

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, VANIYAMBADI

PRESENT: **Tmt.K.Sripathi, B.A., L.L.B.,**

Judicial Magistrate,

Vaniyambadi

Wednesday 11th day of March 2026

CRL.M.P. No.465/2026

CNR.No.:TNTU09-000752-2026

Sathiskumar S/o Manokaran

...Petitioner/Accused

/VS/

Sub-Inspector of Police

Vaniyambadi Taluk Police Station,

Tirupattur District.

Cr.No. 80/2026

U/s. 303(2),326(a) BNS act

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s. 480 of BNS. in the presence of APP Grade -I for the complainant and Thiru.M.Kumaran., B.A.,B.L., Counsel for petitioner on hearing both side this court passed the following.

ORDER

1. This bail application filed U/s 480 of BNSS came before me, for disposal by this court in accordance with law and was taken on file by this court on 07.03.2026 issue notice to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for state and respondent police to file their reply.

2. Averments contains in the petition:

The learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused filed bail application on behalf of the accused stating that the accused was remanded to Judicial custody for offences U/s. 303(2),326(a) BNS act and placed in custody for the past 06 days since 06.03.2026. Further, stated that the petitioner/accused is not guilty and not committed the said offence and he was wrongly implicated with this case. That the said offence is non bailable in nature and further contented that he is a law abiding citizen and he will not abscond further he is ready to furnish the sureties to the satisfaction of this Court.

3. Averments contains in the reply of Respondent Police:

The respondent police replied that, The Petitioner/Accused is in the Vaniyambadi sub jail and having 5 cases pending(attached the separate sheet) and not filed the bail petition in any other court. If the Petitioner/Accused is released on bail he may abscond, again commit the same kind of offences. Hence, strongly objected to release the accused on bail.

4. Averments contains in the reply of Assistant Public Prosecutor:

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor replied that, as per I.O's report, The petitioner/accused is a Habitual offender. He was already involved in many criminal cases. (The previous case particulars details are enclosed by the IO concerned with separate sheet). On perusal of the case records this occurrence out offence U/s.21(1) of MMDR act and the same is liable by exclusively Hon'ble Sepecial Session Court only. Hence, According the facts and circumstance of this case, This Hon'ble court may be pleased to dismiss this bail application in limine.

5. On considering the available materials in hand and submission made by both sides, it is seen that the petitioner/Accused have been incarcerated for 06 days since 06.03.2026. Further, major portion of investigation seems to be completed. Having considered the above circumstances. Behold, on viewing the length of custody this court is inclined to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail with the mandate who abide by the conditions of bail as imposed here under.

a) The petitioner shall appear the concerned Police Station and sign daily at 10.30 A.M for 30 days without fail.

b) If the petitioner not followed the condition the bail dismissed on stands.

c) The petitioners/accused shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- and each the petitioner is directed to furnish two local sureties for Rs.10,000/- each.

d) The petitioners/accused shall not tamper or threatened the witness or impede with the process of investigation.

e) The petitioners/accused shall co-operate with Investigation Officer for the purpose of investigation.

f) The petitioners/accused shall be proceeded U/s.269 BNS in case on disobedience and failure to appear before the court.

In the result, **this petition is allowed.**

Dictated by me to the typist, directly typed by her in computer, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this 11th day of March 2026.

(Sd./-) K.Sripathi.
Judicial Magistrate,
Vaniyambadi.

//TRUE COPY//

Judicial Magistrate,
Vaniyambadi.