

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Thursday, the 02nd day of July, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.2302 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-003442-2026

T. Murugan, (M-50)
S/o. Thangavelu,
No.1/1, Palamaner Road,
Lakshmanapuram,
Kalliyur Post,
Gudiyatham Taluk,
Vellore District-632601,
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioner/Accused

-VS-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Paratharami P.S.
Vellore District,
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.135 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Selvi/Tmt. S.Gowthami, learned counsel for the petitioner, and the Inspector of Police, Paratharami P.S, appeared. After hearing the petitioner's counsel and perusal of reply submitted by the concerned police, this court, delivered the following:

O R D E R

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s. 296(b), 118(1) of BNS and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of a false complaint given by the defacto complainant due to family dispute. The petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against him and he is ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure his

regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioner may be granted bail.

3. The Inspector of Police, Paratharami P.S, appeared and filed his objection and submitted that there are two accused in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and there are three previous cases are pending as against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is going on and he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Heard the petitioner's side. Records perused. On perusal of FIR, it reveals that on 16.06.2026 at about 4.00 p.m., the petitioner/Murugan, along with the co-accused, allegedly questioned the defacto complainant as to why her daughter was visiting her house and abused her in filthy language. It is further alleged that the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant with a wooden log on her head, right wrist and left elbow, causing bleeding injuries. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner. On perusal of reply given by the Inspector of Police, it reveals that there are three previous cases are pending as against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence, injured discharged from the hospital, and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed:-

(a) The petitioner shall, in the event of his arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The petitioner shall appear and sign **before the Judicial Magistrate II, Tirupathur, twice a day at 10.30 A.M and 05.00 P.M on all working days** until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the Magistrate Court;

(c) The petitioner is further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon. Thereafter, he can file a modification petition after 30 days of conditions complied;

(d) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 02nd day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham.
The Inspector of Police, Paratharami P.S.