

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.**

Present: **Thiru.K.Jeyakumar, B.L., LL.M.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 06th day of March 2026, Friday.

Cr.M.P. No.676/2026

Sundaramoorthy (A1) ... Petitioner/ Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,
Soolakkarai P.S
Cr.No.62/2026
U/s. 9(B)(1)(a) of Indian Explosives Act.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.T.Kalidass, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following.

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

FIR was registered against the petitioner/A1 for the offences u/s.9(B)(1)(a) of Indian Explosives Act. The occurrence happened on 06-02-2026.

As per FIR, on 06-02-2026 at about 10-30 hours the defacto complainant who is the Village Administrative Officer, Alagapurai village and other revenue officials made raid at Alagapurai village to prohibit illegal selling of crackers. When the respondent police went to S.No.974/2! of Alagapurai Village in which the grove belonged Vigensh. At that grove, the petitioner and other accused were indulged in illegal manufacturing of crackers, the manimarunthu and other accessories for

manufacturing crackers were lying on the occurrence place. Hence, on complainant by the defacto complainant, this case has been registered.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail he would commit similar types of offences again.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that it is a case of making crackers illegally by forming tin shed in the agricultural land and such illegal manufacturing of crackers may cause serveral accidents and the petitioner and other accused were doing illegal business of manufacturing crackers routinely and the crackers and other accessories for manufacturing crackers recovered from that place and the offence is grave in nature and the investigation is at early stage. Considering that it is the offence of making crackers illegally is grave in nature and the investigation is at early stage, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 06th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To
The Inspector of Police, Soolakkarai P.S