

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
FAST TRACK COURT, SRIVILLIPUTTUR.**

Present: Thiru. R. Sundara Kamesh Marthandan, M.L.,
Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Srivilliputtur.

Thursday, on the 12th day of February 2026

M.P. No. 23 of 2026

in

S.T.C. No. 1190 of 2023

Bharathi

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

Vijayalakshmi

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up before this Court today i.e. 12.02.2026. Advocates M/s. G.Narayanasamy, D. Satheesbabu, S. Mathusudhanan & K. Vinothkumar, for the Petitioner/Accused. Advocates M/s. A. Suwathieswaran & P. Kanagaraj, for the Respondent/Complainant. Upon hearing both sides and Upon perusing the case records, this Court delivers the following:

ORDER

1. The Petitioner/Accused had filed the above Petition, seeking an order to Reopen the Case.

2. The Respondent/Complainant had filed the above Complaint, by using the Petitioner's Cheque wrongly. The Case is in the stage of Arguments. Towards the Loan issued to Ramalakshmi, the Complainant had received the Petitioner/Accused's Blank

Cheque and Blank Pronote and Blank Pronote from Kasturi and had filed the above case as well as case another case before Additional District Court, before this Petitioner. The Complainant had also filed another case against Kasturi before the Sub-Court. The said Kasturi had repaid the said Loan Amount. Hence she has to be examined on the side of the Petitioner/Accused. For that Purpose, the case has to be re-opened, for which the Respondent/Complainant could not have any objection. Unless the above Petition is allowed, the Petitioner will be put to irreparable loss and hardship. Hence the above Petition.

3. Though Opportunity was given to the Respondent/Accused, had not filed Counter. Hence the Chance for filing Counter is Closed.

4. The Point for consideration, is whether the above Petition has to be allowed or not?

5. The reason stated by the Petitioner/Complainant is not denied or disputed by the Respondent/Accused by filing Counter. Since the Petitioner had contended that the Case Pro-Note, Cheque and Pronote of one Kasturi were given to the Complainant as Security for the loan borrowed by Ramalakshmi and that the said Loan was repaid by the said Ramalakshmi, this Court is of the considered view that the above Petition, could be allowed on terms.

In the result, the above Petition is allowed on Condition, the Petitioner, pays a sum of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) to the Respondent on or before

16.02.2026. Call on 17.02.2026.

Dictated to the Steno Typist and Typed by her, directly in Computer corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court this, the 12th day of February 2026.

Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court
Srivilliputtur.

Petitioner side witness & Documents : Nil
Respondent side witness & Documents : Nil

Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court
Srivilliputtur.