

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Thursday, the 02nd day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2304 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003445-2026

A1.Sakthivel @ Verapandi Sakthivelu, (M-24)
S/o. Devakumar

A2.Duraipandi @ Sakthipandiyan, (M-21)
S/o. Devakumar

A3.Devakumar, (M-48)
S/o.Radhan

A4.Sathish @ Sathishkumar, (M-41)
S/o. Devan

All are residing at
Mariyamman Koil Street,
Senji Post, via.Latheri,
Katpadi,
Vellore District,
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioners/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Panamadangi P.S.
Vellore District,
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.24 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru.V.Thathuputhiran, learned counsel for the petitioners, the Inspector of Police, appeared. After hearing the petitioners' counsel and perusal of reply submitted by the concerned police, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 15.06.2026 there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and others and the defacto complainant. The injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioners are innocents and they are no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against them and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. The Inspector of Police, Panamadangi P.S., appeared and filed his objection and orally submitted that there are totally four accused in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4 respectively and the injured was discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioners and the investigation is going on and he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Heard the petitioners' side. Records perused. On perusal of the FIR, it reveals that on 15.06.2026 at about 9.00 p.m., when the defacto complainant was returning home on his two-wheeler, the petitioners allegedly obstructed his way and, on his requesting them to make way, abused him in filthy language and assaulted him, causing injuries on his left eyebrow and right shoulder. It is further alleged that when the defacto complainant's mother intervened, the accused abused her in obscene language, outraged her modesty by pulling at her jacket and pushing her to the ground, and thereafter assaulted the defacto complainant with hands and legs besides criminally intimidating him with dire consequences. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners. On perusal of reply given by the Inspector of Police, it reveals that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence, injured was discharged from the hospital, and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed:-

(a) The petitioners shall, in the event of their arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, K.V.Kuppam within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- each, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, K.V.Kuppam daily at 10.30 AM on all working days until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the Magistrate Court;

(c) The petitioners are further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon. Thereafter, they can file a modification petition after 30 days of conditions complied;

(d) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(e) The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, K.V.Kuppam/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, K.V.Kuppam/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 02nd day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore

To
The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, K.V.Kuppam.
The Inspector of Police, Panamadangi P.S.