

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Monday, the 13th day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2372 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003535-2026

A1. Jeeva, (M-21)
S/o.Manogaran
No.1/105, Pillaiyar Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

A3. Sasikumar, (M-27)
S/o.Prabakaran
Veera Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

A5. Prathap @ Settu, (A-26)
S/o.Annamalai
No.2/96, Veera Koil Street,
Vandranthangal Village
Katpadi Taluk
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioners/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Katpadi P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.170 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru K.Mathan, learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

O R D E R

This is a petition for bail u/s 483 of BNSS for the alleged offences u/s. 115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS @ U/s.111, 115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the petitioners are remanded to judicial custody on 24.06.2026 and they are in custody for the past 20 days. The case was registered based on the false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 21.06.2026, due to previous enmity there was a wordy quarrel aroused between the petitioners and others and the defacto complainant which ended in assault. The petitioners are no way connected with the offences, that the petitioners are falsely implicated in the above case, that the petitioners are having permanent residence, that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that the another case in Crime No.169 of 2026, initially registered as a Man Missing case and subsequently altered into an offence one under Section 105 of the BNS after the missing person was found dead, is also pending against the petitioners. The investigation in the present case is still in progress, the case properties are yet to be produced before the Court, and ten accused are still absconding. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, they may alert the absconding accused, threaten or influence the prosecution witnesses, tamper with the evidence, and hamper the investigation. Hence, the prosecution strongly opposed the grant of bail.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of the FIR and other records, it reveals that due to previous enmity between Narasimman and Thiagarajan, the defacto complainant Dinesh and others questioned Jeeva regarding the earlier assault. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner/A1, along with other accused, allegedly formed an unlawful assembly, came armed with wooden logs, proceeded to the playground behind Nayara Petrol Bunk, Kalputhur, and attacked the defacto complainant and his friends. It is specifically alleged that the petitioners, along with the other accused, assaulted the defacto complainant with hands and wooden logs, criminally intimidated him not to disclose the occurrence, and thereafter fled from the scene. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they have been falsely implicated in the present case and considering the incarcerated period of the petitioners, he seeks bail. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that the another case in Crime No.169 of 2026, initially registered as a Man Missing case and subsequently altered

into an offence one under Section 105 of the BNS after the missing person was found dead, is also pending against the petitioners and strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the specific overt act attributed to the petitioners, the pendency of another connected case in Crime No.169 of 2026, which was initially registered as a Man Missing case and subsequently altered into an offence under Section 105 of the BNS after the death of the missing person, the stage of investigation, the fact that ten accused are still absconding and the strong objection raised by the prosecution and at this stage this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 13th day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi.

The Inspector of Police, Katpadi P.S.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore – Communicate to the

Sub jails, if the Under Trial prisoner is incarcerated in any sub jail.