

Abhay Ram vs. SE HSRDC and others.

Present: Sh. Anand Yadav, counsel for the Plaintiff.
Sh. Ram Prakash Manager for defendants No.1 and 2
Sh. Chander Singh Patwari for defendants No.3 and 4

Authority letter on behalf of defendants No.1 and 2 has been filed by Sh. Ram Prakash Manager and authority letter on behalf of defendants No.3 and 4 has been filed Sh. Chander Singh Patwari. Perusal of the file shows that the application under section 80 of CPC was filed alongwith plaint. However, the same was not allowed at the time of filing of the suit. Heard. Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is hereby allowed. Now, case is adjourned to 15.11.2022 for filing written statement and reply to stay application on behalf of defendants No.1 to 4.

On request of learned counsel for plaintiff arguments on ad-interim injunction application heard. However, Sh. Ram Prakash Manager appeared on behalf of defendants No.1 and 2 has made the statement that they will not do the work of widening the road on the land of the plaintiff and will only do the strengthening work on the already constructed road in public interest. Till then defendants are bound down by the statement made by Sh. Ram Prakash Manager appeared on behalf of defendants No.1 and 2.

Date of Order: **10.11.2022**
Mohd.Rashid (Stenographer-II)

(Shailza Gupta)
ACJ(SD), Nuh
(HR-0290)