

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF  
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

**Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,**  
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Friday, the 27<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Cr.M.P.No.949 of 2026**  
CNR.No.TNVL01-001405-2026

Selvam, (M-33), (Sole),  
S/o Thamizhvanan,  
No.25/C, Mariyamman Kovil Street,  
VTK Nagar, Katpadi,  
Vellore District.

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

The State represented by  
The Inspector of Police  
Gudiyatham Town P.S.  
Vellore District  
Tamil Nadu.  
Crime No.80 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru.J.Mahendrarvarman, learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

**O R D E R**

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 351(2) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of a false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 127.02.2026, stating that there was a wordy quarrel aroused between the petitionr and the defacto complainant. The injured discharged from the hospital. The petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against him and he is ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure his regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioner may be granted bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor filed objection and submitted that the injured was not taken treatment at any hospital and the petitioner/accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attempted to assault him and criminally intimidated him in a public place. The occurrence is stated to have taken place in a public office and the same is supported by CCTV footage, which prima facie establishes the involvement of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has previous criminal antecedents, including a case in Crime No.7/2025 under Sections 296(b) and 351(2) BNS. The investigation is still in progress and if the petitioner is released on bail, there is a likelihood of threatening the complainant and influencing witnesses and he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of FIR, it reveals that on 27.02.2026 at about 03.15 p.m., while the defacto complainant was present at the Sub-Registrar Office, the petitioner/accused allegedly abused him in filthy language, caught hold of his shirt, attempted to assault him, and threatened him with dire consequences. It is further alleged that the occurrence was witnessed by the public and captured in the CCTV footage. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that the injured was not taken treatment at any hospital and there is a case pending against the defacto complainant under the SC/ST (PoA), Act and due to existing enmity between the parties, there is a chance of group clash and strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence and particularly taking note of the possibility of clash between the parties, and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed:-

(a) The petitioner shall, in the event of his arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The petitioner shall appear and sign before **the Judicial Magistrate III, Tirupathur daily at 10.30 AM on all working days** until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the Magistrate Court;

(c) The petitioner is further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon. Thereafter, he can file a modification petition after 30 days of conditions complied;

- (d) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;
- (e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- (f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- (g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;
- (h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 27<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Vellore

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham.  
The Judicial Magistrate III, Tirupathur.  
The Inspector of Police, Gudiyatham Town P.S.