

State Vs. Suraj etc.

Remp-699/2022

Present: Sh. Sandeep, APP for the State.
Sh. Kamal Kumar, Advocate for accused Suraj.

Reply to the bail application of accused above named has been filed. Arguments heard on the bail application. Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the bail application and in view of the fact that no recovery is to be effected from the above-named accused and no useful purpose will be served by detaining the abovenamed accused in custody and trial of the case will take long time and accused is in custody since 13.10.2022, the accused abovenamed is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court.

Requisite bonds not furnished. File be put up on 10.01.2023 i.e the date already fixed.

Date of Order: 06.01.2023
Sonia Papneja, SG-III

(Rakesh Kumar)
Judicial Magistrate 1st Class
Hansi, U.I.D.No.-HR0448