

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Friday, the 13th day of March, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.951 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-001400-2026

A1. Saraswathy, (F-52)
W/o Jayavel

A2. Seetha, (F-36)
W/o Palani
Both are residing at
No.4/77B, MGR Nagar
K.V.Kuppam
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioners/Accused

-vs-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
K.V.Kuppam P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.11 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. J.Nareshkumar, learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(3), 351(3) of BNS Act 2023, r/w Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that on 28.12.2025 there was a wordy quarrel arouse between the petitioners and the defacto complainant due

to civil and family dispute which ended in assault. The petitioners are innocents and they are no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against them and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that submitted that totally three accused involved in this case, petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2 respectively and the defacto complainant has undergone surgery on her right hand due to fracture sustained at the time of assault. Now the injured was discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioners and the investigation is going on and he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of FIR, is alleged that on 28.12.2025 at about 03:30 P.M. the defacto complainant was allegedly assaulted by petitioners due to civil dispute and scolded her in filthy language, threatened her to vacate the house and assaulted her using Iron Pipe and damaged her house caused loss to tune of Rs.2,000/-. Hence, the Present case. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioners and the victim has undergone surgery on her right hand due to fracture sustained at the time of assault and now the injured discharged from the hospital. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence, injured discharged from the hospital, no previous case against the petitioners and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed:-

(a) The petitioners shall, in the event of their arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- each, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi daily at 10.30 AM on all working days until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the Magistrate Court;

- (c) The petitioners are further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon. Thereafter, they can file a modification petition after 30 days of conditions complied;
- (d) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;
- (e) The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- (f) The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- (g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi /Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;
- (h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 13th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi.
The Inspector of Police, K.V.Kuppam P.S.