

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Wednesday, the 08th day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2375 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003533-2026

A1.Sakthivel, (M-29)
S/o.Muniyandi,
No.60, NK.Nagar,
Bagayam,
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

A2.Veerakumar, (M-29)
S/o.Govindan,
No.29, Ambethkar Nagar,
Mettuedaiyampatti Road,
Bagayam, Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioners/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Bagayam P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.Not Known of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. J.Mahendrarvarman, learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

O R D E R

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of a false

complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 22.06.2026, there was a wordy quarrel aroused between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. The injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioners are innocent and they are no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against them and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. On notice, the learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted there are totally two accused in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2 respectively and there are five previous cases are pending as against the 1st petitioner/A1 and the investigation is going on and he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. The petition for anticipatory bail for the offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS 2023. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that there are five previous cases are pending as against the 1st petitioner/A1 and strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. On perusal of the bail petition filed by the petitioner, it is seen that the Crime Number is not mentioned therein. However, on perusal of the objection filed by the learned Public Prosecutor, it reveals that the present petition pertains to Crime No.191 of 2026 on the file of Bagayam Police Station. Further, it reveals that the petitioners wrongfully restrained the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with hands and criminally intimidated him.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, strong objections placed on the side of the prosecution, the previous antecedents of the 1st petitioner/A1 and the stage of investigation, at this stage this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 08th day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore

To
The Judicial Magistrate I, Vellore.
The Inspector of Police, Bagayam P.S.