

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.K.Jeyakumar, B.L., LL.M.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 02nd day of April 2026, Thursday.

Cr.M.P. No.803/2026

Selvam ... Petitioner/ Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,
Thiruchuli P.S.

Cr.No.108/2026

U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.G.Mariappan, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following.

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

FIR was registered against the petitioner/accused for the offence u/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS. The occurrence happened on 05-03-2026.

As per FIR, the defacto complainant's elder daughter was married with the petitioner before 13 years and due to misunderstanding, his daughter was living with him and that on 05-03-2026 at about 13-00 hours when the defacto complainant was sitting in front of his house, the petitioner came there and asked whereabouts the defacto complainant's elder daughter and abused him using filthy language, when he

questioned, the petitioner assaulted with aruval and thereby caused injuries to him and also threatened him.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and hence anticipatory bail may be granted to the petitioner on any condition. On the other hand, the learned P.P raised objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail he would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of the records would show that it is a case of family dispute between wife and husband and the petitioner while calling his wife for co-habitation assaulting the brother-in-law and father-in-law and sustained injuries both of them and the investigation is at early stage. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 02nd day of April 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Thiruchuli P.S.