

Schedule XLII—High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl. No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office Notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	<u>01.07.2026</u>	In the Court of the Special Judge Cyber Crime, Jamtara. Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 148/2026 CNR No. : JHJM010007602026 Tinku AnsariPetitioner Versus State of Jharkhand.....Opp. Party. (Arising out of Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 16/2025) ORDER Apprehending his arrest, petitioner Tinku Ansari, Son of Company Mian, R/o village Dighari, P.S. Narayanpur, District Jamtara has prayed for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of the BNSS 2023 who is accused in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 16/2025 registered U/ss 111(2)(ii), 317(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. 2023 and u/ss 66(B)(C)(D) of I.T. Act pending before this court and fixed for order today. As per para No. 2 of the petition, his prayer for anticipatory bail has already been rejected by this court on 04.04.2025 in A.B.P. No. 124 of 2025 and further his anticipatory bail application has already been dismissed as withdrawn by the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi on 09.06.2025 vide A.B.A. No. 2753 of 2025 and thereafter again filed A.B.A. No. 5138/2025 before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi and the same has been rejected	

Schedule XLII—High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl. No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court A.B.P. No. 148 of 2026 2	Office Notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	<u>Contd.</u> <u>01.07.2026</u>	(dismissed) vide order dated 15.09.2025 and thereafter, no application for bail on behalf of this petitioner has been filed earlier either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi and this is the second round of his prayer for anticipatory bail before this court. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though Anticipatory bail application has already been rejected up to the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi but the fact is that the petitioner has not been arrested at the spot and nothing has been recovered from his possession. The place of occurrence is such a place which is not in exclusive possession of this petitioner and his name is arrayed in this case only on the confession of co-accused Md. Sabbir Ansari @ Sabil Ansari, which is not admissible in the eye of law. He is ready to cooperate in the investigation and is also ready to furnish bail bond. Therefore, he may be allowed anticipatory bail. Learned Special P.P. I/c has argued that in view of the fact that his anticipatory bail application has already been rejected up to the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi, such petition having no fresh ground is not maintainable. From the F.I.R. it appears that it is registered on the complaint	

Sl. No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court A.B.P. No. 148 of 2026 3	Office Notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	<u>Contd.</u> <u>01.07.2026</u>	of informant Manoj Kumar Mahato, Police Inspector of Cyber Crime Police Station, Jamtara wherein he has alleged inter-alia that on secret information of cyber crimes being committed at and near the Forest adjacent to the Forest Range Office situated at village Raghunathpur under Police Station Narayanpur by some persons, he conducted raid on 25.02.2025 at about 09.30 hours along with Police force and other members of the team, duly constituted under his leadership. It is alleged that at the said Forest, seeing the Police Team, four persons tried to flee away but out of them three persons were caught by the Police, who disclosed their names as 1. Md. Sabir Ansari @ Sabil Ansari, 2. Barjahan Ansari and 3. Azimuddin Ansari. On search of the person, two smart mobile phones and one small Mobile Phone of different companies and different active SIM Cards were recovered from the possession of Md. Sabir Ansari @ Sabil Ansari. On search of the person, five smart mobile phones, one I-Phone, two small Mobile Phones of different companies and different active SIM Cards were recovered from the possession of Barjahan Ansari. On search of the person, six smart mobile phones of different companies and different active SIM Cards were recovered from the possession of Azimuddin Ansari. It has been further alleged that from the place of	

Schedule XLII—High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl. No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court A.B.P. No. 148 of 2026 4	Office Notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	<u>Contd.</u> <u>01.07.2026</u>	occurrence, three smart mobile phones of different companies and active SIM Cards were recovered, regarding which apprehended person Md. Sabir Ansari @ Sabil Ansari disclosed that these items belong to this petitioner Tinku Ansari, who managed to flee away from the place of occurrence. They confessed before the Police that by use of such mobile phones and SIM Cards, they used to call different persons and by deceiving them, they used to obtain money from them by cheating on different score of threats. Therefore, they were arrested. In his confession, recorded by the Police, he took the name of this petitioner that he was also actively participating in commission of such cyber crime in the same manner as they were doing but he managed to flee away successfully from the place of occurrence seeing the Police Team. In such a situation, these mobile phones with SIM Cards were also seized by the Police. The I.O. has conducted detailed investigation and submitted charge sheet against all the other three accused persons but due to non-cooperation of this accused to the I.O. and his non-appearance, his investigation is still pending since 2025. Further from perusal of para 2 of the supplementary case diary, it appears that the I.O. has submitted charge sheet No. 55/2025 dated 21.05.2025 against three accused persons namely (1) Md. Sabbir Ansari, (2) Barjahan Ansari and (3) Ajimuddin Ansari U/ss. 111(2)(ii), 111(3),	

Schedule XLII—High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl. No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court A.B.P. No. 148 of 2026 5	Office Notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	<u>Contd.</u> <u>01.07.2026</u>	111(4), 317(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. 2023 and u/ss 66(B)(C)(D) of I.T. Act keeping pending investigation against this petitioner. Para 68 of case diary reveals that the I.O. has communicated to the S.P. Office, Jamtara to obtain transaction history of e-wallet created in the Mobile Phone No. 8478815309 recovered from the possession of this petitioner. The petitioner is still avoiding his appearance before the I.O. and custodial interrogation may be required for proper investigation. Thus, considering the above facts and circumstances that this petitioner is also involved in commission of organized cyber crime and his active participation shown by the statement of co-accused. This is the second anticipatory bail petition of the accused and has no any new fact to consider in the merit of the anticipatory bail petition. The matter is still under investigation. At this stage, I am not of the view to release this accused on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of accused namely Tinku Ansari stands rejected. (Dictated) Sd./- (Ajai Kumar Srivastava), Special Judge Cyber Crime, Jamtara, J.O. Code No. JH00510	