the case file no ground is made out for granting ad-intim injunction in favour of the plaintiff. Accordingly, notice of the suit be issued to defendants for 19.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Divya Vs. Sher Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: None for parties.

None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the parties.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Goldie Vs Bimla

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. M. Mohd, counsel for DH.
Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for JD/applicant.

Sh. Bhala, counsel for applicant Suman.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned to 01.05.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Gurmohan Singh Vs. Jasbir Kaur

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. R.S Sarna, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. S.S Sangha, counsel for respondent.

No rebuttal evidence is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for remaining rebuttal evidence, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Chaman Lal & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Challan presented today in the absence of accused. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Notice to come up on 15.04.2017 the date already fixed in the remand papers of accused.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Chaman Lal & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Challan presented today in the absence of accused. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Notice to accused be issued for 20.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Manish Dev Vs. Yogesh Mishra & ors.

Present: Sh. Brijesh Chopra, counsel for complainant.
Sh. G.S Dhillon, counsel for accused vinod Kumar.
Sh. Anup Gautam, counsel for accused Sukhdev Sharma.
Accused Yogesh Mishra on bail with counsel Sh. Pawan Malhotra, Advocaste.
Accused Ascharaj Lal Bali died (proceedings abated vide order dated 16.12.2008).

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Sukhdev Kumar is exempted for today only.

Arguments partly addressed. On request of learned counsel for the accused Sukhdev Sharma, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for remaining arguments on charge.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Cancellation Report.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

FIR No. 99 dated 05.06.2015

Under Section 452/506/34 IPC.

P.S Division No. 5 Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Complainant Kuldeep Kaur in person.

Cancellation report presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Complainant Kuldeep Kaur has come present and got recorded her separate statement of even date that she is not agreed with the cancellation report filed by the police. She has not

compromised the matter in the present case. This cancellation report may kindly be returned for reinvestigation. In order to prove her identity complainant has placed on record photocopy of his Adhar card. Heard. In view of the statement of complainant, this cancellation report submitted by the police is returned to IO concerned for further investigation. Police papers be returned to IO against proper receipt and judicial papers be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Jalandhar

Cancellation Report.

FIR No. 101 dated 08.06.2016

Under Section 365 IPC.

P.S Division No. 5 Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Complainant Pritpal Singh in person.

Cancellation report presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Complainant has come present and got recorded his separate statement of even date that he does not want to proceed with the present case and he is agree with the cancellation report filed by the police and has no objection if the cancellation report submitted by the police be accepted. In order to prove his identity complainant has placed on record photocopy of his Adhar Card. Complainant is also identified by ASI Ravinder Singh. Heard. In view of the statement of complainant, this cancellation report submitted by the police is hereby accepted. File be returned to the I.O/SHO concerned against proper receipt, after retaining court proceedings, which separately be consigned to Record Room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Cancellation Report.

FIR No. 28 dated 11.02.2016

Under Section 341/452/354/148/149 IPC.

P.S Division No. 5 Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Complainant Rohit Thappa in person.

Cancellation report presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Complainant has come present and got recorded his separate statement of even date that he does not want to proceed with the present case and he is agree with the cancellation report filed by the police and has no objection if the cancellation report submitted by the police be accepted. In order to prove his identity complainant has placed on record photocopy of his Adhar Card. Complainant is also identified by ASI Jagdish Lal. Heard. In view of the statement of complainant, this cancellation report submitted by the police is hereby accepted. File be returned to the I.O/SHO concerned against proper receipt, after retaining court proceedings, which separately be consigned to Record Room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Cancellation Report.

FIR No. 175 dated 25.10.2016

Under Section 406 IPC.

P.S Division No. 5 Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Complainant Subhash Chander in person.

Cancellation report presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Complainant has come present and got recorded his separate statement of even date that he does not want to proceed with the present case and he is agree with the cancellation report filed by the police and has no objection if the cancellation report submitted by the police be accepted. In order to prove his identity complainant has placed on record photocopy of his Adhar Card. Complainant is also identified by ASI Gurdev Singh. Heard. In view of the statement of complainant, this cancellation report submitted by the police is hereby accepted. File be returned to the I.O/SHO concerned against proper receipt, after retaining court proceedings, which separately be consigned to Record Room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Paramjeet @ Pamma & ors. Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Ashu, Advocate, counsel for applicant-accused
Paramjeet @ Pamma and Haripal @ Pala.

The present application has been moved for bail on behalf of accused Paramjeet @ Pamma and Haripal @ Pala on the ground that they have been falsely implicated in this case.

Notice of the bail application was given to learned APP for the State, who orally opposed the bail application.

Arguments on the bail application heard. Accused are in custody from the date of their arrest. Challan in the present case has already been presented. Conclusion of the trial will take long time, so no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody for an unlimited period. As such accused Paramjeet @ Pamma and Haripal @ Pala are ordered to be released on bail on furnishing their bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- each with one surety each of the like amount with the following conditions:-

- 1 They will appear on each and every date of hearing in the court.
- 2 They will not leave the country without prior permission of the court.
- 3 They will not allure the prosecution witnesses nor tamper the investigation of the prosecution.

Bail and surety bonds not furnished. Papers be attached with the main file.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/07.04.2017

Rupinder Kaur Vs. Mandeep Singh

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Gurwinder Arora, Advocate.
Sh. Pershant Kumar Sareen, counsel for accused Jagmeet Kaur (Personal appearance exempted till further order vide order dated 07.02.2017).
Proceedings against accused Mandeep Singh abated.
Accused Bhavandeep Singh proclaimed person.
Counsel for accused Jagraj Singh.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Jagraj Singh is exempted for today only.

CW-1 Rupinder Kaur is present and partly examined in chief. Her further examination in chief is deferred as she is not feeling well. Now to come up on 18.04.2017 for further examination in chief of CW-1 as well as for remaining pre-charge evidence of the complainant. An application for issuance of directions to the accused Jagraj Singh and Jagmeet Kaur to produce their passport moved by complainant. Copy supplied. Reply to the said application be also filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

JMIC/07.04.2017

State Vs. Kamaljit Singh

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in custody represented by Sh. K.K. Arora, Advocate.

Today learned counsel for accused Kamaljit stated at bar that accused may kindly be released on bail as he has falsely implicated in the present case. Perusal of the case file reveals that challan in the present case has already been presented. Conclusion of trial will take long

time. So, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody for an unlimited period. As such, accused Kamaljit is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail and surety bonds not furnished.

From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 25 of Arms Act is made out. Accused has been charge sheeted thereunder, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 21.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/07.04.2017

Case No. 1737/2015

Balwinder Kaur VS. SBI Life Insurance & ors.

Present: Sh. Davinder Moudgil, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, counsel for defendants
No. 5 & 6.
Sh. Vikas Sharma, counsel for defendants No 1
to 4.

No evidence of the defendant is present today.
On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is
adjourned to 17.04.2017 for entire evidence of the
defendants. This is 2nd opportunity for defendant evidence.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Lakshman

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited till
07.06.2017. Notice to IO be also issued for the date fixed
with direction to present the challan.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
JMJC/07.04.2017

Case No. 53434/2013

Surinder Singh Vs. Tarsem Singh

Present: Sh. G.L Gagneja, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. K.L Dua, counsel for defendant No. 1.
Sh. A.K Arora, counsel for defendant No. 4.
Sh. Paramjit Singh, counsel for defendants No.
5 to 7.
Defendants No. 2 & 3 exparte.

Amended written statement on behalf of
defendants No. 1 & 4 not filed. Same be filed on
17.04.2017 subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Joginder Pal Vs. Manak Beri

Present: Sh. Kunal Goel, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. S.C Sood, counsel for defendant.

No evidence of the defendant is present today.
On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is
adjourned to 12.04.2017 for entire evidence of the
defendant subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Case No. 1894/2014

Bank of Baroda Vs. Sonika kohli.

Present: Sh. APS Pathania, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendant No. 1 in person.
Defendant No. 2 exparte.

No evidence of the defendant is present today.
On request of defendant, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017
for entire evidence of the defendant subject to last
opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

CJJD/07.04.2017

Amrit Hire Purchase Vs. Surinder Singh

Present: Sh. K.K Arora, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants Surinder Singh and Mandeep Kumar
in person.

Power of attorney as well as written statement
on behalf of defendants not filed. On request of
defendants, case is adjourned to 03.05.2017 for filing
power of attorney as well as written statement on behalf of
defendants subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Case No. 30206/2013

Krishna Rani vs. Raman Kumar

Present: sh. Vishal Sodhi, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Karan Seth, counsel for respondent.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017
for consideration on the application under Section 151 of
CPC.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/07.04.2017

Davinder Singh Vs. Aas Ram

Present: Sh. Anshu Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. P.L Malhotra, counsel for defendant.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 06.05.2017
for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Rajinder Sports Vs. Rugby Exports

Present: Sh. Sukhdev Singh Kahlon, counsel for
plaintiff.
Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for defendants.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 21.04.2017 for consideration on the application under Order 11 Rule 14 CPC for production of original documents.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kamal Kant Vs. Arun Kumar Mishra

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

present: Sh. Raman Kumar, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Yogesh Malhotra, counsel for defendants.

DW-3 Meera Mishra is present and partly cross examined. Her further cross examination is deferred for want of documents. Witness is directed to bring the same on the next date of hearing. Now to come up on 17.04.2017 for further cross examination of DW-3 Meera Mishra.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Poonam Batra Vs. Munish

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

present: Applicant in person.
Respondents in person.

Cost not paid. Rejoinder not filed. On request of applicant, case is adjourned to 28.04.2017 for filing rejoinder if any on behalf of the applicant. Previous cost be also paid on that date.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sukhdeep Singh Vs. Baldish Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Davinder Moudgil, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. N.S Toor, counsel for defendant.

No evidence of the defendant is present today. On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 17.04.2017 for entire evidence of the defendant subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Akshay Kalra Vs. Anupam Miglani

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Vikas Sood, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Vivek Kapoor, counsel for defendant.

No evidence of the defendant is present today.
On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for entire evidence of the defendant. This is 3rd and last opportunity for defendant evidence.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Ajay Kumar Vs. Lakshman Kumar & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Chander Mohan Minhas, counsel for complainant.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of complainant is exempted for today only.

Sh. C.S Minhas, counsel for the complainant has suffered a statement that the witnesses examined in pre-charge evidence may be read as evidence in post-charge evidence as chief and cross except witness CW-3 Dr. Abhishek Sachhar. Doctor Sh. N.K Singh, Medical Officer Civil Hospital Jalandhar who has conducted the MLR of complainant Ajay Kumar on 02.11.2012, complainant wants to examine in post charge evidence. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for post charge evidence of the complainant. Summons to doctor be issued for the date fixed. Complainant is directed to accompany the witness already examined for cross examination.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. MNT-99/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/07.04.2017

Shivnai Vs. Pawan Kumar

Present: Sh. Gurwinder Arora, counsel for petitioner.
sh. N.S Minhas, counsel for respondent.

Petitioner Shivani has suffered a statement that at this stage she does not want to proceed further with the present petition, same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement suffered by petitioner, present case stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Case No. COMA-457/2016

Shivnai Vs. Pawan Kumar

Present: Sh. Gurwinder Arora, counsel for petitioner.
sh. N.S Minhas, counsel for respondents No. 1,
2.

Petitioner Shivani has suffered a statement that at this stage she does not want to proceed further with the present applicant, same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement suffered by petitioner, present case stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Poonamjit Kaur Vs. Mintu Dhodhi & ors.

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Manmohan Singh
Sodhi, Advocate.

No CW is present. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 06.06.2017 for preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Parminder Kaur Vs. Narinder singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. B.S Saini, counsel for petitioners.
Sh. R.K Bhalla, counsel for respondent.

Petitioner has suffered a statement that she has received Rs. 5,000/- as part payment of interim maintenance today in the court. No PW is present. On joint request, case is adjourned to 09.05.2017 for making remaining payment as well as for evidence of the petitioners.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Neha Khanna Vs. Amit Khanna

Present: Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for DH.
sh. Puneet Sareen, counsel for JD.

On request of learned counsel for the DH, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for further proceeding.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Gulshan Arora Vs. Kuldeep Singh

Present: Complainant in person.

No CW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for entire evidence of the complainant subject to last opportunity and no further opportunity shall be granted for preliminary evidence of the complainant as complainant has already availed several opportunities for his preliminary evidence.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

State Vs. Pappu Paswan

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail in person.

Accused has stated at bar that he has furnished bail bonds and surety bonds in the learned Sessions Court. Accused is directed to place on record his bail order on the next date of hearing. Challan not presented. Same be also awaited for 14.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Sukhwinder Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Parkash Kaur and Komalpreet on bail with counsel.
Accused Sukhwinder Singh in custody.

No prosecution witness is present today. PW Dr. Jasneev Kapoor has not come present despite service. So, Dcr. Jasneev Kapoor be served through nonailable warrants for 29.05.2017. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kuldip singh Vs. Mohinder Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. B.P Ralh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. M. Mohd, counsel for defendant.

Sh. Bikramjit Singh, Reader, Sub Tehsil Adampur has suffered a statement that a complaint dispatched on 24.03.2015 vide serial No. 265 from the office of Sub Registrar Adampur to the office of Deputy Commissioner Jalandhar in original. The complaint filed by Mohinder singh son of Gurcharan singh, resident of village Gharial Jalandhar in the office of Deputy Commissioner Jalandhar. I have no other record regarding this complaint. The record may be summoned from the

office of Deputy Commissioner Jalandhar. In view of the statement of Sh. Bikramjit Singh summons to concerned clerk of the office of Deputy Commissioner Jalandhar be issued for 16.05.2017. Fresh summons to doctor be also issued for the date fixed. Remaining evidence of the plaintiff be also produced on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. COMI 434/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Sarabjit Kaur Vs. Jagdev Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. R.K Bhagat, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. K.K Rajput, counsel for respondents.

Rejoinder filed. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 09.05.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Amit

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Surety bonds on behalf of accused furnished, which are accepted and attested. From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 294 and 506 of IPC is made out. Accused has been charge sheeted thereunder, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 04.07.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Adarsh Kumar Vs. Parveen Lata

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. Harsh Bhatt, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rajiv Kohli, counsel for defendants.

No PW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff case is adjourned to 19.05.2017

for entire evidence of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Rattan Kaur Vs. Chanchal singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. A.K Bajaj, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. R.K Nagiana, counsel for defendants No. 1
& 2.
Sh. M. Mohd, counsel for defendant No. 3.

Cost not paid. PW-2 Randhir Singh is present for his cross examination. On request of learned counsel for defendants, case is adjourned to 02.05.2017 for cross examination of PW-2 Randhir Singh. Previous cost be also paid on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Bantoo Vs. Robinson

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Subhash Sharma, Advocate.
Proceedings against accused No. 9 Manjit abated vide order dated 01.08.2016.
Accused Robinson @ Honey, Binny, Monu, Jakson, Johan, Mathew, Venus and Samson on bail with counsel Sh. S.K Verma, Advocate.

CW-2 Robin is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred on the request of learned counsel for the accused. No other Cw is present. On request, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for further cross examination of CW-1 and for cross examination of CW-2 Robin as well as for remaining pre-charge evidence of the complainant. Summons to only doctor be also issued for the date fixed. Dasti summons be given, if so desired.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sandeep Maan Vs. Jhujhar singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. A.K Sonik, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. B.S Nijjar, counsel for respondents No. 2 & 3.
Sh. Tirath Singh, counsel for respondents No. 4, 5, 6 & 7.
Sh. Tirath Singh, counsel for respondent No. 1.
Petition qua respondent No. 8 dismissed as withdrawn.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Harbans Lal

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act is made out. Accused has been charge sheeted thereunder, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 12.07.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Case No. EXE-200/2016

Dinesh Kumar Vs. Lajpat Rai

Present: Sh. Vipin Kanwar, counsel for DH.
Sh. N.K Aggarwal, counsel for JD.

Sh. Vipin Kanwar, counsel for the DH has suffered a statement that he does not want to proceed with present execution application and same be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the DH, present execution stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar

Vikas Prabhakar Vs. Gian Kaur

Present: Sh. Dinesh Jassi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. O.P Kangniwal, counsel for defendant No. 2 (iii).
Defendants No. 1 to 4 exparte.
Defendants No. 2 (I), (ii) and defendant No. 5 exparte.

PW-1 Vikas Parbhakar is present for his further cross examination but other counsel sought for an adjournment. In the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-5 Karandeep Singh and for further cross examination of PW-1 Vikas Prabhakar as well as for Cross examination of PW-4 Narinder Sharma.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Amrit Hire Purchase Vs. Balbir singh & ors.

present: Sh. K.K Arora, counsel for plaintiff.

Notice issued to defendant No. 1 received back with the report of served through. Fresh notice to defendant No. 1 be again issued for 16.05.2017.

An application for furnishing the correct address of defendant No. 2 moved by learned counsel for the plaintiff. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, defendant No. 2 is hereby ordered to be served through publication in newspaper "Nawa Zamana" for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Gulshan Dhillon Vs. Ravneet Pal Singh

present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, counsel for applicants.

Respondents No. 2 & 3 not served as correct address not filed by applicants. Applicants are directed through their counsel to furnish correct address of respondents No. 2 & 3 within 05 days and thereafter, fresh notice to respondents No. 2 & 3 be again issued for 16.05.2017.

Notice issued to respondent No. 1 received back unserved. Fresh notice to respondent No. 1 be again issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/07.04.2017
Surinder Singh Vs. Punjab State	

present: Sh. Anand Pandit, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. R.K sharma, counsel for defendants.

Reply not filed. On request of learned counsel for the defendants, case is adjourned to 05.05.2017 for filing reply to the application under Order 11 Rule 14 CPC on behalf of defendants.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/07.04.2017
Case No. CS-326/2017	

Jaspal Singh Vs. Avtar Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. B.S Lucky, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Dalbir singh Mahal, counsel for defendants.

Written statement not filed by defendants. On request of learned counsel for the defendants, case is adjourned to 02.05.2017 for filing written statement as well as reply on behalf of defendants subject to last opportunity as well as subject to payment of cost of Rs. 100/- to be deposited in DLSA.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/07.04.2017
Case No. CS-173/2017	

Joginder Pal Vs. Nand Lal

Present: Sh. S.K Chopra, counsel for plaintiffs.

As per the report of Ahlmad correct address not defendant not filed by plaintiff. An application under Order 5 Rule 20 CPC moved by learned counsel for the plaintiffs. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, defendant is hereby ordered to be served through publication in newspaper "Hindustan Times" for 10.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

CJJD/07.04.2017

Amarjit Singh Vs. Ranjit Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. D.S Dyal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for defendant No. 2.

Complete particulars of defendant No. 1 not furnished by plaintiff. On furnishing of complete particulars of defendant No. 1 by the plaintiff within 05 days, fresh notice to defendant No. 1 be again issued for 15.05.2017.

Replication to the written statement filed by defendant No. 2 if any be also filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

CJJD/07.04.2017

Vicky Chauhan & ors. Vs. Jasbir Kaur & ors.

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar, counsel for plaintiffs.
Sh. P.P Singh, counsel for defendant No. 1.
Sh. Shailender Gill, G.P for defendants No. 2 & 3.

No evidence of the defendants is present today. On request of learned counsel for the defendants, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for entire evidence of the defendants subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

CJJD/07.04.2017

Akhwinder kaur Vs. Bakshish Kaur

Present: Sh. Satpaul Viridi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. B.S Lally, counsel for defendant No. 1.
Sh. Anuj Mehta, counsel for defendants No. 2 to 6.

PW-1 Akhwinder Kaur is present and partly cross examined. Her further cross examination is deferred on the request of learned counsel for the defendants. Now to come up on 19.04.2017 for further cross examination of PW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Lal Chand

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited till 12.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. MNT 467/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Sarabjit Kaur Vs. Jagdev Singh

Present: Sh. R.K Bhagat, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. K.K Rajput, counsel for respondent.

Rejoinder filed. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 09.05.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-2017/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Ramesh Kumar Vs. Vijay Kumar & ors.

Present: Sh. Pawan Malhotra, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. G.S Mattu, counsel for defendants No. 1 to

3.

Ms. Harleen Kaur, counsel for defendant No. 4.

Sh. Pawan Malhotra, counsel for the plaintiff has suffered a statement that he does not press the stay application at this stage, the case may be fixed for plaintiff evidence. Heard. From the pleadings of the parties following issues are framed as under:-

1 Whether plaintiff is entitled to the relief of recovery as prayed for?OPP.

2 Whether plaintiff is entitled to the relief of permanent injunction as prayed for?OPP.

3 Whether present suit is not maintainable?OPD.

4 Whether present suit is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties?OPD.

5 Whether plaintiff ha concealed the material facts from the court and not come to the court with clean hands?OPD.

6 Relief.

No other issues arises or pressed. Now to come up on 18.05.2017 for evidence of the plaintiff. PF/DM and list of witnesses be filed within 05 days otherwise plaintiff is not entitled to get any assistance of the court for summoning the witnesses.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. COMA-389/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

Manpreet Kaur Vs. Khuwinder @ Tinku

Present: Sh. R.K Bhalla, counsel for applicant.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for respondents.

Petitioner Manpreet Kaur has suffered a statement that she does not want to proceed further with the present applicant, same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement suffered by petitioner, present case stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be

consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Jalandhar

Mandeep Singh Vs. Balbir Kaur

present: Complainant with counsel.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No DW is present today. On request of learned defence counsel, case is adjourned to 17.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Rajinder Pal Vs. Sanjay Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/07.04.2017

Present: Sh. S.C Sood, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. S.C Nanda, counsel for defendants.
Sh. Mukhtiar Mohammad, counsel for applicant
Vinay Kumar.

There are chances of compromise between the parties. On request of learned counsel for the parties, case is adjourned to 10.05.2017 for awaiting report of compromise.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. EXE-100/2017

Santo Devi Vs. Om Parkash

Present: Sh. Kunwar Sood, counsel for DH.

Sh. Prem Nath @ Prem Pal son of Late. Mangal Dass has suffered a statement that he has taken the possession of the shop through this execution. So, he does not want to proceed further with the present execution and same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn being fully

satisfied. In view of the statement suffered by Sh. Prem Nath, present execution stands dismissed as withdrawn being fully satisfied. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar

Neelam Rani Vs. Vidya

Present: Sh. Ram Pal, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request by the learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 17.05.2017 for remaining arguments on stay application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-154/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Bakshi Ram Vs. Kashmiri Lal

Present: Sh. B.P Relh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. P.S Dhillon, counsel for defendant.

Today learned counsel for the plaintiff has suffered a statement that he does not want to proceed further with the present case as compromise has been effected between the parties. So, on the instructions of the plaintiff, he withdraw the present suit. Same may be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement suffered by learned counsel for the plaintiff, present suit stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar

Gajjan Singh Vs. Bablesh Seth

present: Sh. P.N Pumbra, counsel for plaintiffs.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for defendant.

PW-1 Harbhajan Singh is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred on the request of learned counsel for the defendant. Now to come up on 18.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Jagdish Lal Vs. Som Nath & ors.

present: Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants No. 1 & 3 in person.

Defendants No. 1 & 3 appeared in person and marked their presence. On their request, case is adjourned to 08.05.2017 for filing power of attorney as well as written statement on behalf of defendants No. 1 & 3.

Notice issued to defendant No. 2 received back with the report of served through. Fresh notice to defendant No. 2 be again issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Amarjit Singh Vs. Ranjit Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. D.S Dyal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for defendant No. 2.

Complete particulars of defendant No. 1 not furnished by plaintiff. On furnishing of complete particulars of defendant No. 1 by the plaintiff within 05 days, fresh notice to defendant No. 1 be again issued for 07.04.2017.

Replication to the written statement filed by defendant No. 2 if any be also filed on the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
CJJD/09.03.2017

Amarjit Singh Vs. Ranjit Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. D.S Dyal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for defendant No. 2.

Complete particulars of defendant No. 1 not furnished by plaintiff. On furnishing of complete particulars of defendant No. 1 by the plaintiff, fresh notice to defendant No. 1 be again issued for 07.04.2017.

Replication to the written statement filed by defendant No. 2 if any be also filed on the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
CJJD/09.03.2017

Meenakshi Vs. Sanjay

present: sh. APS Pathania, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Sanjeev Gupta, counsel for respondent.

Reply on behalf of the respondent not filed. On request of learned counsel for the respondent, case is adjourned to 28.04.2017 for filing reply subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Vasid Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Applicant in person.

Report from SHO has already received back police has no objection if the vehicle is released to its original owner. Applicant has not placed on record any ownership proof regarding the vehicle. Applicant is directed to place on record copy of RC on or before 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Anil Kapoor Vs. Sonia

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Sohita Talwar,
Advocate.

No preliminary evidence of the complainant is present today. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for entire preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Case No. CS 154/14

Bakshi Ram Vs. Kashmiri Lal

present: Sh. B.P Ralh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. P.S Dhillon, counsel for defendant.

On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for recording statement of parties.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

State Vs. Rajwinder @ Raj

Present: APP for the State.

Challan presented today in the absence of accused. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Notice to accused be issued for 20.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Manoj Kushwaha

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused PO.

PO challan against accused Manoj Kushwaha presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Let prosecution witnesses under Section 299 of Cr.P.C be summoned for 03.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017
Baby @ Simran Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Applicant-accused Baby @ Simran with counsel Sh.
R.K Bhalla, Advocate.

Applicant accused Baby @ Simran appeared through counsel and moved an application for surrender and bail on the ground that she has been falsely implicated in this case.

Notice of the bail application was given to learned APP for the State, who orally opposed the bail application.

Arguments on the bail application heard. Accused has herself surrendered before the court. Conclusion of the trial will take long time, so no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody for an unlimited period. As such accused Baby @ Simran is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing her bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 1 lac with one surety of the like amount with the following conditions:-

1 she will appear on each and every date of hearing in the court.

2 she will not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

3 she will not allured the prosecution witnesses nor tamper the investigation of the prosecution.

Accused has suffered a statement that she may kindly be released on personal bonds and she will furnish her surety bonds tomorrow. Personal bonds furnished, which are accepted and attested. Accused is directed to furnish her surety bonds tomorrow in view of her statement.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/06.04.2017

Hind Samachar Vs. Vivek Pathania

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Deepak Chawla, counsel for defendant.

Reply not filed. Reply to the application for production of document be filed on 27.04.2017 subject to last opportunity. Power of attorney on behalf of defendant be also filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. CHA-332/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

State Vs. Akhil

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Statement of accused under Section 313 of Cr.P.C recorded. Accused wants to lead evidence in defence. Now to come up on 12.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Sunny

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/06.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in custody represented by Mrs. Navjot Kaur Sidhu, Free Legal Aid Counsel.

No defence evidence of the accused is present today. On request of learned defence counsel, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. CS-83/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/06.04.2017

Jasvir Kaur Vs. LIC

Present: Sh. Pershant Sareen, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. SC Sood, counsel for defendant No. 1.
sh. P.L Malhotra, counsel for defendants No. 2
& 3.

Sh. Kunwar Sood, counsel for defendant No. 1
has suffered a statement that the defendant No. 1 is not to
lead any evidence and a written statement taking the
defence has already been filed and close the evidence on
behalf of defendant No. 1.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017
for consideration on the application for issuing directions
to defendant No. 2 Tarsem Singh to produce his income tax
returns or in the alternative provide details of PAN.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. 2323/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Kulwant Kaur Vs. Avtar chand

Present: Sh. Sanjive Bhardwaj, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. B.P Ralh, counsel for defendant.

DW-1 Avtar Chand and DW-2 Charanjit are
present and cross examined. DW-3 Gurdev Raj is present
and examined. No other evidence of the defendant is
present today. On request, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017
for remaining evidence of the defendant.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Case No. EXE-518/2016

Ranjit Kaur & ors. Vs. Gurnam singh & ors.

Present: Sh. M. Mohd, counsel for applicants.
ASI Paramjit Singh on behalf of respondent No. 2.

Reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 not filed.
Reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 be filed on 11.05.2017.

Respondent No. 1 not served as correct address not filed by applicants. Applicants are directed through their counsel to furnish correct address of respondent No. 1 within 05 days and, thereafter, fresh notice to respondent No. 1 be again issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. 940/16

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Kulwinder Kaur Vs. Natha Singh

Present: Sh. Jagroop Singh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Ramesh Sodhi, counsel for defendant No.1.

Defendant No. 2 not served as correct address not filed by plaintiff. Same be furnished by the plaintiff within 05 days subject to last opportunity and, thereafter, notice to defendant No. 2 be issued for 11.05.2017. Previous cost be also paid on that date.

Reply to the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC not filed. Same be also filed on that date subject to last opportunity. Previous cost be also paid on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Tarsem Lal Vs. Ankush Gupta

Present: Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for plaintiff.
sh. N.K Aggarwal, counsel for defendants.

Witness from Record Room is present but not

examined. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, said witness is bound down for 10.04.2017. PW Dr. Raman Kumar Gupta be again served through non bailable warrants for the date fixed. Summons to remaining witnesses if any be also issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Yogesh Jairath Vs. Mukesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Vikas Sood, counsel for plaintiff.

Defendants No. 1, 2, 3 & 5 not served as correct address not filed by plaintiff. Correct address of said defendants be furnished within 05 days by the plaintiff and, thereafter, fresh notice to them be again issued for 11.05.2017 subject to last opportunity.

Notice issued to defendant No. 4 received back served through. Fresh notice to defendant No. 4 be again issued for the date fixed subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent-192/2015

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Gurdev Singh Vs. Holdar Yadav

Present: Sh. Prince Tayal, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Vijay Parbhakar, counsel for respondent.

PW-1 Gurdev Singh is present for his cross examination. Learned counsel for the respondent sought for an adjournment, which is granted subject to last opportunity. Now to come up on 25.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Gurdev Singh as well as for remaining evidence of the petitioner subject to last opportunity.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

Case No. CS-2591/2016

Baldev Raj Vs. Amritpal Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. I.S Bhatia, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Dharam Pal Aggarwal, counsel for
defendant No. 1.
Tehsildar Jalandhar-I on behalf of defendants
No. 4 & 5.
Defendant No. 2 exparte.
Sh. G.S Sodhi, counsel for defendant No. 6.

Reply to the application for directing the
defendant No. 5 to provide the record pertaining to the
suit, to defendant No. 6 not filed. Defendant No. 5 sought
for an adjournment. Reply on behalf of defendant No. 5 be
filed on 11.04.2017.

Written statement as well as reply on behalf of
defendants No. 4, 5 & 6 not filed. Sought for an
adjournment. Written statement as well as reply on behalf
of defendants no. 4, 5 & 6 be filed on the date fixed
subject to last opportunity as well as subject to payment of
cost of Rs. 200/- each.

RC issued for the service of defendant No. 3 not
served back. Perusal of the case file shows that plaintiff
has placed on record receipt dated 25.10.2016 through
which RC for the service of defendant No. 3 was issued but
till date same has not been received back. It seems that
defendant No. 3 is well aware regarding the proceedings of
the present case and intentionally not appearing before the
court. Period of 30 days has already been lapsed and as per
General Clauses Act, the defendant No. 3 is deemed to be
served. Accordingly, defendant No. 3 is proceeded against
exparte.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Libra Bus Service Vs. MSK Projects

Present: Sh. R.R Neeraj, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Umesh Dhingra, counsel for defendants.

No evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 and PW-2 subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

B.D Kaler Vs. Paramjit singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Gurwinder Arora, counsel for respondent.

Reply to the application for admission or denial on behalf of petitioner filed. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 11.04.2017 for consideration on the above said application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. MNT-122/2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

Jyoti Bala & ors. Vs Surinder Kumar

Present: Sh. K.L Dua, counsel for petitioners.

Petition under Section 125 of Cr.P.C received through allocation. It be registered. Notice to respondent be issued for 03.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-820/2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

The Hind Samachar Ltd. Vs. Surinder Kumar

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for the plaintiff.

Suit received by entrustment. Reader to report.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Sir,

Court fees affixed on the plaint is correct.

Reader/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for the plaintiff.

Report of Reader seen. Suit be registered. Notice to defendant be issued for 09.05.2017.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Case No. CM-496/2016

Maya Devi & ors. Vs. Union of India & ors.

Present: Sh. Navtej Singh Minhas, Advocate, counsel for applicant-defendant No. 5 Shobha Rani.

Sh. N.S Minhas counsel for applicant-defendant No. 5 Shobha Rani has suffered a statement that he does not want to proceed with the present application due to technical defect. The same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement suffered by counsel for applicant-defendant No. 5 Shobha Rani, present application stands dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to record room.

Dt. 06.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar

Case No. CRM 3447/2016

Bhavika Mitra Vs. Munish Mitra

Present: Sh. R.K Bhalla, counsel for DH.
JD/respondent in person.

Counsel for the DH has suffered a statement that he has received Rs. 7000/- as part payment of interim maintenance on behalf of petitioner from the respondent. Now to come up on 06.05.2017 for making remaining payment.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Karan Verma Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Narinder Singh, counsel for applicant.

Reply not filed. Fresh notice to State be issued for 15.04.2017. Reply on behalf of State be also filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Case No. CS-1214/2016

Simran & ors. Vs. Union of India & ors.

Present: Sh. Sanjeev Sharma counsel for plaintiffs.
Sh. GPS Rana, counsel for defendants.

PW-1 Resham Singh, PW-2 Joginder Kaur and PW-3 Pardeep Kaur are present and examined in chief. Their cross examinations are deferred on the request of learned counsel for the defendants. Now to come up on 25.04.2017 for cross examinations of above said witnesses as well as for remaining evidence of the plaintiffs.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Nand Lal Vs. Sukhjinder Kaur & ors.

Present: Sh. R.P Goel, counsel for petitioner.
sh. K.K Rajput, counsel for respondent No. 3.
Remaining respondents exparte.

No RW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the respondent, case is adjourned to 17.04.2017 for cross examination of RW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of the respondent subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. COMA-431/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/06.04.2017

Khushpalak Vs. Rajneesh

Present: sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for petitioner.

Report from CDPO not received. Same be again called for 02.05.2017.

Respondents No. 1 & 4 not served as complete address not filed by petitioner. Complete address of respondents No. 1 & 4 be furnished within 05 days by the petitioner and, thereafter, fresh notice to respondents No. 1 & 4 be again issued for the date fixed.

Respondents No. 2, 3 & 5 not served for want of RC/AD. Same be furnished within 05 days and, thereafter, respondents No. 2, 3 & 5 be served through RC/AD for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Case No. COMI-462/2015

Dr. Vikas Gupta Vs. Ashok Kumar

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. B.S Sangha,
Advocate.

Complaina

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno
Case No. COMA-377/2015

JMIC/06.04.2017

Preeti Bala vs. Sunil Kumar

Present: sh. Rajesh Trikha, counsel for complainant.
sh. Rajneesh Khanna, counsel for respondents
No. 1 to 4.

Compromise not effected between the parties.
Let fresh notice to respondents No. 5 & 6 be again issued
for 03.05.2017. Respondents No. 5 & 6 be also served
through postal department for the date fixed on depositing
of necessary postal charges.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Urmila Devi Vs. Rakesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Sunil Thapar,
Advocate.
Accused on bail with counsel sh. D.S Chitti,
Advocate.

CW-2 Urmila Devi, CW-3 Baldev Singh and
CW-4 Sudesh Kumari are present and examined in chief.
Their cross examinations are deferred on the request of
learned counsel for the accused. Now to come up on
11.04.2017 for cross examination of CW-2 Urmila Devi,
CW-3 Baldev Singh and CW-4 Sudesh Kumari as well as
for remaining pre-charge evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs Harvinder Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counsel for accused Harvinder Singh.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in
the application, personal appearance of accused Harvinder
Singh is exempted for today only.

On request, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for

consideration.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Hind Samachar Vs. Maharishi Charak

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.

Deficiency of court fee made good. Let notice to defendant be issued for 12.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Hind Samachar Vs. Ram Niwas

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.

Deficiency of court fee made good. Let notice to defendant be issued for 12.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Jeet Kaur & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counsel for accused Jeet Kaur.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Jeet Kaur is exempted for today only.

On request of learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for consideration on charge.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Seema Vs. Tilak Raj & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Prince Tayal, counsel for petitioner.
sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for respondents No. 1 to 5.

No PW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017

for entire evidence of the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent 262/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Surinder Kumar Vs. Harmesh Lal

Present: Sh. S.K Gautam, counsel for petitioner.
sh. Gurvinder Arora, counsel for respondent.

Rejoinder filed. Copy supplied. Now to come up
on 10.05.2017 for consideration on assessment of
provisional rent.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-198/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

R.V Mehta vs. Subramaniam

Present: Sh. A.K Jyoti, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sachin Sharda, counsel for defendant.

DW-3 Subramaniam is present and examined in
chief. His cross examination is deferred on the request of
learned counsel for the plaintiff. Now to come up on
20.04.2017 for cross examination of DW-3 Subramaniam.
Non bailable warrants of witness from PSPCL be also
issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CHA-495/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

State Vs. Sunil Kumar

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

From the documents on record a prima facie offence
punishable under Section 61 of Excise Act is made out. Accused has
been charge sheeted thereunder, to which he pleaded not guilty and

claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 25.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Sharda Rani & Ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Shailender Gill, APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No defence evidence of the accused is present today. On request of learned defence counsel, case is adjourned to 10.04.2017 for remaining defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. MNT-552/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Khushpalak Vs. Rajneesh

Present: sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for petitioner.

Notice issued to respondent not received back either executed or unexecuted. Fresh notice to respondent be again issued for 02.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent 30461/13

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

Ramesh Kumar Vs. Vijay Kumar

present: Sh. Sanjive Bansal, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. N.K Aggarwal, counsel for respondent.

No evidence of the petitioner is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 21.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-2 and for remaining evidence of the petitioner subject to last opportunity. Petitioner is directed to conclude his entire

evidence on the next date of hearing.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Rohit Dogra

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

present: APP for the State.
Accused in person.

Original file not received from learned
Appellate Court. Same be awaited till 24.04.2017.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Case No. CHI-87967/2013

Leela Wanti Vs. Kewal Krishan & ors.

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Sunil Bhagat,
Advocate.
Accused No. 1 Kewal Krishan proclaimed
person.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Reply not filed by accused. On request of
learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to
11.04.2017 for filing reply to the application for secondary
evidence on behalf of accused.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-53161/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/06.04.2017

Ranjit singh Vs. Surinder Kaur

Present: Sh. A.K Bajaj, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. I.S Bhatia, counsel for defendants No. 4, 5.
Remaining defendants exparte.

Today learned counsel for the plaintiff vide
recorded his separate statement tendered certain documents
and closed oral evidence of the plaintiff. Now to come up
on 15.04.2017 for documentary evidence of the plaintiff as
well as for evidence of the defendants.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. COMI-31909/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Leela Wanti Vs. Kewal Krishan & ors.

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Sunil Bhagat,
Advocate.
Accused No. 1 Kewal Krishan proclaimed
person.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Reply not filed by accused. On request of
learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to
11.04.2017 for filing reply to the application for secondary
evidence on behalf of accused.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. COMI-31176/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Satnam Singh Vs. Pargat Singh

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. A.K Bajaj,
Advocate.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No evidence of the complainant is present
today. On request of learned counsel for the complainant,
case is adjourned to 17.04.2017 for remaining entire
evidence of the complainant. Complainant is directed to
conclude his entire evidence on the next date of hearing.
Summons to doctor be issued for the date fixed as per list
dated 25.01.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Bank of India Vs. Sumesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Y.V Rishi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Vikas Sharma, counsel for defendant No. 3.
Defendant No. 1 & 2 exparte.

Arguments not addressed. On request by the
learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to

05.05.2017 for consideration on the application under Order 15 Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Bank of Patiala Vs. Rita Jain & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Y.V Rishi, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants no. 1 & 2 in person (defence struck off vide order dated 22.08.2016).

Learned counsel for the plaintiff stated at bar that compromise has been effected with the defendants. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, file be put up before the National Lok-Adalat on 08.04.2017 for recording statement of parties.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent-319/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Surjit Kaur Vs. Fancy Hair Style

Present: Sh. Balram Shakti, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Kanwar Opinder singh Jaswal, counsel for respondent.

No evidence of the petitioner is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for entire evidence of the petitioner. Petitioner is directed to conclude her entire evidence on the next date of hearing.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent-320/2014

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/06.04.2017

Surjit Kaur Vs. Pawan Kumar

Present: Sh. Balram Shakti, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Ashok Kumar, counsel for respondent.

No evidence of the petitioner is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for entire evidence of the

petitioner. Petitioner is directed to conclude her entire evidence on the next date of hearing.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/06.04.2017

Case No. CM-596/2016

Paramjit Singh & ors. Vs. Inderjit Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. R.K Bhagat, counsel for applicant.
Sh. Kanwar Opinder Singh Jaswal Thakur,
counsel for respondents No. 2 & 3.
Respondents No. 1, 4 & 5 exparte.

Heard. From the pleadings of the parties following issues are framed as under:-

- 1 Whether there are sufficient grounds to restore the present suit which was dismissed in default on 28.07.2016 as prayed for?OPA.
- 2 Whether present application has been filed within limitation?OPA.
- 3 Relief.

No other issues arises or pressed. Now to come up on 19.05.2017 for evidence of the applicant on the issues framed as above.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Case No. 1782/2016

Meena Vs. Darshna Rani

Present: Sh. S.K Kapur, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sanjay Sabharwal, counsel for defendant.

Compromise not effected between the parties. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 03.05.2017 for filing replication, if any on behalf of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Varinder Singh, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendant exparte.

No exparte evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 29.05.2017 for entire exparte evidence of the plaintiff. This is 3rd opportunity for exparte evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kuldeep Singh Vs. Surinder singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. Gaurav Bangar, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants exparte.

No exparte evidence the plaintiff is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 24.05.2017 for exparte evidence of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Satpal Vs. Dharam Pal & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

Present: Sh. R.K Bhalla, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Ajay Kaushik, counsel for defendant No. 1.
Sh. Jaswant Singh, counsel for defendants No. 2 to 8.

No evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 11.05.2017 for entire evidence of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity as plaintiff has already availed 04 effective opportunities for his evidence.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-2678/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/06.04.2017

The Hind Samachar Ltd. Vs. Harish Chander

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rajesh Kumar Nanda, counsel for defendant.

There are chances of compromise between the parties. On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 08.04.2017 for awaiting report of compromise.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/06.04.2017
M/s Sachkhand Transport Vs. Avdesh Pandey	

Present: Sh. T.K Badhan, counsel for applicant.

As per the report of Ahlmad summons issued for the service of respondent not received back. Let fresh summons for the service of respondent Avdesh Pandey be again issued for 10.05.2017. Respondent be also served through postal department on depositing of postal charges for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/06.04.2017
BDA Vs. Steel Authority of India & ors.	

Present: Sh. D.K Gupta, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. B.S Sangha, counsel for defendants No. 1, 3 & 4.
Sh. S.K Gautam, counsel for defendants No. 2 & 5.

As per the report of Ahlmad Defendants No. 6 & 7 not served as correct address not filed by the plaintiff. An application for directing defendant No. 1 to file better particulars and correct address of defendants No. 6 & 7 moved by plaintiff. Copy supplied. Reply to the said application on behalf of defendant No. 1 be filed on 01.05.2017. Consideration on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC be also made on that date.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/06.04.2017

Case NO. EXE 165/2016

Jatinder Singh Vs. Om Parkash

Present: Decree holder in person.
JD exparte.

As per the report of Ahlmad warrants of possession received back unexecuted. Let fresh warrants of possession be again issued with direction to DH to accompany the Baliff after making arrangement of Sapurdar for 09.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/06.04.2017

Kuldeep Kaur Vs. Kiran Dhingra

Present: Complainant in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of complainant, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

State Vs. Sukhwant Kaur

present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel Sh. M.S Sachdev,
Advocate.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on the application for discharge of accused.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

State Vs. Anant Ram

Present: APP for the State.
Applicant-accused in custody.

Accused produced by the jail authorities. Copies of challan have been supplied to the accused free of cost.

Since the offence against the accused is bailable in nature. As such, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds not furnished. The accused can furnish personal bonds today if surety bonds not available. Personal bonds also not furnished. From the documents on record a prima-facie offence punishable under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act is made out against the accused. Accused has been charge sheeted thereunder, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Lal Chand

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited till
26.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Vasid Vs. State of Punjab

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Present: Applicant in person.

Report from SHO received. Now to come up on
06.04.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Yusuf

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017
for consideration on charge.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Pooja Vs. Baldev Raj

Present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, counsel for petitioner.
sh. Pritpal Singh, counsel for respondent.

No PW is present. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Pooja subject to last opportunity and no further opportunity shall be granted for petitioner evidence. Petitioner is directed to conclude her entire evidence on the next date of hearing.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Nirmal Rani & ors. Vs. Goga

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/01.04.2017

Present: Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for petitioners.

Petition under Section 13 of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act received through allocation. It be registered. Notice to respondent be issued for 25.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Pee Pee Marketing Vs. Guru Nanak

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/01.04.2017

Present: Sh. Gurcharan Singh, counsel for DH.
sh. Sandip Sharma, counsel for JD.

Sh. Sandip Sharma, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of JD. Amended title not filed. DH is directed to file amended title on or before 26.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

State Vs. Raman Kumar

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in custody represented by Free Legal
Aid Counsel.

Request for judicial remand moved. Heard.
Accused is remanded to judicial custody till 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Neena Sarin Vs. Anita

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/01.04.2017

Present: Sh. Ashok Bammi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Neeraj Thakur, counsel for defendant.

Cost paid. No evidence of the plaintiff is
present today. On request of learned counsel for the
plaintiff, case is adjourned to 20.04.2017 for cross
examination of PW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of
the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. 53434/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Surinder Singh Vs. Tarsem Singh

Present: Sh. G.L Gagneja, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. K.L Dua, counsel for defendant No. 1.
Sh. A.K Arora, counsel for defendant No. 4.
Sh. Paramjit Singh, counsel for defendants No.
5 to 7.
Defendants No. 2 & 3 exparte.

Amended written statement on behalf of
defendants No. 5, 6 & 7 filed. Copy supplied. Amended
written statement on behalf of defendants No. 1 & 4 not
filed. Same be filed on 07.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Geeta & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW LC Rani is present but not examined as learned defence counsel is not available. So, PW LC Rani is bound down for 02.05.2017. PW HC Jaswinder Singh has not come present despite his service. So, he be served throughailable warrants for the date fixed. His salary is ordered to be attached. Necessary intimation in this regard be sent to concerned quarters. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/01.04.2017
Harvinder Pal Singh Vs. Rajesh Kumar	

present: None for complainant.
Counsel for accused.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused is exempted for today only.

None has appeared on behalf of the complainant despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/01.04.2017
Chunni Lal Vs. Naresh Kumar	

present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendants No. 1 & 2 in person.

Cost paid. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 22.05.2017 for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/01.04.2017

Kiran Vs. Kuldeep

Present: Applicant in person.
Respondent in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of applicant, case is adjourned to 29.04.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Deep Arora Vs. Charanjit Arora

present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent in person.

No PW is present. On request of petitioner, case is adjourned to 02.05.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 and PW-2.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Poonam Batra Vs. Munish

present: Applicant in person.
Respondents in person.

Cost not paid. Rejoinder not filed. On request of applicant, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for filing rejoinder if any on behalf of the applicant. Previous cost be also paid on that date.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Case No. CRM 970/2016

Nidhi Vs. Rajesh Kumar

Present: DH in person.
JD Rajesh Kumar in person.

Petitioner Nidhi has suffered a statement that she has received Rs. 2000/- from JD as part payment of interim maintenance. Now to come up on 25.04.2017 for making remaining payment.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Paramjit Kaur Vs. Inderjit Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Defendant No. 2 in person.
 plaintiff/respondent in person.

No RW is present. On request of respondent, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for remaining evidence of the respondent subject to last opportunity. Summons to witnesses be also issued for the date fixed subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Aarti Vs Jit Ram & ors.

Present: Applicant in person.
 Respondent No. 4 ex parte vide order dated 30.11.2016.

Today affidavit for filing correct address of respondents No. 1 to 3 moved by applicant. Let fresh summons to respondents No. 1 to 3 be again issued on the correct address for 25.04.2016. Dasti summons be given, if so desired.

Respondent No. 5 not served for want of correct address. Applicant is directed through her counsel to furnish correct address of respondent No. 5 within 05 days and, thereafter, fresh notice to respondent No. 5 be again issued for the date fixed. Dasti summons be given, if so desired.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Raj Rani Vs. Maddan Lal

Present: Petitioner in person.
 Respondent in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of respondent, case is adjourned to 21.04.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/01.04.2017

Balwinder Singh Vs. Mohinder Singh

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. R.R Neeraj, Advocate.

Heard. On the application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. After hearing learned counsel for the complainant, I deem it just to consider the present application as private complaint as there are no such circumstances, which warrants ordering of registration of the case. Let present application be registered as private complaint. Now to come up on 20.04.2017 for preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. CS-2283/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/01.04.2017

Gagandeep Kaur Vs. Parminder singh

present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendant in person.

Replication not filed. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for filing replication if any on behalf of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity. Consideration on framing of issues be also made on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kabul singh Vs. Balbir singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendants in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 21.04.2017 for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sandeep Kaur Vs. Sunil Verma

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent in person.

Application under Section 294 of admit and denial moved by learned counsel for the respondent. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 19.04.2017 for filing reply to the above said application on behalf of petitioner.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Satwinder Singh Vs. Sukhvir Kaur

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendants in person.

Original file received. No evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 08.05.2017 for entire evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. CS 2903/2015

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Manjinder Kaur Vs. Kehar Singh

Present: Counsel for the parties.

Docket put up before me by Ahlmad as original file has been sent to learned Appellate Court. Same be awaited till 17.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. CS-444/2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Mandip Singh vs. Jatinder Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar Kashyap, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Jatinder Singh, counsel for defendants.

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of defendants. On his request, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for filing written statement on behalf of defendants. Status quo order dated 22.02.2017 is extended till further orders.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Nidhi Vs. Rajesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent No. 1 in person.
Remaining respondents in person.

AW-1 Nidhi is present for her cross examination. On request of respondent as District Bar Association Jalandhar is observing No Work Day, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for cross examination of AW-1 Nidhi. Respondent is directed to provide rental accommodation to the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Indu Bala Vs. Vikas

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/01.04.2017

Present: Applicant in person.
Respondent/plaintiff in person.

Reply not filed. On request of respondent/plaintiff case is adjourned to 28.04.2017 for filing reply. Original file be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Gurmukh Singh Vs. Gurcharan Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

present: Sh. Ashok Paruthi, counsel for DH.
Sh. A.S Thakur, counsel for JD objector.

No further orders received from Hon'ble Supreme Court. Now to come up on 10.05.2017 for awaiting further orders. Ahmad is directed to check status of the case.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Avtar Singh Vs. Manging director

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Mrs. Anita B. Palia, counsel for applicant.

As per the report of Ahlmad JD not served as RC not furnished by the applicant. Applicant is directed through his counsel to furnish RC for the service of JD within 05 days and, thereafter, JD be served through RC on the alternative address mentioned in the title of the execution for 25.04.2017 subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Punjab & Sind Bank Vs. Harmandar singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Sh. APS Pathania, counsel for DH.

JD not served as correct address not filed by DH. Same be furnished by the DH within 05 days by the DH subject to last opportunity and, thereafter, fresh notice to JD be again issued for 19.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sukhdeep Singh Vs. Baldish Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendant in person.

No evidence of the defendant is present today. On request of defendant, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for entire evidence of the defendant.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sardari Lal Vs. Anmol Walia

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/01.04.2017

Present: DH/petitioner in person.
JD/objector in person.

Perusal of the case file shows that vide order dated 03.08.2016 passed by the Hon'ble High Court proceedings of the present execution were stayed. No further orders from Hon'ble High Court not received. Now to come up on 17.05.2017 for awaiting further orders of

Hon'ble High Court.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
CJJD/01.04.2017

State Vs. Ashok Kumar

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in person with counsel.

Challan presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Copies of challan have been supplied to accused free of cost. Since the offence against the accused is bailable in nature. As such, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Personal bonds on behalf of accused furnished which are accepted and attested. Heard. From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act is made out. Accordingly has been charge sheeted therein, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 23.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/31.03.2017

State Vs. Ashok Kumar

Present: APP for the State.
Sh. Gurpreet Singh, counsel for complainant.
Accused Prem Lal and Jatinder Kumar reported to be died.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel Sh. Sanjive Bansal and Sh. M.S Sachdev, Advocate.

No PW is present. On request of prosecution, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for further cross examination of PW-1 Surinder Kumar on behalf of accused Ashok Kumar. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/31.03.2017

Suman Sareen Vs. Manoj Thakur

Present: Petitioner in person.

Petition under Section 20 of Punjab Rent Act received through entrustment. It be registered. Notice to respondent be issued for 11.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/31.03.2017

Surinder singh Vs. Kulwinder Kaur & ors.

Present: Applicant in person.

Application for restoration of the application dismissed in default received through allocation. It be registered. Report of Ahlmad be called for 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/31.03.2017

Case No. CS-614/17

Rajan Angural Vs. Kashmir Singh & ors.

Present: Plaintiff in person.

RCS issued for the service of defendants not received back. Now to come up on 19.04.2017 for awaiting Rcs.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/31.03.2017

Rajan Angural Vs. Kashmir Singh & ors.

Present: Plaintiff in person.

Notice issued to defendants received back with the report of Adampata. Correct address of defendants be furnished within 05 days and, thereafter, fresh notice to

defendants be again issued for 25.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Surjit Kaur Vs. Manjit Kaur & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/31.03.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.

Notice issued to defendant No. 1 not received back. Fresh be again issued for 10.05.2017.

Notice issued to defendant No. 2 received back with the report of Adampata. Correct address of defendant No. 2 be furnished within 05 days and, thereafter, fresh notice to defendant No. 2 be again issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sati Mata Vs. General Public

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/31.03.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Suit against defendant No. 2 DAW.
Defendant No.1 exparte.

No exparte evidence of th plaintiff is present today. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for remaining exparte evidence of the plaintiff.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
CJJD/31.03.2017

Lovely Auto Vs. Vipin Raj

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendant in person (defence struck off).

No evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of plaintiff, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for entire evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

MB Vs JP

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/31.03.2017

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendants exparte.

No exparte evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of plaintiff case is adjourned to 27.04.2017 for remaining exparte evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/31.03.2017

Case No. 300/2015

Vinod Kumar Vs. usha

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent No. 1 in person.
Respondents No. 2 to 4 in person.

No compromise effected between the parties. On request of petitioner, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Vinod Kumar.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
CJJD/31.03.2017

Case No. CM 29953/2013

Kuldev singh Vs. Balbir Kaur

Present: Sh. S.S Komal, counsel for applicant/plaintiff
No. 1 Kuldev singh.
Sh. G.S Sachdeva, counsel for applicant.
Sh. Adarsh Pal Singh, counsel for respondent
No.2.
Sh. Anand Pandit, counsel for respondent
No.8.
Respondent No. 5 died.

No further orders of Hon'ble High Court received. Now to come up on 29.04.2017 for awaiting further orders of Hon'ble High Court.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

CJJD/31.03.2017

Nanda Education Vs. Swami Shiv Yogi & ors.

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Yogesh Malhotra, Advocate.

Accused Swami Shiv Yogi and Lakshman Dass on bail with counsel Sh. S.K Verma, Advocate.

Accused Darbara singh on bail with counsel Sh. B.S Kalra, Advocate.

No post charge evidence of the complainant is present today. On request of complainant, case is adjourned to 10.04.2017 for post charge evidence of the complainant. This is 3rd opportunity for complainant evidence.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

JMIC/31.03.2017

Pooja Kumari Vs. Bijender Singh

Present: None for complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of the complainant despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 27.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of complainant.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

JMIC/31.03.2017

Satish Kumar Vs. Vishram & ors.

Present: None for complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of the complainant despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 27.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of complainant.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno

JMIC/31.03.2017

Bipin Brij Vs. Pritam Singh

Present: Sh. Hans Raj Sharma, counsel for DH.

Sh. Tejinder Singh Dhaliwal, counsel for JD No.

1.
Sh. Vinay Sharma, counsel for JD No. 2.

Today sh. Vinay Sharma, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of JD No. 2. No further orders received. Now to come up on 21.04.2017 for awaiting further orders of learned Appellate Court.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/31.03.2017

State Vs. Avtar Singh

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No further orders received. Now to come up on 19.05.2017 for awaiting further orders of Hon'ble High Court.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/31.03.2017

Priyanka & ors. Vs. Narinder Kumar

Present: Petitioners in person.
Respondent exparte.

There are chances of compromise between the parties. On request of petitioners, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for awaiting report of compromise.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/31.03.2017

Pooja Vs. Vicky & ors.

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondents in person.

Reply not filed. On request of respondents, case is adjourned to 26.04.2017 for filling reply to the application for sending the case to Mediation Centre in the Lok-Adalat.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Steno
Pooja Vs. Vicky

JMIC/31.03.2017

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent in person.

Reply to the main petition as well as reply to the application for interim maintenance not filed. On request of respondent, case is adjourned to 26.04.2017 for filing reply to the main petition as well as reply to application for interim maintenance on behalf of respondent. Consideration on the application for sending the case to Mediation Centre be also made on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno JMIC/31.03.2017
Rashmi Chhabra Vs. Vijay Kumar & ors.

Present: Complainant in person.

No evidence of the complainant is present today. On request of complainant, case is adjourned to 12.06.2017 for preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno JMIC/31.03.2017
Kulwinder Kaur Vs. Satnam Singh

Present: Applicant in person.
Sh. S.S Sandhu, counsel for respondent.

Today Sh. S.S Sandhu, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent and also moved an application for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2017 vide which exparte proceedings were passed against the respondent. Copy supplied. Reply to the said application be filed on 20.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno JMIC/31.03.2017
Minakshi Vs. Rajesh Kumar

Present: Petitioner in person.

Today petitioner has placed on record her duly

sworn affidavit. Let fresh notice to respondent be again issued for 26.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Parminder Kaur Vs. Balwinder Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/31.03.2017

Present: Applicant in person.
Respondent in person.

RW-1 Mohinder singh is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred on the request of petitioner. Now to come up on 21.04.2017 for cross examination of RW-1 Mohinder Singh as well as for remaining evidence of the respondent subject to last opportunity at own responsibility.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. 2395/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/31.03.2017

The Hind Samachar Vs. Saroj News

Present: Sh. Sukrant sharma, counsel for plaintiff.

Defendant again not served for want of correct address. Learned counsel for the plaintiff sought for an adjournment for furnishing correct address of defendant. Perusal of the case file shows that 03.12.2016 plaintiff was directed to furnish correct address of defendant but from 03.12.2016n despite availing 05 effective opportunities including last opportunity plaintiff has failed to file correct address of defendant. I do not find any justification to adjourn the case again and again for the same purpose. As such, present suit stands dismissed in default under Order 9 rule 2 CPC. File be consigned to Record Room.

30.03.2017

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Jalandhar

Vikas Prabhakar Vs. Gian Kaur

Present: Sh. Dinesh Jassi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. O.P Kangniwal, counsel for defendant No. 2 (iii).
Defendants No. 1 to 4 exparte.
Defendants No. 2 (I), (ii) and defendant No. 5 exparte.

PW-5 Karandeep Singh Handwriting and Finger Prints Expert is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred at the request of learned counsel for the defendants. Now to come up on 07.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-5 Karandeep Singh and for further cross examination of PW-1 Vikas Prabhakar as well as for Cross examination of PW-4 Narinder Sharma.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/30.03.2017

Rajinder Singh Vs. Kunal Ghai

present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Rajneesh Sahota, Advocate.

No CW is present. On request of complainant, case is adjourned to 08.06.2017 for preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Sukhjit Singh Vs. Ajit Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. Rakesh Dhir, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh B.S Jhanji, counsel for defendant No.1.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar Verma, counsel for defendants No. 2 & 3 (defence struck off).
Defendant No. 4 exparte.

Reply to the application for giving directions to the Special Kanugo attached to Deputy Commissioner

Jalandhar filed. Copy supplied. PW-1 Tarlochan Singh is present. On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 30.05.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Tarlochan Singh as well as for consideration on the above said application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. Rent-190/2015

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Kishore Sareen Vs. M/s Leader Jewellers

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondents in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of respondents has their counsel is not available, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for consideration on assessment of rent.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Jagjiwan Parshad Vs. Cantonment Board

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. Anil Mehta, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Hans Raj Sharma, counsel for defendant no. 3.

No evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request, case is adjourned to 08.05.2017 for entire evidence of the plaintiff. Consideration on stay application be also made on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Rajni Vs. Ranvir Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

present: Sh. R.S Mand, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Karan Kalia ,counsel for defendant.
Sh. G.S Sachdev, counsel for applicant
Randeep Kumar.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on the application under Order 06 Rule

17 CPC.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State vs. Rohit Bhaskar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counsel for the accused Ashish.
Remaining accused on bail.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Ashish is exempted for today only.

No prosecution witness is present today. PW ASI Avtar Singh has not come present despite his service. So, he be served through bailable warrants for 16.05.2017. His salary is ordered to be attached. Necessary intimation in this regard be sent to concerned quarters. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Shweta & ors. Vs. Gurwinder singh & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

present: Sh. S.K Gautam, counsel for
applicant/defendants No. 9 & 10.

Application for setting aside exparte judgment and decree received through allocation. It be registered. Report of Ahlmad be called for 21.04.2017. Original file be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Neelam Vs. Parminder

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

present: Sh. Vishal Paruthi, counsel for petitioner.
sh. Puneet Sareen, counsel for respondent.

RW-2 Parminder is present and cross examined.
RW-1 Parminder Singh is also present for his cross

examination. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for further cross examination of RW-1 Parminder singh.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Raj Rani Vs. Madan Lal

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/30.03.2017

present: Sh. Ravneet Singh, counsel for petitioners.
Sh. Malkiat singh, counsel for respondent.

No payment made. No evidence of the petitioner is present today. On joint request, case is adjourned to 25.04.2017 for making payment as well as for evidence of the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Priyanka Vs. Gagandeep

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Present: sh. Naveen Chadha, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Sanjive Bansal, counsel for respondent.

Counsel for the petitioner stated at bar that he does not want to file rejoinder. On request of learned counsel for the respondent, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Case No. COM 350/16

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Priyanka Arora & ors. Vs. Gagandeep Arora & ors.

Present: Sh. Naveen Chadha, counsel for applicant.
Sh. Sanjive Bansal, counsel for respondent No. 1.

Notice issued to remaining respondents not received back either executed or unexecuted. Fresh notice to remaining respondents be again issued for 19.04.2017. Consideration on interim maintenance be also made on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. EXE433/2016

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/30.03.2017

Joginder Kaur Vs. Shavinder Kaur

present: Sh. M.S Sachdev, counsel for DH.
Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for JD No. 1.
JD No. 10 died.
JD No. 7 exparte vide order dated 07.11.2016.

Objections on behalf of JD No. 1 not filed.
Same be filed on 04.05.2017 subject to last opportunity.

An application under Order 5 Rule 20 CPC moved by DH for substituted service of JDs No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 & 9. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, let Jds No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 & 9 be served through publication in newspaper "Aj Di Aawaj" for the date fixed.

Decree holder is directed to move application for impleading the Lrs of JD No. 10 on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Ranjit singh Vs. Ashok Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. H.L Sharma, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for respondent.

PW-5 Dr. Nitin Arora is present and examined.
No other evidence of the petitioner is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for cross examination of AW-4 Varpreet Singh.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Ranjit singh Vs. Ashok Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. H.L Sharma, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for respondent.

PW-5 Dr. Nitin Arora is present and examined.

No other evidence of the petitioner is present today. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for cross examination of AW-4 Varpreet Singh.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Amit Kumar & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/30.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Accused have come present in pursuance of notice. Accordingly, all unexamined prosecution witnesses be summoned for 16.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Jagjit Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

present: APP for the State.
Accused Jagjit Singh PO.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

PW-2 Harjinder singh is present and partly cross examined. His further cross examination is deferred on the request of private counsel for the complainant. So, PW-2 Harjinder singh is bound down for 12.04.2017 for his further cross examination. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Gian Singh Vs. Balwinder Singh

Present: Sh. Gurpreet Singh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. R.K Bhagat, counsel for defendant.

PW-1 Gian Singh is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred at the request of learned counsel for the defendant. Now to come up on 25.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Gian Singh.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Dushyant Bansal Vs. Amar Bir Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. N.K Aggarwal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Amrinder singh, counsel for defendants.

Arguments not addressed. On request by the learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 02.05.2017 for consideration on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Balkar Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counse for accused Balkar singh.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Balkar singh is exempted for today only. PW-1 Ashween Kanda is present and examined. No other prosecution witness is present or served for today. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 02.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Meena Sharma Vs. Satpal

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

present: sh. B.S Saini, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants exparte.

No PW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 04.05.2017 for entire exparte evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Pankaj

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Accused on bail with counsel.

No prosecution witness is present or served for today. Summons received back unserved. All unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 12.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Gulshan Arora Vs. Kuldeep Singh	

Present: Counsel for complainant.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of complainant is exempted for today only. No CW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for entire evidence of the complainant subject to last opportunity and no further opportunity shall be granted for preliminary evidence of the complainant as complainant has already availed several opportunities for his preliminary evidence.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
State Vs. Naresh Kumar	

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Arguments not addressed. On request, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for consideration on the application under Section 323 of Cr.P.C.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Surinder Singh Vs. Kulwinder Kaur & ors.	

Present: None for parties.

None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the

interest of justice, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the parties.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Manu sharma vs. Rahil Prabhakar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Rahul Sharma, Advocate.
Sh. N.S Minhas, counsel for respondents no. 1 to 5.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on interim maintenance. Report of CDPO not received. Report of CDPO be again called for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Dharminder Pal Vs. Gram Panchayat

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Present: sh. Pushpinder Kapoor, counsel for plaintiff.
sh. Sukhwinder Thind, counsel for defendant.

On joint request of learned counsel for the parties, case is adjourned to 02.05.2017 for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Saurav Kalia Vs. Karan gill

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, counsel for complainant.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on charge.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

State Vs. Rahul

Present: APP for the State.
Personal appearance of accused Meenu already
exempted vide order dated 22.11.2016.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

PW-3 Priya and PW-4 Surinder Pal are present and cross examined. PW-5 Sucha Singh is present and examined. No other prosecution witness is present today despite last opportunity. Learned APP for the State sought for an adjournment. Perusal of the file shows that charge was framed upon the accused on 03.01.2014 and, from 03.01.2014 till date prosecution has availed 16/17 effective opportunities including last opportunity to conclude its evidence but failed to conclude the same. All means of service used for procuring the attendance of witnesses. Further, It is also relevant to mention here that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India held in M/s Shiv Cotex versus Tirgun Auto Plast P. Ltd and others 2011 (4) civil court cases 581 (SC) that:

“ No evidence let in spite of three opportunities. It is high time that courts become sensitive to delays in Justice delivery system and realize that the adjournment do dent the efficiency of judicial process. If this menace is not controlled adequately, the litigant public may lose faith in the system sooner than later. Courts particularly trial courts must ensure that on every date of hearing, effective progress takes place in the suit.”

Further no plausible explanation has been put forth by learned APP for the State. As such, evidence of the prosecution is hereby closed by order. Now to come up on 06.04.2017 for recording statement of accused under Section 313 of Cr.P.C.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Sarabjit singh Vs. Gram Panchayat

Present: Sh. H.L Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. B.R Mahey, counsel for defendant.

No rebuttal evidence is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for rebuttal evidence, if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/30.03.2017
Parveen Kumar Vs. Puneet Jain & ors.	

Present: Sh. Neeraj Thakur, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sunil Bhagat, counsel for defendants.

Reply not filed by plaintiff. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for filing reply to the application for production of documents.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017

Harvionder Singh Vs. State

Present: Sh. Jatinder Sharma, counsel for applicant.
APP for the State.

Reply not filed. Fresh notice to state be again issued for 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Sukhwant Singh Vs. State	

Present: Sh. Jatinder Sharma, counsel for applicant.
APP for the State.

Reply not filed. On request of APP for the State, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for filing reply.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Suraj Gill Vs. State

Present: Parties in person.

Further orders from Hon'ble High Court not received. Now to come up on 10.05.2017 for awaiting further orders from Hon'ble High Court.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

State Vs. Madho Rajak

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in person with counsel.

Challan presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Copies of challan have been supplied to accused free of cost. Since the offence against the accused is bailable in nature. As such, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Personal bonds on behalf of accused furnished which are accepted and attested. Heard. From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act is made out. Accordingly has been charge sheeted therein, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 13.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Case No. CS 1928/2015

Sucha Singh Vs. Tirath Kaur

Present: Sh. S.K Gautam, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Amarik Singh Saini, counsel for defendant
No.1.
Sh. Mukhtiar Mohammad, counsel for
defendants No. 3 & 4.
Defendants No. 2 & 5 exparte.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017
for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Manju Vs. Surinder Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. Brijesh Chopra, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Rajesh Khanna, counsel for respondent.

Today documents placed on record by
respondent. Arguments not addressed. On request, case is
adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on the
application for interim maintenance.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Jaswinder Kaur Vs. Amrik singh

Present: Sh. O.P Kangniwal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Puneet Sareen, counsel for defendant No. 2.
Suit against defendant No. 1 dismissed as
withdrawn vide order dated 21.09.2016.

No PW is present. On request of learned
counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 09.05.2017
for entire evidence of the plaintiff subject to last
opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Alka Vs. Amrish

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. V.K Sareen, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Naveen Chadha, counsel for defendants No.
1, 2.

Today Sh. V.K Sareen, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of plaintiff. Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 27.04.2017 for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/30.03.2017
Krishna Devi Vs. naranjan Singh	

Present: Sh. Gurpreet singh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Palwinder Singh, counsel for defendant.

No further orders received from Hon'ble High Court. Same be awaited till 29.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/30.03.2017
Friends Rubber Vs. Dhiraj Gupta & ors.	

Present: Sh. S.K Gautam, counsel for plaintiffs.

Notice issued to defendants received back with the report of Adampata. Plaintiffs are directed through their counsel to furnish correct address of defendants within 05 days and, thereafter, fresh notice to defendants be again issued for 08.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	CJJD/30.03.2017

Case no. 1692/2016

Sukhdev Singh & ors. Vs. Bhajan Singh & ors.

Present: Sh. Vikas Sood, counsel for plaintiffs.
Defendant No 3 died.
Sh. Raj Karan Saddi, counsel for defendants
No. 1, 2 & 4.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned
counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 04.05.2017
for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/30.03.2017

Rajwinder Kaur Vs. Sunder Sethi

Present: Sh. Vikas Sood, counsel for DH.

Conditional warrants of respondent not received
back. Fresh be again issued for 27.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Case No. MNT 375/14

Poonam Vs. Puneet Kumar

present: Sh. Gagandeep, counsl for petitioner.
sh. M.K Mehta, counsel for respondent.

No payment made. No evidence of the petitioner
is present today. On joint request by the parties, case is
adjourned to 27.04.2017 for making payment as well as for
evidence of the petitioner subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

Case No. Comp 389/14

Poonam Vs. Puneet Kumar

present: Sh. Gagandeep, counsl for applicant.

Sh. Manuj Aggarwal, counsel for respondents
No. 1, 3 & 4.
sh. M.K Mehta, counsel for respondent No. 2.

No payment made. No evidence of the applicant
is present today. On joint request, case is adjourned to
27.04.2017 for making payment as well as for evidence of
the applicant subject to last opportunity.

(Rajbeer Kaur),
JMIC/30.03.2017
State Vs. Vinay Kumar @ Honey

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited till
22.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno JMIC/30.03.2017
Jaspal Singh Vs. Raj Devi & ors.

Present: Sh. Parvinder Singh, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. R.K Bhagat, counsel for defendants No. 1 &
3.
Sh. Harjot Singh Kohli, counsel for defendant
NO. 2.

PW-1 Jaspal Singh is present and examined in
chief. His cross examination is deferred. Now to come up
on 27.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Jaspal Singh
as well as for remaining evidence of the plaintiff at own
responsibility as till date no application has been moved by
plaintiff for summoing of witnesses.

Himanshu Puri (Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno CJJD/30.03.2017
Rajwinder Kaur Vs. Sunder Sethi

Present: Sh. Vivek Sood, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Deepak Moudgil, counsel for respondent.

No PW is present. On request, case is adjourned

to 25.04.2017 for making remaining payment as well as for evidence of the petitioner subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Satish Kumar Vs. Rohit Bassi	

Present: Counsel for complainant.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of complainant is exempted for today only.

No CW is present. On request, case is adjourned to 04.07.2017 for preliminary evidence of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Sushila Devi Vs. Raj Kumar	

Present: Petitioner in person.
Respondent Raj Kumar in custody in complaint case.

No payment made as respondent is in custody in complaint case. On request, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for making payment. List of properties if any be also furnished on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017
Sushila Devi Vs. Raj Kumar	

Present: Mrs. Sudesh Kumari, counsel for DH.
JD Raj Kumar in custody in complaint case
Sushila Devi Vs. Raj Kumar.

No payment made. On request of JD, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for making payment.

Himanshu Puri	(Rajbeer Kaur)
Steno	JMIC/30.03.2017

Ram Murti Vs. Gurcharan Dass & ors.

Present: Complainant with counsel.
Counsel for accused Roop Lal.
Personal appearance of accused No. 6 to 10
permanently exempted.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Roop Lal is exempted for today only.

CW-3 Rajesh Kumar is present and partly cross examined. His further cross examination is deferred for want of documents. No other CW is present. Now to come up on 18.04.2017 for further cross examination of CW-3 Rajesh Kumar as well as for filing reply and for entire remaining evidence of the complainant. Previous cost be also paid on that date.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Surinder Vs. Baldev Raj & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. Sanjeev Gupta, counsel for plaintiffs.

Defendants No. 1 & 2 have already served on 18.02.2017 but none has appeared on behalf of them in the court. Accordingly, defendants No. 1 & 2 are proceeded against exparte.

Perusal of the case file shows that defendant No. 3 is general public. So, defendant No. 3 is hereby ordered to be served through publication in newspaper "Nawa Zamana" for 04.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C

FIR No. 49 dated 29.03.2017

P.S Maqsudan, Jalandhar.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/30.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Girl Asma @ Zahreena in person along with Lady

Constable Baljeet Kaur No. 1386 Jalandhar (rural).

Girl Asma @ Zahreena daughter of Mushtak, resident of Gadaipur P.S Maqsudan produced by SI Arshpreet Kaur along with Lady Constable Baljeet Kaur for recording her statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C in view of the orders of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar .Thereafter, statement of girl Asma @ Zahreena is recorded in camera.

Pronounced:
30.03.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur) PCS
Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class
Jalandhar

Statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C

FIR No. 49 dated 29.03.2017

P.S Maqsudan, Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Girl Asma @ Zahreena in person along with Lady
Constable Baljeet Kaur No. 1386 Jalandhar
(rural).

Girl Asma @ Zahreena stated that she has come to
the Court to give her statement voluntarily and without any
pressure from any side. she have been duly informed that she is
not bound to make any statement but she stated that she
understands her right and wrong and her statement be recorded.
Now I proceed to record the statement of Asma @ Zahreena.

Pronounced:
30.03.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur) PCS
Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class
Jalandhar

Statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C

FIR No. 49 dated 29.03.2017

P.S Maqsudan, Jalandhar.

Present: APP for the State.

Girl Asma @ Zahreena in person along with Lady
Constable Baljeet Kaur No. 1386 Jalandhar (rural).

Statement of girl Asma @ Zahreena have been recorded. IO moved application for getting copy of statement given by girl Asma @ Zahreena. Keeping in view averments made in application present application stands allowed. IO is directed to note down statement given by girl Asma @ Zahreena in her own handwriting in presence of Reader/Ahlmad of the court of undersigned. Reader of the court of undersigned is directed to seal the said statement. Ahlmad is directed to send the statement to the concerned court.

Pronounced:
30.03.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur) PCS
Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class
Jalandhar

Ram Murti Vs. Gurcharan Dass

Present: complainant with counsel.
Counsel for accused Roop Lal.
Personal appearance of accused No. 6 to 10
permanently exempted.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Indo Steel Vs. Burma

Present: Sh. S.C Sood, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendant exparte.

No exparte evidence of the plaintiff is present today. On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for remaining exparte evidence of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/30.03.2017

Mandeep Singh Vs. Balbir Kaur

present: Complainant with counsel.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No DW is present today. On request of learned defence counsel, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments. Summons issued to witness received back with the report that record is old and not available. This is last opportunity for defence evidence of the accused.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/30.03.2017

State Vs. Rajinder Kumar

Present: APP for the State.

Accused on bail in this case but in custody in some other case.

No prosecution witness is present today. PW SI Sukhbir is duly served for today but he has not come present. So, he be served through bailable warrants for 23.05.2017. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Karuna Vs. Pawan

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Present: Complainant with counsel Mrs. Sudesh Kumari, Advocate.

No CW is present today. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 30.05.2017 for remaining preliminary evidence of the complainant. Summons issued to witnesses received back unserved. Fresh summons to witnesses be again issued for the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Sushila Devi Vs. Raj Kumar

Present: Complainant with counsel Smt. Sudesh Kumari, Advocate.

Accused Rajinder Kumar, Ram Kali and Ram Khilawan proclaimed person.

Accused Raj Kumar in custody.

Proclamation issued against accused Santosh Kumar and Lalti not received back. Fresh be again issued for 12.04.2017. Separate letter to SHO through commissioner of Police be also written for the date fixed regarding execution of proclamation of accused Santosh Kumar and Lalti.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Surinder Kumar Vs. Jit Kaur & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/30.03.2017

Present: Sh. Shivji Lal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rajesh Sharma, counsel for defendants No.
1, 4, 5 & 6.

Written statement on behalf of defendants No. 4
& 5 filed. Copy supplied.

Written statement on behalf of defendants No. 1
& 6 not filed. Same be filed on 26.04.2017.

Cost paid. Publication fee deposited. Publication
for the service of defendants No. 2 & 3 be issued for the
date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/30.03.2017
Naresh Dhir Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Applicant with counsel Sh. Rakesh Sharma,
Advocate.

APP for the State.

Applicant has moved the present application through his
counsel for release of partial gold jewellery/ornaments i.e. one gold
chain with locket, one silver Chain, two gold pairs of ear rings on
sapurdari.

Report of SHO received. As per the report of Police one
chain of silver, two pares of earrings, one gold chain with locket have
been taken into police possession and local police has no objection if
the above said articles are released on sapurdari to the original owner.
Applicant has placed on record his duly swron affidavit mentioning that
the applicant has got no bills/receipts regarding the articles mentioned
in the application. In view of the above said circumstances and no
objection raised by the Police and in view of the affidavit placed on
record by the applicant, the above said articles are ordered to be
released on Sapurdari to the applicant on furnishing Sapurdari bonds in
the sum of Rs. 1 lac with one surety in the like amount, with direction

to police official to take photographs of the articles and keep the same into safe custody and with following conditions:-

- 1 he will not sell or transfer the above said articles.
- 2 he will not change the nature of the same and further he will produce the same in the court as and when required.

Papers be attached with the main file.

Sapurdari bonds not furnished.

Himanshu Puri

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/29.03.2017

State Vs. Mahesh Kumar

Present APP for the State.
 Accused in person with counsel.

Accused Mahesh Kumar appeared by moving application for surrender cum bail.

Notices u/s 446 Cr.PC served upon accused to which he replied that he is a poor man and lenient view may be taken in his favour and he will not do such like mistake in future. After taking into consideration, replies of accused penalty Rs. 800/- is imposed upon accused Mahesh Kumar. Penalty amount paid.

Heard on application of accused. Perusal of file reveals that the bail bonds were not forfeited by the court and bail order dated 14.12.2010 is still in existence. Accordingly, bail application is hereby disposed off. Now to come up on 03.04.2017 the date already fixed in the present case.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Rakesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
 Accused in person with counsel.

Challan presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Copies of challan have been supplied to accused free of cost. Since the offence against the accused is bailable in nature. As such, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Personal bonds on behalf of accused furnished which are accepted and attested. Heard. From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act is

made out. Accordingly has been charge sheeted therein, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 13.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Rakesh Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in person with counsel.

Challan presented today. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. It be registered. Copies of challan have been supplied to accused free of cost. Since the offence against the accused is bailable in nature. As such, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Personal bonds on behalf of accused furnished which are accepted and attested. Heard. From the documents on record a prima facie offence punishable under Section 13A of Gambling Act is made out. Accordingly has been charge sheeted therein, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Prosecution witnesses be summoned for 13.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Ashok Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Sh. Gurpreet Singh, counsel for complainant.
Accused Prem Lal and Jatinder Kumar reported to be died.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel Sh. Sanjive Bansal and Sh. M.S Sachdev, Advocate.

PW-1 Surinder Kumar is present and partly cross examined. His further cross examination is deferred on

behalf of accused Ashok Kumar. So, said witness is bound down for 31.03.2017 for his further cross examination. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Gurpreet Vs. Daljit

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: None for the parties.

None has appeared on behalf of the parties despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 26.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the parties.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kuldeep Kaur Vs. Kiran Dhingra

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Complainant in person.

Arguments not addressed. On request of complainant, case is adjourned to 01.04.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Munish Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No prosecution witness is present today. PW ASI Surinder Singh has not come present despite his service. So, he be served throughailable warrants for 18.05.2017. His salary is ordered to be attached. Necessary intimation in this regard be sent to concerned quarters. Remaining all

unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Munish Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Deepak on bail.
Accused Kamaljit and Munish Kumar in custody.

No prosecution witness is present today. Pws sandeep and Yogesh have not come present despite their service. So, they be served through non baialble warrants for 12.04.2017. Summons to PW ASI Ajinder singh be also issued for the date fixed. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Jyoti Vs. Vishal Mahajan

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Rahul Pushkarna, counsel for petitioner.
Respondent in person.

Sh. Rahul Pushkarna, counsel for petitioner has suffered a statement that he has received draft No. 502065 dated 06.03.2017 amounting to Rs. 7500/- & No. 502066 dated 06.03.2017 amounting to Rs. 7500/- towards interim maintenance on behalf of Jyoti from respondent. No PW is present. On request, case is adjourned to 20.04.2017 for making remaining payment as well as for evidence of the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Sukhwinder Kaur Vs. Mandeep Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Vandana Rani,
Advocate.

Complainant produced by authorities of State
Protective Home. Statement of CW-1 Sukhwinder Kaur in
preliminary evidence recorded. No other CW is present. On
request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is
adjourned to 14.06.2017 for remaining preliminary evidence
of the complainant. Complainant be also produced on the
date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Bakshish singh Vs. Daljit Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Gulshan Arora, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants exparte.

Today plaintiff has closed his exparte evidence
vide recorded his separate statement. Now to come up on
18.04.2017 for exparte consideration.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Jasvir

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW-7 ASI Malkiat singh is present and examined
in chief. His cross examination is deferred. So, said witness
is bound down for 26.04.2017 for his cross examination.
Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also
summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Ghansham

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

On request of learned counsel for the accused, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on the application under Section 190 of Cr.P.C.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Karan Verma Vs. State of Punhjab

Present: Sh. Narinder Singh, counsel for applicant.

Reply not filed. Fresh notice to State be issued for 06.04.2017. Reply on behalf of State be also filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Zenith Education Vs. Balkar singh

Present: Sh. Sanjive Bansal, counsel for plaintiff.
sh. KOP Singh, counsel for defendants.

Witness from PSPCL and Sh. Jagdish Ram are present but not examined as they are not brought the summoned record. They are directed to produce the summoned record on the next date of hearing. They are bound down for 10.04.2017. Remaining evidence of the plaintiff be also produced on the date fixed subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Manisha

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Supplementary challan against accused Manish @ Nisha

is presented today in the absence of accused. It has been got checked from the Ahlmad. Report seen. Ahlmad is directed to attach the supplementary challan along with the main challan of FIR No. 201 dated 24.12.2015 dated State Vs. Sarabjit Kaur & ors pending in this court for 21.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/29.03.2017

Gurwinder Singh Vs. Amarjit Singh

Present: Sh. S. Sahi, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rajiv Suri, counsel for defendant No.3.
Defendants No. 2, 4 & 7 exparte.
Defendant No. 1 in person (defence struck off).

Cost not paid. Defendants No. 5, 6, 8 to 15 not served for want of publication fee. Learned counsel for the plaintiff sought for an adjournment. Perusal of the case file shows that plaintiff has already availed several opportunities for depositing publication fee but failed to deposit the same. Accordingly, present suit qua defendants No. 5, 6, 8 to 15 is hereby dismissed under Order 9 rule 2 CPC.

Perusal of the case file shows that defendant No. 3 was appeared on 31.10.2014 but till date no written statement filed on behalf of defendant No. 3 despite availing several opportunities. Accordingly, defence of defendant No. 3 is hereby struck off. Now to come up on 25.04.2017 for evidence of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/29.03.2017

Bank of India Vs. Charanjit Singh

Present: Sh. Manoj Dhamija, counsel for plaintiff.

On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 28.04.2017 for moving application for impleading the Lrs of defendant subject to payment of cost of Rs. 300/- to be deposited in DLSA.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kashmir Singh Vs. Jasvir Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. H.V Kohli, counsel for plaintiff.
Defendants exparte.

On request of learned counsel for the plaintiff, case is adjourned to 11.05.2017 for entire exparte evidence of the plaintiff subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/29.03.2017

Kamlesh Kumari Vs. Suresh

Present: Sh. A.K Khanna, counsel for petitioner.
Ms. Kirandeep Kaur, counsel for respondent.

Power of attorney on behalf of respondent not filed. No PW is present. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for cross examination of CW-2 Bhupinder Kaur. Petitioner is directed to produce the said witness on the date fixed. Remaining evidence of the petitioner be also produced on the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/16.03.2017

Summy sharma Vs. Vishesh Pathak

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. Harsh Bhatt,
Advocate.

At this stage file taken up again as Statement of CW-3 Simmy Sharma in preliminary evidence recorded. Now

to come up on 02.06.2017 the date already fixed in the present case.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Kamal

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW-1 Dr. Raj Kumar Badhan is present and examined. No other prosecution witness is present or served for today. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be summoned for 25.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Sham Lal & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW-2 Aruna Aggarwal is present and examined in chief and partly cross examined. Her further cross examination is deferred for production of documents. So, PW-2 is bound down for 26.04.2017 for her further cross examination. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Aruna Aggarwal

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW-4 Sham Lal is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred as the court time is over.

So, PW-4 is bound down for 26.04.2017 for his cross examination. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Atul sharma

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

PW-6 ASI Kewal Singh is present and examined.
No other prosecution witness is present or served for today.
Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 20.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Bantoo Vs. Robinson

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Subhash Sharma, counsel for complainant.
Proceedings against accused No. 9 Manjit abated vide order dated 01.08.2016.
Accused Robinson @ Honey, Binny, Monu, Jakson, Johan, Mathew, Venus and Samson on bail with counsel Sh. S.K Verma, Advocate.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of complainant is exempted for today only.

No CW is present. On request of learned counsel for the complainant, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for further cross examination of CW-1 as well as for remaining pre-charge evidence of the complainant. Summons to only doctor be also issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

B.D Kaler Vs. Paramjit singh

Present: Sh. Neeraj Kaushik, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Gurwinder Arora, counsel for respondent.

Today an application for giving directions to Jai Singh to admit or deny the signatures on the register of stamp vendor moved by learned counsel for respondent. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 06.04.2017 for filing reply to the above said application.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Raman Kumar & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

RC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused on bail with counsel.

No prosecution witness is present today. PW ASI Gulshan is duly served for today but he has sent his request. Request considered and allowed for today only. PW ASI Gulshan is bound down for 25.04.2017. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs Rohit Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counsel for accused Rohit Kumar.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Rohit Kumar is exempted for today only.

Today learned APP has given up HC Pawan Kumar being unnecessary for the time being vide recorded his separate statement. No other prosecution witness is present

or served for today. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 18.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Munish Kumar

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Ashwani Kumar in custody represented by Ms. Angelina Brar, Advocate.
Sh Rakesh Kanojia, counsel for accused Munish Kumar.
Accused Karan on bail with counsel.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Munish Kumar is exempted for today only.

PW-3 Anwar Kumar is present and examined in chief. His cross examination is deferred as the court time is over. So, PW-3 Anwar Kumar is bound down for 12.04.2017. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Geeta Rani Vs. Rajinder Kumar

Present: Sh. B. Mahey, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. R.K Banger, counsel for respondent.

Arguments not addressed. On joint request by the learned counsel for the parties, case is adjourned to 15.04.2017 for consideration on the application for supply of custody of items.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
State Vs. Satpal

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Satpal proclaimed person vide order dated 10.03.2017.

No prosecution witness under Section 299 of Cr.P.C is present today. HC Resham is duly served for today but he has sent his request. Request considered and allowed for today only. PW HC Resham is bound down for 28.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. Rent-30604/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Rajesh Khanna Vs. Narinder Arora

Present: sh. Ashok Paruthi, counsel for petitioner.
sh. I.S Bhatia, counsel for respondent.

No RW is present. On request of learned counsel for the respondent, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for further cross examination of RW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of the respondent subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno
Case No. Rent-30603/2013

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/29.03.2017

Rajesh Khanna Vs. Narinder Arora

Present: sh. Ashok Paruthi, counsel for petitioner.
sh. I.S Bhatia, counsel for respondent.

No RW is present. On request of learned counsel for the respondent, case is adjourned to 12.04.2017 for further cross examination of RW-1 as well as for remaining evidence of the respondent subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
RC/29.03.2017

Case No. 195/2016

Sumandeep Kaur Vs. Swaran Singh

Present: Sh. Amrit Pal Singh, counsel for applicant.
Sh. A.S Jammu, counsel for respondents No. 1, 2
& 4.
Respondent No. 3 exparte.

AW-1 Sumandeep Kaur is present and examined
in chief. Her cross examination is deferred. Now to come up
on 02.05.2017 for cross examination of AW-1 Sumandeep
Kaur as well as for remaining evidence of the applicant.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Jyoti Sarup Vs. Kamaljit Singh

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

present: Complainant with counsel.
Accused on bail.

Original file not received from learned Appellate
court. Same be awaited till 03.05.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Gomti Vs. Gaurav

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Vinod Attri, counsel for petitioner.
sh. Sanjeev Gupta, counsel for respondent.

No PW is present. On request, case is adjourned
to 19.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 as well as for
remaining evidence of the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Paramjit Kaur Vs. Satinder

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Jaswant Singh, counsel for respondent.

Witness Avtar Singh is present but not examined as witness is not feeling well. On request of witness, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for cross examination of PW-1 Avtar Singh as well as for remaining evidence of the petitioner subject to last opportunity.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Vandana Sharma Vs Neeraj Sharma

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: None.

Today none has appeared on behalf of petitioner despite of repeated calls given to the case. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 19.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the petitioner.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Kiran Vs. Manohar Lal

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. APS Pathania counsel for petitioner.

Notice issued to respondent not received back. Fresh notice to respondent be again issued for 28.04.2017. Respondent be also served through postal department for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Sumit

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Accused Jagmohan and Shamsheer Singh proclaimed persons.

Accused Sumit Kumar on bail with counsel.

Case property again not produced. Accordingly, sapurdari bonds are hereby cancelled. Seizure warrants be

issued for 15.05.2017. Fresh bailable warrants against ASI Surinder Pal be again issued for the date fixed. Fresh non bailable warrants of concerned clerk of Raga Motors be again issued for the date fixed. Remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be also summoned for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Pankish & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Counsel for accused Sikander Sharma and Asha Rani.
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Heard. In view of the averments mentioned in the application, personal appearance of accused Sikander Sharma and Asha Rani is exempted for today only.

No prosecution witness is present or served for today. Summons received back unserved. All unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 06.06.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Akshay Kalra Vs. Anupam Miglani

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/29.03.2017

Present: Sh. Vikas Sood, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Vivek Kapoor, counsel for defendant.

No evidence of the defendant is present today. On request of learned counsel for the defendant, case is adjourned to 07.04.2017 for entire evidence of the defendant.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Ram Asra & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/29.03.2017

Present: APP for the State.

Accused on bail with counsel.

No defence evidence of the accused is present today. On request of learned defence counsel, case is adjourned to 11.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused, if any otherwise for arguments. This is 2nd opportunity for defence evidence of the accused.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/29.03.2017

Bagicha Singh Vs. Gram Panchayat

Present: Sh. G.S Lidhar, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. M. Mohd, counsel for defendant.

Replication filed. Copy supplied. Now to come up on 12.05.2017 for consideration on stay application.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017

Case No. COMI-408/2016

Amarjeet Vs. Ram Lok

Present: None for complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of complainant despite of repeated calls given to the case since morning through out the day. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 13.06.2017 for awaiting appearance of the complainant.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Payal Kumari Vs. Anurag

Present: Sh. Vishal Sharma, counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Kishore Sareen, counsel for respondent.

Sh. Kishore Sareen, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent. On his request, case is adjourned to 01.05.2017 for filing reply to main petition as well as for filing reply to application for interim maintenance.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JM/C/07.04.2017

Baby @ Simran Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh. R.K Bhalla, counsel for applicant-accused
Baby @ simran.

File taken up today as an application moved by learned counsel for the applicant-accused Baby Simran for accepting the surety bonds on behalf of accused. In view of the order dated 06.04.2017 requisite surety bonds on behalf of accused furnished, which are accepted and attested. Now to come up on 22.05.2017 the date already fixed in the present case.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Meena Sharma Vs. Amit sharma

Present: Sh. KOS Thakur, counsel for petitioner.
sh. I.S Bhatia, counsel for respondent.

Perusal of the file shows that respondent has already sent to civil imprisonment for whole of the execution amount. Accordingly, petitioner is directed to file list of properties or respondent on or before 28.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

State Vs. Gagan

Present: APP for the State.
Accused in custody (not produced).

Docket put up before me as original file has been sent to learned Appellate Court. Same be awaited till 21.04.2017. Accused not produced by the jail authorities. Production warrants of accused be also issued for the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

Case No. CM-219/2016

Dharamvir Vs. Jaskirat Karan Singh

present: Sh. J.S Sodhi, counsel for applicant.
sh. Aman Kumar, counsel for
respondent/defendant.

Perusal of the case file shows that earlier report has been received that amount of Rs. 1 lac is deposited in the treasury. Again report received that amount of Rs. 1 lac has been deposited in the treasury on 29.03.2006. The amount is ordered to be refunded to the applicant after proper receipt and identification. Necessary DRO/online DRO be issued. Accordingly, present application is disposed off and file is ordered to be consigned to record room.

Dt. 07.04.2017

(Rajbeer Kaur)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Jalandhar

State Vs. Kamaljit Singh

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Kamaljit in custody represented by Sh. Sandip Sharma, Advocate.
Accused Gurdeep Singh on bail in this case but in custody in some other case.
Counsel for accused sonia
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Today learned counsel for accused Kamaljit stated at bar that accused may kindly be released on bail as he has falsely implicated in the present case. Perusal of the case file reveals that challan in the present case has already been presented. Conclusion of trial will take long time. So, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody for an unlimited period. As such, accused Kamaljit is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing his bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail and surety bonds not furnished.

No prosecution witness is present today. Prosecution witnesses Naresh Kumar, Ramanpreet, Dr. N.K, Dr. Neelam Joshi and ASI Tarlochan singh as well as remaining all unexamined prosecution witnesses be again summoned for 21.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

State Vs. Fateh Singh

present: APP for the State.
Accused Fateh Singh in custody. (granted bail on
03.03.2016 but bail bonds not furnished).

Today accused Fateh singh produced through
Video Conferencing and he wants to confess his guilt.
Thereafter, he confessed his guilty with his own writing.
Accordingly, he is directed to get attest his confession from
the Jail Superintendent and same be sent to this court in
original as well as through mail-fax. Now to come up on
12.04.2017 for consideration as well as for awaiting
confessional statement.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMIC/07.04.2017

State Vs. Sukhwinder Singh

present: APP for the State.
Accused Sukhwinder Singh in custody not produced. (granted bail on 14.07.2015 but bail bonds not furnished).
Remaining accused on bail with counsel.

Accused Sukhwinder Singh not produced by the jail authorities. Production warrants of accused Sukhwinder Singh be issued for 21.04.2017. An application under Section 205 of Cr.P.C on behalf of Parkash Kaur for dispensing with personal attendance moved by her counsel. Copy supplied. Reply to the said application be filed on the date fixed.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

State Vs. Shivani & ors.

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Present: APP for the State.
Accused Shivani on bail with counsel.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited till 21.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

The Hind Samachar Vs. Saroj News

Present: Sh. Sukrant Sharma, counsel for applicant.

Application for restoration of the suit received through allocation. It be registered. Report of Ahlmad be called for 11.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

State Vs. Fateh Singh

Present: APP for the State.

File taken up today as confessional statement given by accused Fateh Singh in the Jail has been received. Now to come up on 12.04.2017 the date already fixed in the present case.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

Harpinder singh Vs. State of Punjab

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/10.04.2017

Present: Applicant in person.
APP for the State.

Arguments not addressed. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned to 18.04.2017 for consideration.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

JMIC/07.04.2017

Fail Deal Vs. M/s Mohan Rail

Present: None for plaintiff.
Sh. Raman Kumar Bhardwaj, counsel for defendant.

None has appeared on behalf of the plaintiff. Defendant is present and ready for making payment of cost. So, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 26.04.2017 for awaiting appearance of the plaintiff.

Himanshu Puri

Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)

CJJD/07.04.2017

State Vs. Jagjit Singh

present: APP for the Staet.
Accused Jagjit singh and Dharminder Singh on
bail in this case but in custody in some other
case.
Accused Arvinder Singh died.

Statement of accused under Section 313 of Cr.P.C
recorded. Accused wants to lead evidence in defence. Now to
come up on 15.04.2017 for defence evidence of the accused,
if any otherwise for arguments.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
JMJC/07.04.2017

Indu Bala Vs. Vikas Nischal & ors.

Present: Sh. Harsh Bhatt, counsel for DH.

Execution received through allocation. It be
registered. Report of Ahlmad be called for 15.04.2017.

Himanshu Puri
Steno

(Rajbeer Kaur)
CJJD/07.04.2017