

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Thursday, the 02nd day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2298 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003459-2026

A1. B.Shankar, (M-32)
S/o B.Subramanyam
1/186, Chinna Kaligiri
Chittoor - 517 124
Chittoor District
Andhra Pradesh

A2. Gundekayala @ Lavakumar, (M-34)
S/o Gundikayalu Jyothi Prasad
6/183, Kesava H/W, Damalcheruvu
Chittoor - 517 152
Chittoor District
Andhra Pradesh.

...Petitioners/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Katpadi P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.173 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru C.Sajinkumar, learned counsel for the petitioners, and the Inspector of Police, Katpadi P.S, appeared. After hearing the petitioner's counsel and perusal of reply submitted by the concerned police, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This is a petition for bail u/s 483 of BNSS for the alleged offences u/s. 115(2), 137(2) and 140(2) of the BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the petitioners were remanded to judicial custody on 26.06.2026 and

they are in custody for the past 07 days. The case was registered based on the false complaint given by the defacto complainant. The petitioners are having permanent residence and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. The Inspector of Police, Katpadi P.S., appeared and filed his objection and submitted there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioners and the petitioners came from another State and forcibly abducted the defacto complainant's son, and if released on bail, they are likely to threaten the witnesses and indulge in similar offences and hence he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. As per the FIR, it reveals that the defacto complainant's son allegedly developed intimacy with a married woman from Andhra Pradesh and brought her to his house about a week prior to the occurrence. On 25.06.2026 at about 8.00 p.m., it is alleged that two cars carrying about ten persons, including three women, came to the village in search of the defacto complainant's son. Thereafter, the defacto complainant's son, the said woman and one Ganesh were found sitting in a nearby under-construction house. It is further alleged that the accused persons forcibly assaulted and abducted the defacto complainant's son by pushing him into one of the cars and also took the woman in another car to an unknown destination. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and further submitted that the defacto complainant's son had taken away a minor girl from Andhra Pradesh and was keeping her in his house, and upon learning her whereabouts, her parents and relatives, including the petitioners, came only to take her back to their native place. It is further submitted that a counter case under the POCSO Act has also been registered against the defacto complainant's son in respect of the same occurrence, and considering the incarcerated period of the petitioners, he seeks bail. On perusal of reply, it reveals that the petitioners, along with others,

came from another State in two cars and forcibly abducted the defacto complainant's son and the woman who was residing with him. Though the defacto complainant's son was later dropped on the way after being given Rs.50/- for bus fare, the manner in which the occurrence was committed clearly discloses a well-planned act of abduction. On considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence committed by the petitioners/accused, investigation is at preliminary stage, at this stage this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 02nd day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi.

The Inspector of Police, Katpadi P.S.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore – Communicate to the
Sub jails, if the Under Trial prisoner is incarcerated in any sub jail.