

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF  
VELLORE DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU**

**Present: Thiru.M.Elavarasan,**  
Principal Sessions Judge, Vellore.

Friday, the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Cr.M.P.No.953 of 2026**  
CNR.No.TNVL01-001390-2026

A4. Deiveegan, (M-23)  
S/o Gunasekaran  
No.2-145, Lingundram  
Erthangal, Gudiyatham Taluk  
Vellore District  
Tamil Nadu.

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

The State represented by  
The Inspector of Police  
Gudiyatham Town P.S.  
Vellore District  
Tamil Nadu.  
Crime No.82 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P.Mohanelu, learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

**O R D E R**

This is a petition for anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS 2023 for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner with support of the petition averments submitted that the above case was registered on the basis of a false complaint given by the defacto complainant stating that there was a wordy quarrel aroused between the petitioner along with three others and the defacto complainant. Injured discharged from the hospital. The case in counter case in Cr.No.83 of 2026. The petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the case and there is no previous case as against him and he is ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure his regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and prays that the petitioner may be granted bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that there are four accused in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A4 and the injured was discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioner and the investigation is going on and he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of FIR, it reveals that on 27.02.2026, during the Durga Amman temple festival procession, the defacto complainant danced in front of his house, which led to a quarrel with the petitioner/accused and others. Subsequently, on the next day when the defacto complainant and his brother went near Enikapuram area for discussion, the petitioner/accused and others abused and assaulted them, and co accused the defacto complainant with a knife causing cut injuries on his head and left arm and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the present case. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and the case in counter case in Cr.No.83 of 2026 and seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The learned Public Prosecutor filed his objection and submitted that there is no previous case is pending as against the petitioner and the injured discharged from the hospital and strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, no previous case as against the petitioner, injured discharged from the hospital, nature of offence and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed:-

(a) The petitioner shall, in the event of his arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham, daily at 10.30 AM on all working days until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the Magistrate Court;

(c) The petitioner is further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon. Thereafter, he can file a modification petition after 30 days of conditions complied;

(d) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham /Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Vellore

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham.  
The Inspector of Police, Gudiyatham Town P.S.