

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.A.Kanthakumar, M.L.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 09th day of June 2026, Tuesday.

Cr.M.P. No.1610/2026

Mayaprabhu (A1)

... Petitioner/Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar Rural P.S.

Cr.No.137/2026

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS @

296(b), 103(1) of BNS.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.K.Rajkumar, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following

ORDER

It is an application for bail u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)

FIR was registered against the petitioner/A1 for the offences u/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS @ 296(b), 103(1) of BNS. The occurrence happened on 28-04-2026 and the petitioner is under custody for the past 42 days from 29-04-2026 onwards.

As per FIR, the defacto complainant is living at Thangamani colony, Virudhunagar and that on 28-04-2026 at about 6-00 pm when the defacto complainant's sister son went outside and came to the house with blood injury on his left head and when enquired, he stated that at about 7-45 pm when he was consuming liquor at Wines Bar, situated near KKSSN Nagar, Virudhunagar, the accused abused him using filthy language, assaulted him with hands, bottle and thereby caused injuries to him and immediately he was rushed into Government Hospital, Virudhunagar and further he was referred to Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai and further he was died under treatment.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioner is released on bail he would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of the records, it is seen that this is a case of murder and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. The petitioner has been in judicial custody for the past 15 days.

The learned P.P. strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner assaulted the deceased with hands and beer bottle and thereby caused injuries to him and further the deceased after 2 days while under treatment and the investigation is pending and the charge sheet is not yet filed.

Considering that the offence against the petitioner is u/s.103(1) of BNS and the offence of murder is serious in nature and the petitioner is under custody only for the past 42 days and the investigation is pending and also charge sheet not yet filed and earlier bail petition was dismissed in Cr.M.P.No.1372/2026 dated 14-05-2026, there is no change in circumstance and further this Court is of the opinion that if the petitioner is released on bail he would tamper the prosecution witness and also hampering the investigation, hence, this Court is not inclined to the grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 09th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Rural P.S