

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE FOR MPID
AT BOMBAY**

**Order below Exh.1788
in
MPID Spl. Case No.1 of 2014
(CNR No.MHCC02-000875-2014)**

1. Harshvardhan Roongta,
Aged – 46 yrs., Occ – Business,
Residing at – 910, 9th Floor,
P.J. Towers,
Bombay Stock Exchange,
Dalal Street, Fort,
Mumbai 400001.

**... Applicant/
Accused No.203**

Versus

**1. State of Maharashtra
(E.O.W. Mumbai)**

... Respondent

Appearances:

Ld. Adv. Mr. Shubham Shingade for applicant/accused No.203.
Ld. S.P.P. Mr. Vagish Mishra for State/EOW.

**CORAM : HIS HONOUR SPECIAL JUDGE
SHRI V.P. DESAI
SPECIAL COURT (C.R.No.52)
DATE : JANUARY 05, 2026.**

**(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)
:ORAL ORDER:**

Vide this application, accused no.203/applicant is seeking permission to travel abroad to USA, Indonesia, United Arab Emirates, Thailand, United Kingdom, Singapore from 20.01.2026 to 19.07.2026.

2. The Notice of this application was issued to the State. It is duly served.

3. Ld. SPP Mr. Vagish Mishra appearing on behalf of the EOW, Mumbai filed his say [Exh.1788 A] resisting the grant of the application on the grounds that the offence is serious one as 13,000 investors are involved and there is a fraud of Rs.5600 crores. Charge-sheet is filed against the present applicant and the applicant is not relied on any document substantiating his travel to abroad that there is a likelihood of the applicant/accused absconding. The applicant is not provided his itinerary, details during his stay in above mentioned place. On these grounds, prayed for rejection of the application.

4. Heard Ld. Adv. Mr. Shubham Shingade for the applicant and the Ld. SPP Mr. Vagish Mishra for State/EOW, Mumbai.

5. Both the Ld. Advocates reiterated the contentions of the application and the say.

6. In the matter of **Satishchandra Verma vs. Union of India in Civil Appeal No.3802 of 2019 (arising out of SLP (Civil) case no.1655 of 2019)**, the Hon'ble Apex Court held that "Freedom to go abroad has much social value and represents the basic human right of great significance". So also, in the **Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India 1978 (1) SCC 248**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed that right to travel for the purpose of livelihood and business is guaranteed and protected under Article 19 and 21 of Constitution of India by the Hon'ble Apex Court.

7. Considering the observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court mentioned herein above, the person who is an accused can be permitted to travel abroad for livelihood, business and social purpose.

8. The applicant is one of the Director of Roongta Corporate Services Pvt. Ltd. which is a SEBI registered broker. The applicant filed pursis [Exh.1788C] with regard to address of his son who stays abroad in USA. Therefore the applicant is willing to travel abroad to the above countries. The applicant and his company had been generating business interests from their prospective clients including multinational corporate from various other countries. The applicant has also retail NRI clients and he have been invited to speak at NRI forum across the world.

9. It is matter of record that this applicant was not arrested during the investigation. He caused his appearance before the Court in response to summons and thereafter was released on bond application u/s 88 of Cr.P.C,

10. As far as the contention of the Ld. SPP Mr. Vagish Mishra that details of the tour are not provided in the application, the same finds substance. Therefore the applicant can be directed to furnish detail addresses alongwith landline numbers and mobile numbers of the places, wherein he will visit and reside, so also travel details (Detail itinerary), to the EOW, prior to moving outside India. He is further directed to file his detail programe about visit before the Court alongwith his identity and address proofs. No prejudice will be caused to the prosecution, if this application is considered subject to certain

conditions. Hence, considering the overall scenario on certain terms and conditions, the application can be allowed. Hence, following order:

ORDER

1. Application Exh.1788 in MPID Special Case No.1 of 2014 is allowed.
2. Applicant/accused **Harshvardhan Roongta** is hereby permitted to travel to abroad to USA, Indonesia, United Arab Emirates, Thailand, United Kingdom and Singapore from 20.01.2026 to 19.07.2026 on the following terms and conditions :
 - a. Applicant/accused is directed to furnish detail addresses alongwith landline numbers and mobile numbers of the places, wherein he will visit and reside, so also travel details (Detail itinerary), to the EOW prior to five days of his moving outside India. As and when he travels to the above referred countries, he is directed to file his detail programme by way of affidavit about visit alongwith his identity and address proofs to the investigation machinery.
 - b. Applicant/accused shall report by way of affidavit within a week after his every return to India from the respective foreign countries for the aforesaid period to the EOW.
 - c. The cash security of Rs.1 lakhs which is already deposited by the applicant/accused vide order dated 02.08.2024 passed below Exh.1109 in MPID Special Case No.1 of 2014 to be treated as security for this application. He will be entitled for refund after his return to India i.e. 19.07.2026.
 - d. Applicant/accused is directed to keep his Advocate present during aforesaid period, if required. He is further directed to note that, the

aforesaid permission is subject to any direction requiring his personal presence before this Court or Hon'ble Bombay High Court during aforesaid period.

e. It is hereby further directed to the applicant/accused that in case during the aforementioned time, if he is required to appear before this Court or the office of respondent in connection with the offence to assist the Investigating Machinery or whenever needed in order to pass any order or for any other reason, then he shall appear as directed.

f. Applicant/accused is further directed to file an undertaking that he will not have any objection, if any order against him is passed in the proceeding pending before this Court, if he or his Advocate remains absent on the date of hearing and does not file proper application according to law, during the said period of travel.

g. Applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any sort of illegal activities at abroad and maintain the reputation of nation.

h. Applicant/accused is hereby directed to abide by all other conditions of bond without fail.

3. Application stands disposed off accordingly.



Date: 05.01.2026

(VP. Desai)
Special Judge (MPID)
City Civil & Sessions Court,
Gr. Bombay.

Dictated directly on computer :05.01.2026
Signed by HHJ on :07.01.2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER”

UPLOAD DATE AND TIME

NAME OF STENOGRAPHER

07.01.2026 (12.43 p.m.)

K.Y. INAMDAR

Name of the Judge (with Court Room No.)	Shri V.P. Desai C.R. No.52
Date of Pronouncement of JUDGMENT/ ORDER	05.01.2026
JUDGMENT/ORDER signed by P. O. on	07.01.2026
JUDGMENT/ORDER uploaded on	07.01.2026