

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

**Present: Thiru. S. Karthikeyan, M.A., M.L., M.Sc., P.G.D.C.F.Sc., P.G.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge**

Wednesday, the 10th day of December, 2025

Crl.M.P.No.11743/2025

in

H.3, Tondiarpet Police Station, Crime No.672/2025

1. Niranjan
2. Lenin @ Leninkumar
3. Karthick @ Karthick Kumar .. Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
H.3, Tondiarpet Police Station,
Chennai. ..Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of M/s. R. Muthukumar, R. Lingakumar, H. Meeran Mohideen, J. Deva, V. Guhan, S.Vignesh, S.Vimala, Counsel for the petitioners and of CPP for respondent and upon hearing them, this Court delivered the following :

ORDER

1. The petitioners, who were arrested on 20.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 296(b), 118(1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.672/2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent. There is a dispute between the petitioners' family and trustees of Chennai Nadar Munetra Ilaigamar Kazhagam in respect of property situated at No.32/16, Bala Arunachala Street, Old Washermenpet, Chennai 600 021 and the said trustees filed suit in O.S.No.7239/2024 on the file of XI Assistant City Civil Court, Chennai and the learned Judge has ordered Status Quo. At this juncture, the said trustees colluded with the defacto complainant picked unnecessary quarrel with the petitioners and assaulted them, as against which, the petitioners have lodged a complaint and the

same was registered in Cr.No.671/2025. As a counterblast, this false complaint has been filed against the petitioners. The petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged offences. It is a false case. The counter case accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this court on 08.12.2025 in CrI.M.P.No.11625/2025. The petitioners are in custody from 20.11.2025 and hence, prays for granting bail.

3. On the other hand, learned CPP submits that the defacto complainant is a practicing advocate and he has taken a shop on lease in the premises owned by Thiruporur Kappal Ramasamy Nadar Amaravathi Ammal Trust. When the defacto complainant went to the shop, these petitioners and others, trespassed into the shop and abused them in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant with knife and caused injuries. The injured was treated as in-patient for 2 days and got discharged. The 1st petitioner is having 6 previous cases. 2nd petitioner is having 1 previous case and the 3rd petitioner is having 3 previous cases. However, he submits that it is a case and counter case and counter case accused were already enlarged on bail by this court.

4. It is alleged that due to previous enmity regarding Trust property, the petitioners and others attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. The learned counsel Mr. C. Raghavan intervened the petition and objected to grant bail. However, on perusal of the FIR, it appears that due to dispute over the Trust Property, there arose quarrel between the parties, which escalated into physical fight and case and counter case were registered. The counter case accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this court. According to learned CPP, injured in this case has also been discharged from the hospital. The petitioners have been in custody for the past 20 days. Substantial portion of the investigation might have been completed by this time. Considering the above facts, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(b) the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00a.m. until further orders.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the above petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the above petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

(f) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Dictated to the steno-typist, typed by her directly, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, this the 10th day of December 2025.

Principal Sessions Judge

Copy to :

1. Learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal.

nmk