

(i)

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, CHITRAKOOT

UPCH010024442023



Civil Misc. Case No. 44 / 2023

1. Akhilesh Kumar Shrivastava aged 44 years son of Late Anant Prakash Shrivastava, Resident of nearby to CMO Office, Purani Bazar, Rampuri, Karwi, Tehsil and Police Station Karwi, District Chitrakoot.

..... Applicant.

Versus

1. Mukhya Karkhana Prabandhak, Wagon Marammat Karkhana, Uttar Madhya Railway, Jhansi, District Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh.
2. Up Vitt Salahkar evam Mukhya Lekha Adhikari MLR Uttar Madhya Railway, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh.
3. Anoop Kumar Shrivastava aged 51 years son of Late Anant Prakash Shrivastava, Resident of nearby to CMO Office, Purani Bazar, Rampuri Karwi, P.S. and Tehsil Karwi, District Chitrakoot.
4. Smt. Archana Shrivastava aged 49 years daughter of Anant Prakash Shrivastava, wife of Pramod Kumar Shrivastava, Resident of Murli Ka bageecha, Robertsganj, Tehsil and P.S. Robertsganj, District Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh.

..... Opposite Parties.

U/s 14 Divyangjan Adhikar Adhiniyam 2016

13.03.2026:

Case called out. Learned counsel for applicant and learned counsel for opposite party no.3 & 4 are present.

2. An application 28 Ka supported with affidavit 29 Ga is moved by Akhilesh Kumar Shrivastava stating therein that he is the applicant in this case which is filed to appoint him Sarvarahkar for his brother Avinash Shrivastava due to mental disability of Avinash Shrivastava but due to lack of evidence he is not willing to continue the case. Thus, prayer has been made to permit him to withdraw the suit.

(ii)

3. Heard and perused the record.

4. Learned counsel Shri Gopaldas Agrawal has submitted that due to lack of sufficient evidence, the applicant does not want to press his application and wants permission to withdraw his application filed for his appointment as Sarvarahkar for his brother Avinash Shrivastava due to his brother's mental unsoundness.

5. Application 4 Ka has been filed by the applicant Akhilesh Kumar Shrivastava for his appointment as Sarbarahkar of his mentally unsound brother Avinash Kumar and since through application 28 Ka, the applicant wants permission to withdraw his application 4 Ka, therefore, there is no justification to continue the hearing of the present application 4 Ka.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the application 28 Ka is hereby allowed and the applicant is allowed to withdraw his application 4Ka. Consequently, application 4 Ka is also disposed off accordingly. Office to consign the record.

Dated: 13.03.2026

(Shesh Mani)
District Judge
Chitrakoot
J.O. Code- UP 5751