

IN THE COURT OF FOOD SAFETY
APPELLATE TRIBUNAL/ DISTRICT JUDGE,
ALLAHABAD

Food Safety Appeal No. 15 of 2019

Anjiv Raj Agrawal Vs. State of U.P.& Others

29.01.2019

Called out.

Learned counsel for the appellant is present.

Heard and perused the file.

The appellant Anjiv Raj Agrawal has preferred this appeal under section 70 Food Safety & Standard Act, 2006 against the order dated 12.12.2018 passed by Addl. District Magistrate, (City), Prayagraj, in Case No. 4524 of 2017 State Vs. Anjiv Raj Agrawal, under section 52 Food Safety & Standard Act 2006, P.S. Kotwali, District Prayagraj, whereby the learned Addl. District Magistrate, (City), Prayagraj, has found the appellant violating the provisions of Section 26(2)(ii) & 31(2) of Food Safety & Standard Act 2006 and thereby imposed punishment of fine of Rs. 65,000/- (Sixty Five Thousand only) on the appellant. It was also directed that the amount of fine imposed shall be deposited within 15 days through Treasury Challan.

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the provisions of Food Safety & Standard Act 2006 have not been followed. The impugned order passed by the Addl. District Magistrate, (City), Prayagraj, is illegal.

Admit.

Register.

Issue notice to opp. parties.

The appellant has moved application 5-Ga for staying the operation of the impugned order dated 12.12.2018. The learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that if operation of impugned order is not stayed, purpose of filing of appeal would be frustrated.

Put up on 25.02.2019 for arguments. Summon the lower Court record.

Till then operation of the impugned order is stayed.

It is made clear that if adjournment application is moved by the appellant, then the stay order passed shall stand automatically vacated.

District Judge