

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
FAST TRACK COURT, SRIVILLIPUTTUR.**

**Present: Thiru. R. Sundara Kamesh Marthandan, M.L.,**  
Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Srivilliputtur.

Tuesday, on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of February 2026

**M.P. No. 19 of 2026 & M.P. No. 20 of 2026**

**in**

**S.T.C.No. 502 of 2024**

Beem Singh

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

Theivam

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up before this Court to i.e., 10.02.2026. Advocates M/s. M.Ramkumar, P. Rajeshwari & M. Keerthiga, for the Petitioner/Accused. Advocate Mr. K. Eswaran, for the Respondent/Complainant. Upon hearing both sides and Upon perusing the case records, this Court delivers the following:

**COMMON ORDER**

1. The Petitioner/Accused had filed the above Petition in M.P. No. 19 of 2026 under Section 91 Code of Criminal Procedure Read with Rule 30 of Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019, seeking an order to direct the Station House Officer, to produce C.S.R.No.708 of 2023, dated 22.10.2023 on the file of Sivakasi East Police Station along with the Complaint of the Accused and statements given by the both parties in the CSR.

The Petitioner/Accused had filed the above Petition in M.P. No. 20 of 2026 under

Section 233 (3) Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking an order to issue summons to the Station House Officer, Sivakasi East Police Station to adduce evidence on defence side along with C.S.R.No.708 of 2023, dated 22.10.2023 along with the Complaint of the Accused and statements given by the both parties.

2. The Petitioner/ Accused had stated that the Case is posted for defence side further evidence. The Petitioner had further stated that the Respondent/Complainant lend a loan of Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs Only) to the Petitioner/Accused on 10.12.2023. The Petitioner/Accused lodged a complaint against the Respondent/Complainant on 22.10.2023 at Sivakasi East Police Station in C.S.R.No.708 of 2023, dated 22.10.2023. Hence there is no Possibility for the Complainant to have lent the said money to the Petitioner/Accused on 10.12.2023. Hence the Police Complaint lodged in C.S.R.No.708 of 2023, dated 22.10.2023 along with the statements recorded therein have to be summoned and the Police Official from the said Police Station, has to be examine in Defence. Hence the above Petitions.

3. The Respondent counsel had endorsed in the Docket as, "No Counter".

4. The Point for consideration, is whether the above Petition has to be allowed or not?

5. Since it is stated prior to the date of alleged loan, there was a Police Complaint, for which CSR has been issued, the Petitioner wants to summons those documents and to examine that Police Official, for which the Respondent do not have any objection.

Hence considering the reason stated by the Petitioner/Accused and the endorsement made by the Respondent Counsel and in the interest of justice and in order to ensure Fair Trial, this Court is of the considered view that the above Petition, could be allowed.

In the result the above Petition in M. P. No. 19 of 2026 is allowed. No Cost.

In the result the above Petition in M. P. No. 20 of 2026 is allowed. No Cost.

Dictated to the Steno Typist, and Typed by her Directly, in Computer corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court this, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of February 2026.

Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court  
Srivilliputtur.

Petitioner side witness & Documents : Nil

Respondent side witness & Documents : Nil

Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court  
Srivilliputtur.