

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, VILLUPURAM
Present: Thiru. A. Manimozhi. B.A., LL.B.
Principal Sessions Judge, Villupuram.

Friday, the 6th day of March 2026.

CMP.No.952/2026

(Cr.No.52/2025, PRC.65/2025, Avalurpettai P.S., Judicial Magistrate, Gingee)

Vasantha, W/o. Nataraj @ Natarajan

...Petitioner/Accused.

/VS/

State by Inspector of Police,
Avalurpettai P.S.,

...Respondent/Complainant.

e-Petition filed u/s. 482 B.N.S.S. dated 04.03.2026 to release the accused on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

This petition is coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.P.R.Senthilkumar, Advocate for the Petitioner and Thiru.J.Ezhilan, Public Prosecutor (Incharge) for the state, and upon perusing both side contentions, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This **e-petition** has been filed by the petitioner u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by her under sections 194(3) of B.N.S.S. @ section 108 of B.N.S. @ Section 80 of B.N.S.

The learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner is an innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this offence, and co-accused namely A1 was already released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in CrI.O.P.No.13434/2025 dated 08.05.2025, and this petitioner is A2, she is the mother-in-law of the deceased, and in this case, investigation completed and final report was filed and case was taken on file as P.R.C.No.65/2025 by the Judicial Magistrate, Gingee and already the petitioner filed anticipatory bail application before this court by mentioning the section 108 of B.N.S. and the same was allowed in CrI.M.P.No.4306/2025 dated 16.10.2025, and initially section was registered against the accused u/s.108 of B.N.S. and thereafter section was altered into 80 of B.N.S. and since section was altered in this case, the petitioner was unable to surrender before the trial court, hence again she filed this petition, and the allegation stated in the FIR is totally false and vexatious, and the petitioner is ready to abide any condition to be imposed by this court and she would neither abscond nor tamper any witnesses. She is apprehending arrest in the hands of the respondent and prays to release her on Anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor (I/c) represented that incident said to have taken place on 19.03.2025 and FIR was registered on 20.03.2025. Totally there are 2 accused involved in this case, and

this petitioner is A2, she is the mother-in-law of the deceased and A1 is the husband of the deceased. The facts of the case is that often the petitioner and her son tortured the deceased by demanding money and jewels from her parental home and on the occurrence day itself, A1 in an inebriated mood demanded money from the deceased and both the accused tortured and induced her to commit suicide and due to the unbearable pain and suffering, she committed suicide by hanging. The learned Public Prosecutor further stated that initially section was registered against the accused u/s.108 of B.N.S. and thereafter section was altered into 80 of B.N.S. and in this case, investigation completed and final report was filed and case was taken on file as P.R.C.No.65/2025 by the Judicial Magistrate, Gingee.

Considered the submissions on both sides and perused the records. It is alleged that on the occurrence day, the petitioner along with other accused tortured the deceased by demanding money and jewels from her parental home and induced her to commit suicide. The occurrence happened on 19.03.2025 and FIR was registered on 20.03.2025. Totally there are 2 accused involved in this case, and this petitioner is A2, she is the mother-in-law of the deceased and A1 is the husband of the deceased. It is stated that A1 was already released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in CrI.O.P.No.13434/2025 dated 08.05.2025, and this petitioner is A2, and in this case, investigation completed and final report was filed and case was taken on file as P.R.C.No.65/2025 by the Judicial Magistrate, Gingee. It is further stated that already the petitioner filed anticipatory bail application before this court by mentioning the section 108 of B.N.S. and the same was allowed in CrI.M.P.No.4306/2025 dated 16.10.2025, and initially section was registered against the accused u/s.108 of B.N.S. and thereafter section was altered into 80 of B.N.S. and since section was altered in this case, the petitioner was unable to surrender before the trial court, hence again she filed this petition. Considering these aspects, and though in this case final report was filed, considering the seriousness of the offence committed by the petitioner, which comes u/s.80 of B.N.S., this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this application for anticipatory bail is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 6th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Villupuram.