

**In the Court of Suruchi Atreja Singh, Additional Sessions Judge,  
Bhiwani**

**(UID No.HR0191)**

**HRBH010053752026**



CIS: BA-808-2026.

Date of Institution: 29.04.2026.

**Date of order: 01.05.2026.**

Ankit, aged 28 years, son of Mahabir, resident of Village Sandwa, Tehsil Tosham, District Bhiwani, at present Gali No.1, Near Birla Public School, Pilani, District Jhunjhunu (Rajasthan).

....Applicant

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

FIR No.157 dated 18.04.2026

Under Sections: 323, 328, 354C, 376(2)(n), 384, 506,  
34 of IPC

Police Station: Tosham

**1<sup>st</sup> Application for Grant of Anticipatory Bail**

Present: Sh. Manpal Singh, Advocate for applicant-accused.  
Sh. Ashutosh, Special Public Prosecutor for the State assisted  
by Sh. Subhash Bhati, Advocate for complainant.

**ORDER:-**

This order shall dispose of application for anticipatory bail filed by applicant-accused, in present FIR.

2. Notice of the application was given to the State, which filed its reply through learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. Allegations, in brief, against applicant-accused are that complaint has been filed alleging accused Ankit to have developed closeness towards the complainant after having taken a room on rent in the year 2022 having come from his duty at Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir during the duration of Covid. It has been alleged that complainant was residing alone with her young daughter and accused taking advantage of this fact started gaining their trust by helping them bring household items upon payment being made by the complainant. In August 2020 at around 08:00 p.m. accused came alongwith cold drink and snacks and after consuming which she had fallen unconscious. When she regained her consciousness at night she found herself to be naked alongwith the accused who was also naked. She screamed for help when accused threatened her of dire consequences to her as well as her daughter and she then turned away the accused from her house. Accused came 2 days later and threatened her of making viral her obscene photographs and videograph and extended threat of dire consequences to her and her

family members. Her husband was in Army for which reason she was alone at house with her daughter and it is this advantage which has been taken by the accused who started blackmailing and threatening her. He also started getting money transferred from her bank account and her mobile phone also had such records of transfers made to him. In July 2025 forcibly a live-in relationship document was got executed by him and in August 2025 Rs.5,00,000/- was deposited by her husband about which accused got to know and he forcibly took this amount after threatening of making viral her photographs and videograph. Accused thereafter assured her on 23.01.2026 that he would delete her obscene photographs and videograph on the condition that live-in relationship deed be cancelled which he told has already been done by him and after the cancellation deed accused refused to return her money and also threatened her of dire consequences. He alongwith other persons gave her beatings when she was pressurised to make the statement in his favour for which she complaint to the Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar. Accused told her to have acted in criminal conspiracy with each other so as to deprive her of the amount and threatened her of dire consequences to her as well as her daughter in case she took any legal action. Thus, with these allegations it has been prayed that legal action be taken against the accused.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused has vehemently contended that applicant-accused has been falsely implicated whereas no offence has been committed by him. The applicant-accused and the complainant were residing in live-in relationship to the knowledge of the husband of the complainant and regarding this document live-in relationship deed has been relied upon. It has been further vehemently contended that no pressure or threat has been exerted by applicant-accused upon the complainant nor there is any such criminal conspiracy which has been hatched against her. The present FIR has been lodged after inordinate delay and a concocted story has been created. The applicant-accused is ready and willing to abide by all the terms and conditions of the bail and the present case having arisen out of discord in relationship, it has been prayed that the concession of bail be extended to them.

5. Per contra, Learned Public Prosecutor for State has vehemently contended that allegations against the applicant-accused are of serious nature and it cannot be said when the investigation is yet to be conducted from him that he has been falsely implicated. The transactions which have taken place are a matter of documentary proof showing that the allegations which have been levelled by the complainant are correct and she was forced to transfer different amounts to the applicant-accused

who was acting in criminal conspiracy so as to deprive the complainant of the amount which was with her. Applicant-accused has taken advantage of her vulnerability of she residing alongwith her young daughter and in the present case it cannot be said that applicant-accused is entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail. The recovery of amount also has to be effected from him for which custodial interrogation of applicant-accused is required and it has thus been prayed that his anticipatory bail application be dismissed.

6. After carefully perusing the case file and going through the respective submissions advanced, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is of considered view that at this stage when the investigation is yet to be conducted from the applicant-accused it cannot be said that he has been falsely implicated. The perusal of police record reflects that several monetary transactions have taken place of transfer of amount by complainant to accused and also it has been stated by the prosecution that recovery in the present case is to be effected from the applicant-accused in which circumstances it cannot be said that the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail can be granted to the applicant-accused and thus considering the gravity of allegations which have been levelled against the applicant-accused and that the recovery is to be effected from him, the present bail application thus stands **dismissed**.

7. It is clarified that none of the observations made here-in-above shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present bail application. Papers be tagged with the main case file/consigned to record-room, after due compliance.

Pronounced in open Court  
**Dated:-01.05.2026**

Suruchi Atreja Singh  
Additional Sessions Judge  
Bhiwani. UID No. HR0191

Note : All the pages of this order are checked and signed by me.

Bhushan Maitray, Stenographer Grade-I

Suruchi Atreja Singh  
ASJ, Bhiwani. **01.05.2026**

Suruchi Atreja Singh  
ASJ, Bhiwani. **01.05.2026**