

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.**

Present: **Thiru.K.Jeyakumar, B.L., LL.M.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 06th day of March 2026, Friday.

Cr.M.P. No.675/2026

Mariappan (A2)

... Petitioner/ Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,

Vembakkottai P.S

Cr.No.63/2026

U/s. 9(B)(1)(a) of Indian Explosives Act.

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.S.Muniyandi, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following.

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

FIR was registered against the petitioner/A2 for the offences u/s.9(B)(1)(a) of Indian Explosives Act. The occurrence happened on 26-02-2026.

As per FIR, on 26-02-2026 at about 13-30 hours a police party led by S.I. of Police of respondent police were made raid at Gunganparai and Melachatram to prohibit illegal selling of crackers. When the respondent police on receiving information, they went to Sri Sandal Fire Office, situated at Gunganparai to Melachatram road, at that place the petitioner and other accused were indulged in manufacturing crackers in a tin shed formed on the baci side of Sandal Fire Office and on seeing the police party, they attempted to escape from that place, the

respondent police surrounded one of the accused named Manikandan. He replied that, this petitioner/A2 and A1 formed tin shed and they were illegally manufacturing of crackers. The respondent police recovered the two-wheelers and finished crackers from that place.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail he would commit similar types of offences again.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that it is a case of making crackers illegally by forming tin shed and such illegal manufacturing of crackers may cause several accidents and the petitioner and other accused were doing illegal business of manufacturing crackers routinely and 8 two wheelers and crackers recovered from that place and the offence is grave in nature and the investigation is at early stage. Considering that it is the offence of making crackers illegally is grave in nature and the investigation is at early stage, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 06th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Vembakkottai P.S