

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Monday, the 16th day of March, 2026

Cr.M.P.No.952 of 2026
CNR.No.TNVL01-001392-2026

Sakthivel, (M-27) (Sole)
S/o Anbazhagan
No.105, Mettu Street
Vaniyambadi
Thirupathur District
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Vellore Taluk P.S.
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.26 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. J.Mahendrarvarman, learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, no representation on the side of petitioner this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 118(1) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner in his petition averments stated that the above case was registered on the basis of a false complaint given by the defacto complainant due to family dispute. This is the 2nd Anticipatory Bail application. The petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against him and he is ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure his regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioner may be granted bail.

3. On notice, the learned Public Prosecutor filed his objections and submitted that the petitioner had already filed an Anticipatory bail before the Hon'ble High

Court of Madras and the same was dismissed on merits in CrI.O.P. No. 5778 of 2026. After dismissal of the said application, the petitioner filed an anticipatory bail application before this court in CrI.M.P. No.829 of 2026 and the same has been dismissed on 09.03.2026, now the petitioner has once again filed the present anticipatory bail application before this court and apart from that the petitioner has involved in three similar offence in various police stations and the investigation is going on and he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Heard the learned Public Prosecutor. No representation on the side of petitioner. As per the FIR content, it is alleged that wordy quarrel arose between both parties which ended in assault, consequently the present case has been registered. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has earlier filed an Anticipatory bail before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and the same was dismissed in CrI.O.P. No. 5778 of 2026 and the petitioner has involved in three previous cases, hence he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the representation made by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner had already filed anticipatory bail before the Hon'ble High court of Madras and the same was dismissed on merits, apart from that the petitioner has involved in three previous cases, hence this court is not inclined to entertain this petition.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Vellore

To
The Judicial Magistrate I, Vellore.
The Inspector of Police, Vellore Taluk P.S.