

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, VILLUPURAM
Present: Thiru. A. Manimozhi. B.A., LL.B.
Principal Sessions Judge, Villupuram.

Friday, the 6th day of March 2026.

CMP.No.949/2026

(Cr.No.18/2026, Vellimedupettai P.S., Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tindivanam)

1. Vinayagam @ Vinayagamoorthy, S/o. Munusamy
2. Anand @ Anandhan, S/o. Munusamy
3. Ramkumar, S/o. Vinayagamoorthy
4. Sakthivel @ Arunkumar, S/o. Ashokkumar ...Petitioners/Accused.

/VS/

State by Inspector of Police,
Vellimedupettai P.S.,

...Respondent/Complainant.

e-Petition filed u/s. 482 B.N.S.S. dated 04.03.2026 to release the accused on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

This petition is coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.V.Thamizharasan, Advocate for the Petitioners and Thiru.J.Ezhilan, Public Prosecutor (Incharge) for the state, and upon perusing both side contentions, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by them under sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of B.N.S. Act. The learned counsel for the petitioners stated that already anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioners was allowed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.783/2026 dated 24.02.2026 and thereafter again filed another petition for omission of section in the said anticipatory bail application and the same was allowed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.845/2026 dated 27.02.2026, and in the above petitions, the petitioners wrongly mentioned the court jurisdiction, and therefore they again filed this petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor (I/c) has submitted that already anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioners was allowed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.783/2026 dated 24.02.2026 and further they filed another petition for omission of section in the said anticipatory bail application and the same was allowed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.845/2026 dated 27.02.2026, and since court jurisdiction was wrongly mentioned by the petitioners in the said petitions, again they filed this petition, and further the learned Public Prosecutor has not stated any objection for allowing this petition.

Considered the submissions on both sides and perused the records. It seems that already anticipatory bail was allowed in favour of the petitioners in CrI.M.P.No.783/2026 dated 24.02.2026 and thereafter, they filed another petition for omission of section in the said anticipatory bail application and the same was also allowed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.845/2026 dated 27.02.2026. Since court jurisdiction was wrongly mentioned by the petitioners in the said petitions, again they filed this petition, and the learned Public Prosecutor has not stated any objection to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail and therefore, this court is inclined to allow this petition on the following condition:-

In the result, the petitioners/accused are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police or in the event of their surrender before the concerned Judicial Magistrate **within a period of two weeks from the date of this order** on their executing a bond for **Rs.10,000/-each with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tindivanam** and with regard to other conditions passed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.783/2026 dated 24.02.2026 is remained the same and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 6th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Villupuram.