

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No. I, TINDIVANAM**

**PRESENT: Smt. M. Elavarasi, M.L.,  
Judicial Magistrate No. I, Tindivanam**

**On Tuesday, this the 17<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**

**CrI.M.P. No: 137 of 2026**

**in**

**Cr. No. 20/2026**

Janarthanan,  
S/o Sivalingam

...Petitioner/3<sup>rd</sup> Party

//VS//

State represented by,  
The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Olakkur Police Station.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition was taken up for hearing today before me in the presence of Thiru. V.Hariraman, B.Com., B.L., Learned Advocate for the Petitioner/3<sup>rd</sup> Party and in the presence of the Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the state and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, and this court passed the following.

**ORDER**

Petition for interim custody of property

- 1) The Petitioner/3<sup>rd</sup> Party filed this petition U/Sec. 497 and 503 BNSS to grant interim custody of property namely **YAHAMA R15** bearing registration no. **TN-16-J-3957** on reason that petitioner is the owner of the property. The property was seized by the respondent/police and registered the case in Cr. No.20/2026 for offence under section U/s. 4(1)(A), 4(1)(C), 14(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024 of Olakkur Police Station. The Petitioner submits that he is the owner of the said vehicle and the Police had falsely implicated her vehicle in this case. The Petitioner would also state that the vehicle is in the Custody of the Police, so it was put into great loss and hardship. Hence, prays to allow this petition.
- 2) The Learned Prosecution objected and replied with status report that steps is being initiated for confiscation of vehicle and vehicle handed over to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, PEWing Villupuram. Copy of memorandum dated 19.01.2026 forwarding vehicle for confiscation is enclosed. Hence, prays for dismissal.

- 3) In the case, Seizure mahazar filed. In which it is mentioned that the property of item is **YAHAMA R15** bearing registration no. **TN-16-J-3957** in Cr. No.20/2026 for offence under section U/s. 4(1)(A), 4(1)(C), 14(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024 of Olakkur Police Station has been seized. The case property has not yet produced before this Court.
- 4) Heard submissions and perused the materials placed before this Court.
- 5) In the present case, the offences involved under sec: 4(1)(A), 4(1)(C), 14(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024 in Cr. No.20/2026 of Olakkur Police Station. The petitioner has filed this petition for interim custody of the above said case property which has been involved in this case. The petitioner is the owner of the property and father of 2<sup>nd</sup> accused in this case. It is stated that the vehicle used at the time of incident for commission of offence, hence seized. The learned prosecution filed reply and stated that steps taken for confiscation of the vehicle. Hence, considering the fact that the respondent police has already taken steps for confiscating the vehicle involved in the offence and as per the order dated **10.06.2022 in CrI.R.C. No. 564/2022 M/s. Friends Brothers Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Vs State by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras**, this court is not inclined to allow this petition at this stage.

**In result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated by me to the Typist, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.I,  
Tindivanam.