

RECEIVED ON : 14/07/2026
REGISTERED ON : 14/07/2026
DECIDED ON : 22/07/2026
DURATION : Y M D
08

EXH. 7

**IN THE DESIGNATED COURT OF PRINCIPAL
DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, AT : RAJKOT.**

**Criminal Misc. Application (for Regular Bail- Before charge
sheet) No.2433/2026.**

Altaf @ Chha Angli Hanifbhai Thyem,
R/o.Anmolpark Street No.2,
Beside Turki Bapu Dargah,
Nr.Aji Dam Chokdi,
Rajkot.
(At present in in Surat Jail,)

::: APPLICANT.

VERSUS

The State

::: OPPONENT.

Appearance :-

Mr.H. B. Makwana, Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

Mr.T. M. Gokani,, Ld. Special P.P. for the opponent – State.

Application U/s. 483 of the BNSS.

:: J U D G M E N T ::

- 1] The applicant, who came to be arrested by **Rajkot City DCB Police Station** for the offence alleged to have been committed under **Sub.Sec.(1) & (2) of Sec.3(1), 3(2) & 3(4) of Gujarat Control of Terrorism and Organized Crime Act,2015,** registered vide **C.R. No.11208055260221/2026,** has preferred the present application under Section 483 of BNSS praying for regular bail.
- 2] On being served with the process, the Learned Special PP appeared for the State. The Investigating Officer has submitted his affidavit at **Exh.6.**
- 3] Heard Ld. Advocate Mr.H. B. Makwana for the applicant, who has reiterated the averments of the application. He has also submitted that, the applicant is totally innocent and has not played any role in the alleged offence, however, he has been falsely implicated in the present offence. He has submitted that, the applicant has cooperated during interrogation. He has submitted that, the applicant is in judicial custody since 08/06/2026. He has submitted that, the applicant has no connection with any organized crime syndicate activity as defined under GCTOC Act. He has submitted that, as per F.I.R. there is no personal allegation against the applicant. He has submitted that, applicant has not committed any offence along with member of the organized crime syndicate. He has submitted that, there is

no evidence to show that the present applicant was member of the organized crime syndicate. He has submitted that, if the applicant is kept in jail then there is breach of Article-21 of the Constitution of India. He has submitted that, past antecedents shown against the applicant cannot be considered for deciding present bail application, since there is no prima facie case against the present applicant in the present alleged offence. He has submitted that, the investigation is likely to be over, hence, question of hampering or tampering with prosecution witnesses does not arise. He has submitted that, *“Bail is rule, Jail is exception”* and *“Bail should not be refused as a means of punishment. The applicant’s right to legal defence maimed, if liberty curtailed.”* He has further submitted that, the entire responsibility of the family of the applicant is on his shoulders, and hence, if he is kept in judicial custody till the trial is over then the family of the applicant will have to face dire consequences. He has also submitted that, principles to grant bail to accused have been enunciated by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in case of Sanjay Chandra V/s.CBI, reported in 2012 Cri. Law Journal-702. Under these circumstances, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant has urged that, the applicant may be granted regular bail on suitable terms and conditions that may deem just and proper to the Court to which the applicant has assured to abide.

- 4] Per contra the Ld. Special PP Mr.T. M. Gokani appeared on behalf of the State and opposed the grant of relief

stating and contending that, the involvement of the applicant in the alleged offence cannot be ruled out. The Ld. Special PP has submitted that, the applicant is having criminal antecedents and has expressed his apprehension that, if the applicant is enlarged on bail, he may jump the bail and there is possibility of hampering or tampering with the prosecution witnesses. He has submitted that, applicant has committed in all 15 offences, out of 15 offences, 09 offences were alleged to have been committed prior to promulgation of GCTOC Act whereas 06 offences were alleged to have been committed after promulgation of GCTOC Act. The Ld. Special PP has further submitted that, the applicant is the main gang leader and is the active member of the “organized crime syndicate” and has committed several offences. It is further submitted that, the investigation has just begun and charge sheet is yet to be filed. It is further argued that, there is strong prima facie case against accused and the matter being under Special Act, discretionary power should not be exercised in favour of the applicant. Hence, requested to reject the present application.

- 5] The I.O. Mr.Bharat B. Basiya, Assistant Police Commissioner, Crime, Rajkot City has filed an exhaustive affidavit vide **Exh.6**, whereby, he has resisted this bail application stating and contending about the crimes committed by the applicant since last 10 years. It has been stated that, considering the terror which was unleashed by the “organized crime syndicate”, it would not be advisable

to enlarge the applicant on bail. Hence, she has requested to reject the bail application.

- 6] Brief facts as reflect from the statements and allegations made by the prosecution, so also from the police investigation papers along with the report submitted by the Investigating Officer, it transpires that **the applicant along with co-accused are alleged to have hatched conspiracy and formed unlawful assembly and also alleged to have been equipped and possessed with lethal weapons and alleged to have caused grievous and severe fatal injuries to the complainant and prosecution witnesses/protective witnesses and further alleged to have threatened prosecution witnesses and protective witnesses to face dire consequences. It is further case of the prosecution that, the applicant along with co-accused are members of the “organized crime syndicate” and the present applicant is proactive member who is involved in various unlawful activities and offences like murder, attempt to commit murder, grievous hurt, loot, obstruction in performing duty to government servant, threat, Arms Act, purchase and sale of Indian made foreign liquor since last more than 10 years. Thus, due to high headed activities and involvement in severe crimes and fear and apprehension in the mind of public at large, no citizens – complainant - victims come forward to lodge the complaint against the present applicant-accused who is otherwise rather impressing upon public as a bullied and dangers to the society. In**

continuation of the statements and allegations, the present applicant along with co-accused are alleged to have involved in several cases pertaining to the persons and properties and the cases against them are pending who after being released on bail / furlough / temporary bail and otherwise are also alleged to have been threatening the prosecution witnesses and protective witnesses and alleged to have extorting money. It transpires that, the present applicant is alleged to have committed offences for which 15 F.I.Rs. have been filed.

From the perusal of report, it appears that, the applicant along with other co-accused as members of the “organized crime syndicate” are alleged to have committed several offences.

- 7] Thus, the sum and substance of aforesaid offences which apparently indicate the involvement of the present applicant in total 15 offences since last 10 years. It further appears that, the F.I.R. was lodged against present applicant and other 05 co-accused persons under the provisions of GCTOC Act, since they were running an “organized crime syndicate”, of which, the applicant was a member. The said syndicate had continued with unlawful activities which included, **offence offences like murder, attempt to commit murder, grievous hurt, threat, obstruction in performing duty to government servant, extortion, Arms Act, purchase and sale of Indian made foreign liquor, etc.,**

From the perusal of report and the investigation papers, it appears that applicant has committed almost all offences along with other co-accused. It appears that, the applicant has committed in all 15 offences, and out of 15 offences, 09 offences were alleged to have been committed prior to promulgation of the GCTOC Act, whereas 06 offences were alleged to have been committed after promulgation of the GCTOC Act. It appears that, the applicant and other co-accused have committed most of offences interse with the gang members. It appears that, the applicant along with co-accused as members of "organized crime syndicate" have committed in all 46 offences. The gang had created an atmosphere of threat in Rajkot District and other Districts of Gujarat State in the name of Chha Angli Gang. Hence, after promulgation of the GCTOC Act, the present applicant is alleged to have indulged in "continue unlawful activities" as per Sec.2(1)(c) of the GCTOC Act. The applicant is the main gang leader.

- 8] Though, this court is in agreement with the ratio laid down in the judgment cited by Ld. Advocate Mr.Makwana, with all due respect and humility, this court would like to observe that, considering the gravity of the offence, the same cannot be made applicable to the present case.
- 9] Ld. Special P.P. for the opponent-State has submitted that, the present applicant along with other co-accused have formed "organized crime syndicate" to commit serious crimes relating to economic offences and made monetary

benefits yielded out from such crimes for their livelihoods and if the applicant is enlarged on bail then he would once again indulge in such activities, and therefore, the applicant should not be granted bail. He has submitted that, if the applicant is enlarged on bail he would flee from justice and threaten the prosecution witnesses, and therefore, also the applicant should not be enlarged on bail. Therefore, considering the criminal antecedents and the offences committed by the applicant as member of the “organized crime syndicate”, the apprehension expressed by Ld. A.P.P. that, if the applicant is enlarged on bail he would indulge again in such type of offences, and he would hamper and tamper with the prosecution evidence cannot be ruled out. Further, the investigation is still going on and if enlarged on bail, there would be chances that he may flee from justice cannot be ruled out. Hence, as of now, this court would not like to exercise discretion in favour of the applicant. Thus, the following final order.

:: ORDER ::

- 1] The present Criminal Misc. Application (for Regular Bail) No.2433/2026 is hereby rejected.

Pronounced in open court today on this 22nd day of July, 2026.

Place : Rajkot
Date : 22.07.2026

(Jitendra Rameshchandra Shah)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
(Designated Court, GCTOC Act),

R A J K O T
Code No.GJ01044

EA.MNG.