

LCA 4545-25 VEER PAL Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present: Ms. Niharika Pandey, AR for the Workman.
Sh. Rajendra Kr Tripathi, AR for the Management (through VC).
Sh. Braj Bhushan, JSA of the Management.

Reply filed. Copy Supplied.

It is stated by AR for the workman that the amount mentioned in the reply has already been paid to the workman in LCA No.670/2019.

Heard.

The workman in his claim has already mentioned payment of non metric/grade pay/arrears of 7th CPC, 1st MACP in LCA No. 670/2019. The reply filed today on behalf of the management states that metric, non metric and 1st MACP arrear amounting to Rs.93,831/- remains to be paid to the workman. The workman has also annexed reply filed in LCA No. 670/2019 along with claim wherein the management had calculated 7th CPC, metric-non metric and MACP arrears.

It appears that the present reply has been prepared by the concerned official without verifying the record of the management. If the petition of the workman would have been allowed on the basis of the reply, it could have caused financial loss to the public exchequer.

Let the fresh calculation chart be filed by the management under the signature of the Deputy Commissioner concerned.

In the meanwhile, copy of this order be sent to the Deputy Commissioner concerned to conduct an enquiry against the concerned person who has made this reply as to why the incorrect calculation chart has

been filed and file the report before the court on the next date of hearing. The Administrative Officer concerned who had signed the reply dated 20.07.2026 is directed to remain present on the next date of hearing.

Copy of the order be given dasti to AR for the management and be sent to the Deputy Commissioner concerned for compliance.

Put up on **21.08.2026**.

(Arun Goel)
District Judge
Presiding Officer Labour Court- X
Rouse Avenue Courts-New Delhi:20.07.2026