

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.A.Kanthakumar, M.L.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 12th day of June 2026, Friday.

Cr.M.P. No.1570/2026

1. Muthuraj (A1)
2. Aathi lakshmi (A2)
3. Vijayarani (A3)
4. Kottairani (A4)
5. Jeya Muneeswari (A5)
6. Minor Ramasamy (A6)

... Petitioners/Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar A.W. P.S.

Cr.No.24/2026

U/s.85, 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and
Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 4 of D.P. Act.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.R.Ajithkumar, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)

FIR was registered against the petitioners/A1 to A6 for the offences u/s. 85, 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 4 of D.P. Act. The occurrence happened from 14.11.2025 to 27.02.2026.

As per FIR, the marriage held between the defacto complainant and A1 on 24-10-2025 and after the marriage A1 co-habited with the defacto complainant for 20 days and after that with the instigation of other accused A2 to A6 not to speak with the petitioner and even no food was given to her and the accused demanded Rs.50,000/- engagement money and quarreled with her and assaulted her and the defacto complainant was taking treatment at Government Medical College Hospital. Further, on complaint by the defacto complainant, this case has been registered.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail they would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the accused demanded Rs.50,000/- as engagement money and quarreled with her and assaulted her. The learned P.P. strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that A1 is the husband of the defacto complainant and A2 to A6 are inlaw of her and at the instigation of A2 to A6, A1 not to speak with the petitioner and the accused even no food was given to her and the accused demanded Rs.50,000/- engagement money and quarreled with her and assaulted her.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the case arises out of a matrimonial dispute and hence the petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail on any conditions.

Considering the rival submissions, the defacto complainant is the wife and A1 is husband and A2 to A6 are the mother and sisters of A1. It is alleged that the petitioner committed torture and cruelty against the defacto complainant by demanding Rs.50,000/- as engagement money. From the perusal of FIR, it disclose that

" வேற இடத்தில் கல்யாணம் பண்ணியிருந்தா 30 பவுன் நகையாவது எங்களுக்கு கிடச்சிருக்கும். ஆனால் கிறுக்கு முண்ட நீ வெறும் 5 பவுன் நகை தான் கொண்டு வந்திருக்கன்னு சொல்லியும், பரிசம் போட்டப்ப உங்க வீட்டுல குடுக்கறதா சொன்ன பரசப்பணம் ரூ.50.000-00 ஐயம் இன்னும் தரலன்னு சொல்லி என்கிட்ட பிரச்சினை செய்வாங்க."

A perusal of the FIR prima facie discloses the involvement of the petitioners in the commission of the alleged offence. The materials available on record, at this stage, make out a prima facie case connecting the petitioners with the crime. The investigation is still pending. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 12th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar A.W. P.S.

