

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Friday, the 10th day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2373 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003536-2026

A1. Jeeva, (M-21)
S/o.Manogaran
No.1/105, Pillaiyar Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

A3. Sasikumar, (M-27)
S/o.Prabakaran
Veera Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

A5. Prathap @ Settu, (A-26)
S/o.Annamalai
No.2/96, Veera Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioners/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Katpadi P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.169 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru K.Mathan, learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

O R D E R

This is a petition for bail u/s 483 of BNSS for the alleged offences u/s. Man Missing @ 105, 111, 115(2), 126(2), 191(2), 191(3) of BNS.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the petitioners are remanded to judicial custody on 24.06.2026 and they are in custody for the past 17 days. The case was registered based on the false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 21.06.2026, the petitioners and others murdered the deceased. The petitioners are no way connected with the offences, that the petitioners are falsely implicated in the above case, that the petitioners are having permanent residence, that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that the petitioners, along with the other accused, unlawfully assembled, chased the deceased and his friends with wooden logs, and continued to chase the deceased despite knowing that he was running towards a well, thereby creating a reasonable apprehension of death. It is further submitted that after the deceased fell into the well, the petitioners neither rescued him nor informed anyone and fled from the scene. The investigation is still in progress and, if the petitioners are enlarged on bail, there is every likelihood of tampering with the evidence and threatening the witnesses. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of bail.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of the FIR and other records, it reveals that the missing person case registered in Crime No.169 of 2026 was subsequently altered after the dead body of Dinakaran was recovered from a well. The investigation reveals that the petitioners, along with the other accused, formed an unlawful assembly, chased the deceased and his friends with wooden logs, and despite knowing that the deceased was running towards a well, continued to chase him, thereby creating a reasonable apprehension of death, as a result of which the deceased fell into the well and died. It is further revealed that the petitioners/accused failed to rescue the deceased or inform anyone about the incident and fled from the scene. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the deceased accidentally fell into the well while running and that there was no intention on the part of the petitioners to cause his death and considering the incarcerated period of the petitioners, he seeks bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that the petitioners and along with other accused, chased the deceased with deadly weapons, resulting in his falling into a

well and death, and the investigation is still in progress and strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners. On considering facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence committed by the petitioners/accused and taking note of offence is graver one and considering the strong objections placed by the learned Public Prosecutor and the investigation is going on, at this stage this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 10th day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi.

The Inspector of Police, Katpadi P.S.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore – Communicate to the

Sub jails, if the Under Trial prisoner is incarcerated in any sub jail.