

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.A.Kanthakumar, M.L.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 09th day of June 2026, Tuesday .

Cr.M.P. No.1560/2026

1. Karuppasamyandi @ Kattapedi (A1)
2. Maruthupandi (A2)
3. Selvam (A3)

... Petitioners/Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Inspector of Police,
Sivakasi Town P.S.

Cr.No.227/2026

U/s. 191(2), 191(3) of BNS and 25(1-A) Arms Act.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.M.Murugan, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following

ORDER

It is an application for bail u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)

FIR was registered against the petitioners/A1 to A3 for the offences u/s.191(2), 191(3) of BNS and 25(1-A) Arms Act. The occurrence happened on 29-04-2026 and the petitioners are under custody for the past 30 days from 07-05-2026 onwards.

As per FIR, on 28-04-2026 at about 12-15 hours in the mid-night when the defacto complainant was proceeding to his house after watching the dance programme, at that time, the petitioners and other accused came behind with dangerous weapons sword, beer bottle and long sticks and the defacto complainant immediate went inside his house and further some other accused came in a two-wheeler and raise accelerator and further all the accused returned from the occurrence place by threatening themselves.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence and prays to enlarge the petitioners on bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioners are released on bail they would commit similar types of offences again hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused.

A perusal of the records reveals that the petitioner, along with other co-accused, pursued the defacto complainant while armed with dangerous weapons, including a sword, a beer bottle,

and long sticks. Terrified, the de facto complainant immediately sought refuge inside his house. Furthermore, the accused persons arrived on a two-wheeler, aggressively trigger the engine, and created an atmosphere of fear and panic among the general public. The petitioners have been in judicial custody for the past 30 days.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that a co-accused, namely Sudalaipandi, has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 29-05-2026 in Cr.M.P. No. 1524/2026 and hence he prayed that the present petitioners may also be granted bail subject to any stringent conditions.

The learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioners. He contended that the petitioners and the other co-accused assaulted the defacto complainant with dangerous weapons, including a sword, a beer bottle, and long sticks, thereby create fear over the public. The learned Public Prosecutor further argued that the investigation is still pending. He pointed out that while the co-accused Sudalaipandi was granted bail on account of having no prior criminal record, the present petitioners are habitual offenders. Specifically, A1 and A2 have two previous cases registered against them, while A3 has one previous case. He raised a strong apprehension that some of the co-accused are habitual offenders and, if released on bail, are highly likely to repeat similar offences.

This Court has carefully considered the period of custody of the petitioners. Although a co-accused has been released on bail, he was released on bail as he had no criminal antecedents. But the present petitioners have some previous cases registered against them, and some of the co-accused are listed History-Sheetter (H.S.) rowdies. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, they will commit similar offences. Furthermore, the investigation is still pending. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

In the result, the petition stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 09th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town P.S.