

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE (FTC),
VELLORE, VELLORE DISTRICT.**

**PRESENT: Thiru.P.V.Sandilyan, M.L.,
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(FTC), Vellore.**

Tuesday, the 14th day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P. No.2355/2026

in

S.C. No.157/2024

(CNR No.TNVL01-003540-2026)

Ajith (Mittai Alis Yokesh), aged 26,
S/o.Munusamy,
No.182, Mallipoo Colony,
Vysarpadi,
Chennai - 600 039.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

-Versus-

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Vellore North Law and Order Police Station,
Vellore.

. . . Respondent/Complainant

Offence : U/s.302, 201, 147, 148, 120(b), 302, 201 R/W 149 of IPC.
Petition : U/s.483 BNSS praying to grant bail.

This petition came before this court today in the presence of Tr.P.Murthy, Tr.R.Elavarasan, and Tr.Jegan, Counsels for the Petitioner, Thiru.A.Arivarasan, Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/Complainant and after hearing the arguments of both sides this court delivered the following:-

ORDER

This is a petition filed U/s.483 BNSS prays to grant bail to the petitioner for the offenses U/s.302, 201, 147, 148, 120(b), 302, 201 R/W 149 of IPC.

2. The learned counsel for petitioner submitted that, Ajith (Mittai Alis Yokesh) the petitioner facing trial in S.C.No.157/2024, U/s.302, 201, 147, 148, 120(b), 302, 201 r/w 149 of IPC.

3. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner was regular in attending court, but the prosecution had not adduced the witness. The petitioner was advised by counsel to attend court whenever his presence was required and ensured to file petitions under section 355 to dispense with his presence. Contrary to this assurance, the counsel for the petitioner filed a petition under Section 355 only for a few hearings and failed to file the petition on 08.09.2025. A Non Bailable Warrant (NBW) was issued against the petitioner, and the counsel also failed to inform the petitioner. On 29.12.2025, the petitioner was arrested in another case, Crime No.621/2025, at H1 Old Washermenpet Police Station. Subsequently petitioner was arrested on executing the Non Bailable Warrant, and it was only at that time that the petitioner came to know about the NBW was pending. The petitioner was arrested on Non Bailable Warrant on 21.01.2026, and remanded to judicial custody since then. The petitioner is quite innocent and has nothing to do with the alleged offence in the FIR and will neither abscond nor evade the process of law. The petitioner has sufficient solvent sureties to secure his presence before this court or any other court as directed by this court. The petitioner/accused undertakes that he will not tamper any of the witnesses and he will not dispute his identity at any point of time. The petitioner undertakes to abide by any other condition of this court may pleased to impose. The petitioner is a law- abiding citizen of this land and he is having permanent residence and will co-operate the investigation. Hence prays to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail, pending investigation of the case in the S.C.No.157/2024 on the file of the respondent.

4. The police filed reply vehemently opposing the bail application.

5. The learned additional District Public Prosecutor strongly opposed to released the accused on bail.

6. Heard both sides. Records perused. The petitioner is facing trial for an offence U/s.302 of IPC. The NBW was issued against the petitioner on 08.09.2025 for his non appearance. On perusal of reply the police replied that a criminal case in Vannarapettai Police Station is also pending and he was in judicial custody for the above said case. The prosecution vehemently opposed to release the petitioner on bail stating that if he released on bail he will abscond, securing his presence is a difficult task for the police and the whole proceedings will be stalled.

7. On considering the reply and the stage of the proceedings, gravity of the offence, after releasing him on bail in this case the similar criminal offence was registered against the accused, those circumstances raises against the accused for declining the relief against him. Considering the offences said to have been committed by the petitioner in other cases are serious nature of offences and the facts and circumstances of the case and the strong objections placed on the side of prosecution, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 14th day of July, 2026.

**Additional District Judge (FTC),
Vellore.**

Copy to:

1. The Additional Public Prosecutor, Vellore.
2. The Investigation Officer, Vellore North (L & O) Police Station.
3. Tr.P.Murthy, Counsel for the Petitioner.
4. File.