

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.A.Kanthakumar, M.L.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 08th day of June 2026, Monday.

Cr.M.P. No.1582/2026

Santhanakumar (A5)

... Petitioner/Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Inspector of Police,
Koomapatti P.S.

Cr.No.70/2026

U/s.296(b), 308(4), 109, 324(4) of BNS and
3(a) and 3(b) of Explosive Substances Act.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.R.Jegadeeswaran, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following

ORDER

It is an application for bail u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)

FIR was registered against the petitioner/A5 for the offences u/s.296(b), 308(4), 109, 324(4) of BNS and 3(a) and 3(b) of Explosive Substances Act. The occurrence happened on 18-05-2026 and the petitioner is under custody for the past 21 days from 18-05-2026 onwards.

As per FIR, on 18-05-2026 at about 10-50 am when the defacto complainant is going to open the TASMACH shop, at that time the petitioner and other accused came in a two-wheeler and demanded beer and when the defacto complainant stated that the shop will be opened at 11-00 am, the accused threatened that whenever they asked would be given and further at about 11-00 am A1 came there and demanded beer and the defacto complainant replied that beer only be given to the members of club. Further the accused came at 1-00 pm and abused the defacto complainant using filthy language, in order to kill him pelted country bomb on the defacto complainant and damaged the tube light worth about 200/-. Hence, this case.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioner is released on bail he would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the petitioner and other accused demanded beer from the defacto complainant wines bar and in order to kill him thrown country bomb on the shop. The learned P.P. strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner on the ground that the accused was throwing country bomb on the wines bar. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is A5 in this case and he is not directly involved in this case and he arrayed as accused only on the confession statement of A1. Considering that the petitioner and other accused demanding beer from the defacto complainant bar and in order to kill him pelted country bomb on the shop and damaged the tube light worth about 200/- and the petitioner is A5 and he is under custody for the past 21 days and it is alleged that this petitioner is the supplier of country bomb and the investigation is not yet completed and the co-accused A4 bail petition was dismissed only on 04-06-2026. Considering the short period of custody and the investigation is not yet completed and also the petitioner is the supplier of the country bomb, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 08th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Koomapatti P.S.