

**In the Court of Special Judge (SC/ST, Prevention of Atrocities Act),
Bareilly**

**Bail Application No. 2301 of 2026
C.N.R. No. UPBR01-007485-2026**

Nihal Saini son of Ravi, resident of A-Ramganga Nagar Colony, Block-9, Room No. 303, police station- Bithrichainpur, district- Bareilly.

Applicant

Versus

State of U.P

Case Crime No. : 241 of 2026

Under Sections : 108 BNS and 3(2)v SC/ST Act

Police Station : Bithrichainpur

District : Bareilly

27.06.2026

Order

The Bail application has been moved by applicant/accused Nihal Saini in case crime no. 241 of 2026, under Sections 108 BNS and 3(2)v SC/ST Act pertaining to police station Bithrichainpur, district Bareilly. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant/accused that this is the first bail application on behalf of applicant in aforementioned case.

It has been further submitted that as per F.I.R dated 03.05.2026 lodged against applicant/accused and another stating therein that the informant's nephew Sumit Sonkar had been living in a rented room (Ram Ganga Nagar Colony police station Bithri Chainpur district Bareilly) for the last about 6 months and was doing hard labour. Kajal Sonkar had been having an illicit relationship with Nihal Saini S/O Ravi (resident of Mohalla A Ram Ganga Nagar Colony Block-09 Room No. 303 Police station Bithri Chainpur district Bareilly) for the last several months. When Sumit Sonkar came to know about this, Sumit Sonkar strongly objected to this illicit relationship, on which Kajal Sonkar as well as Nihal Saini started torturing Sumit Sonkar mentally. Both of them started torturing Sumit Sonkar mentally by continuously saying that you are impotent, you are a eunuch, you should be ashamed of yourself for such a life, you should go somewhere and commit suicide by hanging yourself or by getting hit by a train. You should go and die somewhere so that we can live as husband and wife and enjoy our lives. Sumit Sonkar also informed the informant of this. Fearing public shame, the informant asked Sumit to treat his wife with love and understanding so that Sumit Sonkar's daughter, who is approximately two years old, would not be negatively influenced. However, despite Sumit's repeated attempts to reason with his wife, there was no improvement. Furthermore, the two began to mentally harass Sumit. Distressed by this, Sumit left home on April 16, 2026, without informing anyone. A written report of this was also submitted at the Bithri Chainpur Police Station, Bareilly, on April 17, 2026. Sumit returned home on the night of April 17, 2026. However, on April 24, 2026, at approximately 1:30 p.m., Kajal called Sumit's sister, Preeti. (who lives nearby) was informed of Sumit's suicide. Upon arriving at the scene, Sumit's body was found on the cot. Upon questioning Kajal, it was revealed that the incident occurred around 12:30 p.m. When asked how the body had ended up on the cot from the noose, why the hanging wasn't immediately reported to any other member of Sumit's family, and who had taken the body down from the noose, she began to evade the question and could not provide any

name or identity. Later, a keypad mobile phone was found with Kajal, which she had kept hidden from Sumit to communicate with Nihal Saini. Sumit, distressed by this illicit relationship, had begun consuming alcohol. This suggests that these two conspired against Sumit and killed him.

Referring the above it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in aforesaid case, as he is absolutely innocent. Applicant/accused is lodged in jail since 07.06.2026. Applicant/accused has no criminal history to his credit. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

Learned SPP (SC/ST Act) and learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail stating therein that there is sufficient material available on record about the involvement of applicant/accused along with another in the investigation conducted so far. Sections imposed against the applicant/accused are of serious and grave in nature.

Heard the learned counsels and perused the record.

Matter is under investigation. Considering the nature and gravity of the accusation, status of case, manner of incident, complicity of applicant/accused, this Court is of the view that bail of applicant/accused is liable to be rejected.

Hence, the bail application of applicant/accused Nihal Saini is hereby rejected.

(Abhai Srivastav)
JO Code-UP6216
Special Judge (SC/ST, Prevention of Atrocities Act),
Bareilly
27.06.2026