

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.**

Present: **Thiru.K.Jeyakumar, B.L., LL.M.,**  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Dated this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026, Tuesday.

**Cr.M.P. No.774/2026**

1. Theivamani (A1)
2. Vinoth Kumar (A3)
3. Rajakumar (A4)
4. Kesavan (A5)
5. Santhanavairam (A6)
6. Rajasekar (A9)
7. Thirumurugan (A10)
8. Rathinam (A11)
9. Thanuskodi (A12)
10. Ramesh (A16)
11. Sivasubramanian (A17)

... Petitioners/ Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,  
Malli P.S.  
Cr.No.35/2026

U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 125 and 351(3) of BNS. ... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.K. Pon Muthu Pandian, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following.

**ORDER**

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

FIR was registered against the petitioners/A1, A3 to A6, A9 to A12, A16 and A17 for the offences u/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 125 and 351(3) of BNS. The occurrence happened on 04-03-2026.

As per FIR, there was a village festival was celebrated in Achankulam, Srivilliputtur Taluk from 03-03-2026 and in continuation to that on 04-03-2026 at about 8-00 pm the youngsters of the village were dancing with songs at the time a quarrel arose among them and A1 and his daughter A2 came that place and warned to reduce the sound and abused them using filthy language and further all the accused gathered and formed themselves into an unlawful assembly, assaulted with hands, stone, wooden log and thereby caused injuries on Vairamuthu, Palpandi and also threatened to kill them.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. On the

other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail they would commit similar types of offences again hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that it is a case and a counter case which arose at the time of village festival and the defacto complainant Vairamuthu and one Palpandi were sustained injuries and there are 17 accused involved in this case and the petitioners are A1, A3 to A6, A9 to A12, A16 and A17 and the injured discharged after 17 days of treatment and the dispute between the parties were already ended with compromise. Considering that it is a case and a counter case and the injured discharged from the hospital and also considering that the dispute between the parties were compromised, hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with other conditions.

Accordingly the petition is allowed and the petitioners/A1, A3 to A6, A9 to A12, A16 and A17 shall be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on appearance before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputtur, within two weeks on the following terms:-

i) The petitioners shall execute a personal bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputtur.

ii) The petitioners shall appear before the respondent PS everyday at 6-00 pm until further orders.

iii) The petitioners shall not abscond either during the investigation or trial, that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

iv) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required.

v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer.

vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (2005) AIR SCW 5560.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Virudhunagar District at  
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputtur.

The Inspector of Police, Malli P.S.