

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.K.Jeyakumar, B.L., LL.M.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 18th day of March 2026, Wednesday.

Cr.M.P. No.797/2026

Aravindkumar

... Petitioner/ Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,
Amathur P.S.

Cr.No.78/2026

U/s.67A, 66E of IT Act, 4(2)(c) of ITP Act,

Section 115(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act. ... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.K.Rameshbabu, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following.

ORDER

It is an application for bail u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

FIR was registered against the petitioner/accused for the offences u/s.67A, 66E of IT Act, 4(2)(c) of ITP Act, Section 115(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act. The occurrence happened on 08-02-2026 and the petitioner is under custody for the past 37 days from 09-02-2026 onwards.

As per FIR, the defacto complainant and the petitioner had love marriage and after the marriage her husband, the petitioner directed her to speak with gents through social media in a naked condition and directed to have intercourse with some other

persons and that on 08-02-2026 at about 10-45 am she compelled to have intercourse with unknown person and further the petitioner compelled to do this activity with the defacto complainant's mother and further when the defacto complainant denied to accept as said by the petitioner, he assaulted her and threatened her. Hence, this case.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioner is released on bail he would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the petitioner directed her to speak with gents through social media in a naked condition and directed to have intercourse with some other persons and when the defacto complainant denied as said by the petitioner, he assaulted her and the petitioner is under custody for the past 37 days. On perusal of FIR, the allegations against the accused is worst and the petitioner is a routine offender and the investigation is at early stage. Considering the period of custody of the petitioner, the allegations against the accused is stated to be worst and he is a routine offender in this type of offences, the earlier bail petition in Cr.M.P.No.577/2026 was dismissed only on 24-02-2026, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 18th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Amathur P.S.