

**Bail Application**

STATE OF DELHI Vs. KIRAN ALIAS BONI

FIR 46/2026

PS Civil Lines

16.05.2026

Present: Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.  
Accused Kiran @ Boni produced from JC.  
Sh. Deepak Ghai, Ld. Counsel for accused Kiran @ Boni.  
IO/SI Naveen in person.

1. Reply filed by the IO. Copy has already been supplied.
2. By way of the present application, the applicant/accused, namely, Kiran @ Boni, wife of Sh. Vinod Kumar seeks grant of regular bail in the subject FIR, registered under the relevant provisions of NDPS Act, PS Civil Lines.
3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused, who is single mother to two minor children, has been falsely implicated in the instant FIR and that the alleged recovery has been planted upon her. It has been argued on behalf of the applicant/accused that the identity of the applicant/accused was very well known to the complainant / SI Prince Gautam, as he had on earlier occasion, executed NBWs issued by the Court against the applicant/accused in some other FIR and despite the same, a bare perusal of subject FIR reveals that the identity of applicant/accused was unknown to the complainant and that the applicant/accused was arrested on the basis of a secret information and after her apprehension, the identity of applicant/accused was revealed. It has been argued that the above facts clearly reveals that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated by the police officials for some ulterior motives, best known to the said police officials. At the same time, it has been submitted on behalf of applicant/accused that in the subject FIR, the charge sheet has already been filed and charges have since been framed against the applicant/accused for alleged possession of intermediate quantity of

smack. In terms of the list of witnesses filed along with the charge sheet more than 15 witnesses are proposed to be examined on behalf of prosecution and thus the trial shall take significant amount of time. In case, the applicant/accused is kept behind bars during the entire course of trial, the same would amount to pretrial detention and which is against the established canons of criminal jurisprudence. On the basis of above submissions, it is prayed that the applicant/accused may be admitted to bail and she be released from custody.

4. On the other hand, Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application by submitting that approximately 50 gms of smack / heroin / Contraband was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused, which is 'intermediate quantity'. He submits that though the bar of Section 37 of NDPS Act is not attracted in the facts of the subject FIR, however given the fact that apart from the subject FIR, the applicant/accused has also been involved in one another case of illicit trafficking of drugs / contraband, the applicant/accused ought to be kept behind bars in order to prevent her from committing any more offences. On the basis of above submissions, it is prayed on behalf of the State that the instant bail application be dismissed.

5. Submissions heard. Record perused.

6. In the present case, the charges against the applicant/accused have since been framed for possession of 'intermediate' quantity of the contraband (50 gm of smack), and that the matter is now pending trial at the stage of recording of prosecution evidence. Given the fact that approximately 16 witnesses are proposed to be examined on behalf of prosecution, the same shall take considerable amount of time.

7. In view of the above discussion, especially considering the fact that the applicant/accused, is a single mother to two minor children, that she is in custody since 14/2/2026, instant bail application of the applicant/accused, namely, Kiran @ Boni, wife of Sh. Vinod Kumar stands allowed and she is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- with one local surety in the like amount and subject to the following conditions that: -

(i) That applicant/ accused shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to the complainant or any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(ii) That applicant/ accused shall upon his release provide his mobile number to the IO/ SHO and keep his such mobile 'Switch On' at all times.

(iii) That applicant/ accused shall intimate to the IO/ SHO about any change in his mobile number or address;

(iv) The applicant/ accused will not influence the witnesses nor tamper with any evidence;

(v) That applicant/ accused shall not leave the country without permission of the Court;

(vi) That applicant/ accused shall appear on each and every date of hearing before the Court.

**Application stands disposed of accordingly.**

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the IO as well as to the Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused and be communicated to the applicant/ accused through Jail Superintendent for information.

**(Puneet Nagpal)**  
Special Judge, NDPS,  
Central, THC, Delhi/16.05.2026