

**BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL,
SPECIAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE, TIRUPATTUR.**

**Present: Tmt.J.Nagalakshmi @ Vijayarani,B.A.,B.L.,
Motor Accident claims Tribunal Authority,
Special Subordinate Judge (FAC), Tirupattur.
Thursday, the 9th day of April 2026
M.C.O.P. No.09/2023 CNR.NO.TNTU04-000015-2023**

G.Velu, (39),
S/o.Late.Govindasamy,
Old No.45, New No.47/27/1,
Boopathi Gounder Street,
Natrampalli Town & Taluk,
Tirupattur District.

..... Petitioner

/vs/

1.G.Venkatesan, (45),
S/o.Govindasamy,
No.3/936, Nayanacheruvu,
Natrampalli Taluk,
Tirupattur District.

2.The Divisional Manager,
M/s.Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd.,
No.2, Dare House, 2nd Floor,
NSC Bose Road, Parrys,
Chennai 600 001.

3.T.Saraswathi, (40)
S/o.Thirumugam,
NM Vattam, Ammaiyappan Nagar,
Pakkirithakka,
Tirupattur District.

4.T.Praveenkumar, (46)
S/o.Thirumugam,
9/1 Ammaiyappan Nagar,
Pakkirithakka Post,
Tirupattur Taluk & District.

..... Respondents

The petition filed on 09.12.2022 and came up for final hearing in the presence of **Thiru.K.Palanisamy B.Sc., B.L.**, learned counsel for the petitioner. 1st, 3rd and 4th Respondents as set ex parte and **Tmt.K.Nirupama, B.Sc., B.L.**, learned counsel for the 2nd respondent after hearing both side arguments, perusing case records and having stood for consideration till this day, this tribunal passed the following:-

ORDER

This petition was filed by the Petitioner against the respondent under section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act claiming compensation of Rs.30,00,000/- as compensation against the respondent together with interest and cost from the date of accident.

1. The averments of the petition in brief :-

On 08.07.2021 at about 08.45 PM the 1st respondent owner cum driver drove the motor cycle TN 83 MA 0311 in a rash and negligent manner towards Natrampalli to Krishnagiri NH road (Natrampalli NH service road) at CPM and opposite the above said motor cycle dashed against the IN 83 M 1078 Bolero P pickup van while it was coming from the opposite direction towards

Natrampalli. Due to the head on collusion the petitioner who is the pillion rider of the motor cycle TN 83 MA 0311 through away from the motor cycle and fell on the rough surface of the road and sustained fracture on his both bone left leg and knee of the left leg and left hand wrist and all over the body. Immediately after the accident the petitioner was taken to Govt. Hospital Tirupattur for medical treatment on the same day the petitioner was referred to Dharmapuri Medical College Hospital for further medical treatment. Then the petitioner was taking treatment in Bengaluru City Hospital, Multispecialty & Trauma Centre for further medical treatment Surgery was conducted at Bengaluru City Hospital, Multispecialty & Trauma Centre and nail fixed at the fracture region. Still the petitioner is taking treatment in the private hospital also. Now the petitioner is not able to work, walk and becomes permanently disabled. The petitioner has lost his earning and earning capacity. The petitioner has spent more than Rs.5,00,000/- towards medical expenses. The petitioner estimate his claim for Rs. 30,00,000/- as compensation from the respondents. Now the petitioner is not able to continue his job also. A case U/s. 279 & 337 IPC was registered by the Natrampalli Police Station in Crime No. 279/2021 and the same is pending for investigation. The 1st respondent is the owner of the vehicle TN 83 MA 0311 Royal Enfield Motor Cycle the 2nd Respondent the insurer of the above said vehicle. 3rd Respondent is the owner of the TN 83 M 1078 Bolero Pick up and 4th Respondent the driver of the above said vehicle. So, all the respondents are jointly and severally liable to pay the compensation to the petitioner for the injuries sustained by her in the above said accident.

2. The 1st, 2nd and 3rd respondent set exparte

3.The Counter of the 2nd respondent has follows:

On 8-7-2021, at about 8-45 P.M., the 1 Respondent drove the motor cycle bearing Regn No TN-83-MA-0311, in rash and negligent manner at Natrampalli to Krishnagiri N.H., service road, at CPM land opposite, the said motor cycle dashed against the Bolero Pickup van bearing Regn No TN-83-M-1078, while it is coming from the opposite direction and due to the said impact the Petitioner, who was traveling as the Pillion rider in the said Motor cycle sustained injuries. The Petitioner is put to strict proof of the same. 2nd Respondent submitted that the said accident had occurred due to the negligence of the 4th Respondent, who was the driver of the 3rd Respondent's Pickup van and the 4th Respondent drove the van, without Insurance to his vehicle, in rash and negligent manner and dashed against the Petitioner's motor cycle and caused this accident. Hence the Natrampalli Police filed the FIR as against the 4th Respondent who was the driver of the said Bolero Pickup van in Cr No 279/2021. Hence this Respondent is an unnecessary party to this Proceedings. Since the accident had occurred due to sole negligence of the 4th Respondent, only the 3rd and 4th Respondents are liable to pay the compensation to the Petitioner and this Petition as against the 1st and 2nd Respondents was liable to be dismissed. The 2nd respondent breached the policy condition and hence 2nd respondent is not liable to pay compensation to the petitioner. The amount claimed is ex -aggregated and hence the petition is liable to be dismissed with costs.

4.The 1st petitioner is the examined as PW1 and the documents Ex.P1-Ex.P22 was marked. The 2nd respondent side examined Inspector of Police, Natrampalli Station as Rw1 and the documents Ex. R1. was marked. The 2nd

respondent side also examined the official of the Insurance company as RW2 and Ex.R2-R3 were marked.

5. Points for consideration:-

- 1) Whether the accident occurred due to the rash and negligence of the driver of the Bolero Pickup van bearing Regn No TN-83-M-1078 belonged to the 3rd respondent ?
- b) Whether the Petitioner is entitled to get the compensation from the respondent? If so what is the quantum? from whom?

6.POINT NO 1: -

(a) The petitioner's case is that, the accident occurred on 08.07.2021 at about 08.45 PM , opposite to the CPM land in the service road of Natrampalli to Krishnagiri National Highways. It is gathered from the documents that, the petitioner travelled as pillion rider in the ROYAL ENFIELD motor cycle bearing Regn No TN-83-MA-0311 belonged to the 1st Respondent (owner cum driver) and the same insured with 2nd respondent & the Bolero Pick up van bearing Reg.No. TN 83 M 1078 belonged to the 3rd Respondent and driven by the 4th Respondent at the time of Accident. Hence the tribunal inclined to appreciate the oral as well as document evidence put forth in this regard in order to decide the Negligence.

(b) In order to prove the case , the petitioner filed proof affidavit in consonance with the claim petition and examined as PW1. He categorically deposed that they have proceeded in the motor cycle from Natrampalli towards Krishnagiri in the service road,. slowly, cautiously in the extreme left

side of the road, at that time nearing opposite to CPM Land the above said Bolero Pickup van bearing Reg.No. TN 83 M 1078 came from opposite direction in a rash & negligent manner and hit against the twowheeler, due to that impact the petitioner thrown away from the two wheeler and sustained fracture in leg, hip, left hand and multiple injuries all over the body.

(c) The evidence of Pw1 clearly shows that the accident occurred due to the rash and negligence of the driver of Bolero Pickup as against the 4th respondent . The 3rd & 4th respondents/ real contesting respondents who are the owner cum driver of the vehicle not contesting the case and remained exparte. Though the 2nd respondent/ proper party elaborately cross examined Pw1, not denied the manner of accident. At the instance of the 2nd respondent RW1 examined who appeared on summons and the documents pertaining to investigation marked as Ex.R2 series also supports the case of the petitioner.

(d) It is also evident from the case records Ex.P2 that the petitioner immediate to the accident taken into Natrampalli GH and the same supports that he sustained injury due to that accident. The Criminal case registered on the basis of complaint statement given by the petitioner in Crime No. 90/2023 U/Sec 279,337 IPC on the file of Natrampalli P.S marked as Ex.P1, and in continuation thereof, after thorough investigation final report filed as against 4th respondent as per Ex. also corroborates the ocular evidence of PW1. The damages contained in MV Report (Ex.P16 &15) also strengthen then case of the petitioner. Hence the tribunal holds that the accident happened as per the manner stated in the petition.

(e) Based on available materials on records it is holds that the petitioner

proved his case as per the theory of preponderance of probability. the tribunal concluded that the accident caused due to act of the driver of Bolero Pickup van bearing Reg.No. TN 83 M 1078 and termed as offending vehicle . The point No. 1 is answered accordingly.

7. POINT NO.2 :

(A) The petitioner deposed that, he had sustained fracture on his both bone left leg and knee of the left leg and left hand wrist and all over the body as could seen the medical records marked as Ex.P21. The Accident register reveals that the petitioner immediate to the accident taken first aid in Tirupathur GH and referred for higher treatment as per referral slip Ex.P4. Then the petitioner admitted in Bangalore city hospital and treated as in patient from to as could revealed from discharge summary(Ex.P5). The progress sheet of treatment marked as Ex.P6. The certificate issued by Bristan hospital marked as Ex.P7. The referral slip of Bangalore city hospital to ESI hospital marked as ExP10. The treatment records of ESI Hospital for the period from to marked as Ex.P8. The test report of Bangalore care hospital marked as Ex.P9. The discharge summary of Dharmapuri GH marked as ExP11. The accident occurred due to the rash and negligence of the driver of the Bolero pickup as decided in point No. 1 .Hence the petitioner is entitled compensation from the 1st and 2nd respondents. This point No. 2 is answered accordingly.

(B) The 1st petitioner claimed Rs.30,00,000/- under various heads as compensation stating that the accident caused Permanent disability and hence it appropriate to discuss the same head by head:

(i) **DISABILITY:** The petitioner also referred to Medical board and doctors of the medical board had assessed the disability of the petitioner and given **Ex.C1**, the disability certificate. It shows the percentage of disability is 3%. Though the petitioner sustained injury it is failed to prove that the same affects his day - day activities and routine work. Upon considering the factors that the disability is not functional this tribunal inclined to apply “**Percentage method**”. Being the accident took place in contemporary period, it is pertinent to read the judgment in

Future General India Insurance Company Limited Vs Manivannan and 2 others, 2024(1) TN MAC 598

The division bench of hon'ble madras high court granted Rs.7,000 per percentage for an accident occurred in the year 2017, towards disability certified by the qualified Doctor or Medical Board."

Relying the dictum laid down in the above judgment, the accident occurred in the year 2023 an enhanced sum of Rs.8,500/- per percentage of disability is herewith applied. **Considering the disability in this case fixed as 3%, it can be calculated at the rate of Rs.8,000/- = Rs.25,500/- is awarded towards Disability**

(ii) **MEDICAL EXPENSES:** The 1st petitioner claimed Rs 5,00,000/- towards medical expenses. The Accident occurred on 08.07.2021 at 8.45 p.m. The petitioner taken in to Tirupathur Govt Hospital on the same day at immediate to the accident as contained in Accident register (Ex.P6). It contains the injuries Swelling in left Leg and abrasions in various part of the body and referred for higher treatment . Thereafter the petitioner taken treatment in the following private hospitals

BANGALORE CITY HOSPITAL : (A) The discharge summary (Ex.P5) reveals that he had treated as in patient from 09.07.2021 – 19.07.2021 and under gone surgery for LEFT PROXIMAL TIBIA SHAFT FRACTURE /T2DM nails were implanted and advised to take medication following to the treatment. The Progress report issued on the basis of scan report marked as Ex.P6. The test report of Bangalore Care diagnostics shows that the Pus formed in the operated portion and hence the same removed as per Aerobic method on 17.07.2021 as could revealed from the test report marked as Ex.P9

(B) The Final Medical Bill for the period of taken treatment as Inpatient shows the amount of **Rs.1,25,050/-** incurred medical expenses towards registration charges, MRD charges, Private ward charge, Nursing Charges, Dr. Shetty Consultant charges, Duty Doctor charges, Medicine charges, Orthopedic charges, Anesthetic charges, Implant charges, Instrument charges, Assistant Charges, OT Charges and dressing charges marked as Ex.P21.

(C) Though separate medical Bills filed the Bill No.1 to 4 related to advance of Rs.50,000/- + Rs. 15,000/- + Rs.20,000/- + Rs. 40,000/- paid on 07.07.2021, 10.07.2021, 17.07.2021 are from part of final bill marked as Ex.P21 and hence the same could not be taken into consideration. The Bill No.5 related to OPD consultation and dressing shows payment of Rs.700/- on 09.03.2022. The Bill No.6,7 related to purchase of medicine in pharmacy for on amount Rs.921/- & payment taken for X-ray on 09.03.2022. The Bill No.8,10,11, 12,14,16, 18,20, 22,24,26 related to the prescription dated 14.07.2021, 15.07.2021, 16.07.2021, 19.07.2021, 12.07.2021 11.07.2021, 17.07.2021. As per the said prescription the petitioner purchased medicine,

diapers and injection etc., in the pharmacy on the above said days for an amount of Rs.1,113/- + Rs.1,283/- + Rs.252/-+ Rs.100/-/- + Rs.1604/- + Rs.215/-+ Rs. +Rs.3261/-+Rs.1377/-,+Rs.2797/-,+Rs.1396/- vide bill No. 9,13, 15 , 17 , 21 , 23, 25 ,27. Bill No.28 related to purchase of medicine syringe in the pharmacy of are on amount of Rs.185 on 08.12.2022. The Bill No.29 related to PUS culture and Sensitivity in V care diagnostics, Bangalore and source payment of Rs.1600/- on 25.06.2022. For that the petitioner entitled the said Bill amount except the Bill No.1- 4 as rounded as Rs.16,619/-

Hence the Tribunal inclined to award compensation as contained in B + C Rs.1,25,050/- + 16,619/- = 1,41,669/- towards medical expenses incurred in Bangalore city hospital.

BRISTON HOSPITAL :

The petitioner referred from CITY Hospital Bangalore to CSI hospital for further procedures and follow ups as per the referral slip marked as Ex.P10. The records reveals that the petitioner approached the said hospital for REMOVAL OF IMPLANTATION & DEBRIDMENT & EXTERNAL FIXATION on 05.07.2022 as could revealed from the Certificate Ex.P7. But there is no medical bill produced and hence the tribunal not inclined to award compensation towards expenses incurred in Briston Hospital.

ESI HOSPITAL:

The discharge summary (Ex.P8) hows that he had treated as in patient from 22.07.2021 – 03.08.2021 in the department of ortho and also prescribed medication. But there is no medical bill produced and hence the tribunal not

inclined to award compensation towards expenses incurred in ESI Hospital .

DHARMAPURI MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL :

The discharge summary (Ex.P11) reveals that he had treated as in patient from 13.07.2022 – 1.08.2022 and under gone surgery dated 19.07.2022 for BB FRACTURE INFECT IMPLANT INSTITU . It reveals that Incision made over previous surgical site are proximal aspect of size, skin tissue raised and retracted. Fibrous tissue around the implant is elevated by using periosteal elevator . Implant seen left proximal tibia locking plat seen and 6.5 mm locking artical screws 3 loosened . The said treatment taken on free of costs and no medical Bills expected to be produced and hence the tribunal not inclined to award compensation towards expenses incurred in GH, Dharmapuri .

As discussed in detail, the tribunal inclined to award compensation of Rs. 1,41,669/- towards total Medical expenses.

(iii) LOSS OF INCOME: The Petitioner side has stated that he worked inG4S solutions India Private Limited and earned Rs.20,000/- p.m. The ID Card of the petitioner shows his designation as Senior Guard marked as Ex.P12.The Petitioner referred to medical Board and obtained certificate of disability The wound certificate reveals he got Grievous injury. Though the disability of the petitioner proved as 3% that there is no materials placed that such disablement affected her day to day activities / functional / affected occupation and hence the tribunal holds that there is no loss of earning caused to the petitioner. Hence the tribunal not inclined to award compensation for Loss of income.

At the same time considering the disability and period of treatment as inpatient as 15 days he ought to have absented from regular employment for the period of 2 months. The salary pay slip of April, May & June 2021 shows his monthly salary Rs.14084 + Rs.15075/-+ Rs.13589 /- = Rs14249/- rounded off as Rs.14,250/- p.m. . For the said period of absenting from regular work the 2nd petitioner entitled a sum of Rs.29,500/- (14,250x2=29,500) towards Loss of income during the period of Lay off

(iv) PAIN AND SUFFERINGS: It is apparent from medical records that the petitioner underwent surgery two times in Bangalore city hospital on 09.07.2021 in which nails implanted and then Dharmapuri medical hospital on 19.07.2022 due to the implanted nails cause pain to the petitioner. In the mean while he also suffered lot due to creation of Pus in the wounded area and also treated on 17.07.2021. Apart from that the petitioner constrained to approach two more hospital BRISTON HOSPITAL, Bangalore & ESI hospital due to the non procurement of injuries sustained in the accident.. It is apparent that the petitioner treated as inpatient for three separate period 09.07.2021 – 19.07.2021 and 22.07.2021 – 03.08.2021 and also 13.07.2022 – 1.08.2022 and thereby suffered lot due to pain around one year. The injury sustained in TIBIA is of Grievous in nature. Upon considering the said facts, the nature of injuries, duration of treatment, the pain and sufferings undergone by the petitioner, the tribunal inclined to award a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- as compensation under this head.

(v) TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES:The petitioner undergone treatment in Government Hospital in Ambur and Sri Narayani Hospital Vellore. The Trip

Sheet produced for an amount of Rs. 4500/- + Rs.4500/- marked as Ex.P22. It shows that the petitioner gone from Tirupathur GH to Bangalore hospital and Bangalore to Tirupathur vice versa contained the distance 150 K.m (300 km TO and FRO). It is apparent that the petitioner had taken treatment in four hospitals viz., Tirupathur GH, Bangalore City hospital, ESI Hospital , Bristan hospital, Dharmapuri GH as inpatient and also taken treatment as out patient. Upon Considering the distance between his residence and other hospitals the tribunal inclined to award Rs. 15,000/- towards transport expenses.

(vi) EXTRA NOURISHMENT: For the injury sustained the petitioner would have given extra nutritious food for speedy recovery and incurred some expenses in this regard and hence the tribunal inclined to award Rs.15,000/- towards extra nourishment

(vii) ATTENDER CHARGES: As the petitioner was hospitalized for period for fifteen days and hence naturally attender would have been there and for the attender also some expenses would have been occurred. Upon considering the period of treatment undergone by the petitioner the tribunal inclined to award Rs. 15,000/- towards attender Charges.

(viii) LOSS OF AMENITIES : It is clear from record that the petitioner was 31 years old at the time accident. Considering the nature of injuring and permanent disability of 3%, which he has to endure through out life, and hence tribunal inclined to award Rs. 30,000/- for loss of Amenities and happiness.

Finally, this tribunal award the following amount towards the claim of the petitioner under the given heads.

1	Towards disability	Rs.25,500/-
2	Towards Medical Expenses	Rs.1,41,669/-
3	Towards loss of Amenities	Rs.30,000/-
4	Loss of income	Rs. 29,500/-
5	Towards Pain & Suffering	Rs. 1,00,000/-
6	Extra Nourishment	Rs. 15,000/-
7	Attender Charges	Rs. 15,000/-
8	Transportation expenses	Rs.15,000/-
	Total	Rs.3,71,669/-

(9) LIABILITY :

Admittedly, the 1st respondent is the owner of the ROYAL ENFIELD bearing Regn No TN-83-MA-0311. The said vehicle insured with the 2nd respondent company as could be revealed from the contract of policy of insurance (Ex.P14) . At the time of accident the petitioner travelled in the 1st respondent's vehicle as a pillion rider and the 1st respondent who is drove the vehicle at the time of accident . The petitioner is not third Party with regard to the 1st and 2nd respondent are concerned. They have added as PROPER PARTY for adjudication and no relief claimed against the 1st and 2nd respondent.

Whereas, the 3rd respondent is concern he the owner of Bolero Pick up van bearing Reg.No. TN 83 M 1078 as could be revealed from Registration certificate Ex.P17. It is stated that the 3rd respondent's vehicle not insured and hence the insurance company not added as party. The accident occurred due to

the rash and negligence of the 4th respondent who drove the Bolero vehicle and caused accident as could be decided in Point No.1. Being the owner of the said vehicle, the 1st respondent is vicariously liable to pay the compensation for the negligence on his part of his driver. Hence the tribunal holds that the 3rd and 4th respondents are jointly or severally liable to pay compensation to the petitioner for the injury sustained in the accident. The point No. 2 is answered accordingly.

In the result, the petition is partially allowed as follows:-

(a) The Petitioner is awarded Rs.3,71,669/- **(Rupees Three Lakh Seventy One Thousand Six Hundred and Sixty Nine Only)** together with interest at 7.5% per annum from the date of petition till the date of deposit (Excluding the period for the dismissal for default if any).

(b) The 3rd and 4th respondents are jointly or severally liable to pay compensation to the petitioner. Both are directed to deposit the award amount within **one month** from the date of order by NEFT / RTGS mode directly into the account standing in the name of Special Subordinate Judge(MACT), Tirupattur at State Bank of India, Tirupattur Main Branch, Current Account No. **42888609189** under intimation to this Tribunal by way of sending pay advice slip and thereafter taken recourse against the 1st respondent as Per PAY and RECOVERY METHOD.

(c) The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the deposit amount on condition that to pay the remaining Court Fee of Rs.2717/- within 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of order. If not paid within the stipulated time,

the petitioner not entitled to claim interest for the aforesaid period. The disbursement of the amount shall be made by direct transfer to credit of his account by NEFT/RTGS mode given below.

(d) Advocate fee fixed for as Rs.10434/- shall be paid by NEFT/RTGS mode.

(e) The 3rd and 4th respondents are jointly or severally directed to pay a sum of Rs.13797/- being the cost of petitioner tabulated there in.

(f) The petition is dismissed as against the 1st and 2nd respondents for the reasons stated in the head of liability as decided in Point No.2

10. Following the Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of the Madras in M/s Cholamandal MS General Insurance Co.Ltd. /Vs/ Ayyanar and others reported in 2020(4) CTC 272, no decree is prepared. All the parties are entitled to free copies of award as per section 168(2) of Motor Vehicles Act

Other necessary Particulars

Date of petition	:	Respondent's side
Date of Award	:	09.04.2026
Amount of compensation claimed is	:	Rs.30,00,000/-
Amount of compensation awarded by this tribunal is	:	Rs.3,71,669/-
Court fee payable for the said amount is	:	Rs.3090/-
Court fee already paid is	:	Rs.373/-
Balance court fee to be paid is	:	Rs.2717/-

Cost List for the Petitioner

Particulars	Petitioner side	Respondent's side
Court fees	Rs.3090.00	
Vakalath Nama Stamp	Rs. 50.00	
Process fee	Rs. 28.00	
Advocate fee	Rs. 10434.00	----
Stamp of documents	Rs. 95.00	
Typing charges	Rs. 100.00	
Total	Rs. 13797.00	

Dictated to Steno Typist and directly typed by him in my laptop, corrected, pronounced by me in the open Tribunal on 9th day of April 2026.

Special Subordinate Judge(FAC),
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
Tirupattur District.

Petitioner Side Witness:-

PW1 Velu

Respondent side witness:-

RW1 Moorthy (Inspector of Police, Natrampalli).
RW2 Sangeetha

Petitioner side Exhibits:

Ex.P1 Copy of FIR

- Ex.P2 Copy of Accident register
- Ex.P 3 Copy of Tirupattur Govt. Hospital Wound certificate
- Ex.P 4 Original of Referral Slip
- Ex.P 5 Original of Bangalore City Hospital Discharge Summary
- Ex.P 6 Original of Bangalore City Hospital Doctors progress sheet
- Ex.P 7 Original of Pristine Hospital Treatment certificate
- Ex.P 8 Original of E.S.I. Hospital Discharge Summary
- Ex.P 9 Bangalore Care Diagnostics test report
- Ex.P 10 Bangalore City Hospital to CSI Hospital referral slip
- Ex.P 11 Copy of Dharmapuri Govt. Medical College Hospital Discharge Summary
- Ex.P 12 Copy of Employee in G4S Solutions India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore ID Card
- Ex.P 13 Original of Employee in G4S Solutions India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore Pay slip
- Ex.P 14 Copy of Insurance Policy
- Ex.P 15 Copy of TN 83 MA 0311 Royal Enfield Motor cycle MVI Report
- Ex.P 16 Copy of TN 83 M 1078 Bolero Pick up van MVI Report
- Ex.P 17 Copy of TN 83 M 1078 Bolero Pick up van RC Book
- Ex.P 18 Copy of 4th Respondent Driving License
- Ex.P 19 Copy of Petitioner Aadhar Card
- Ex.P 20 Copy of Petitioner Bank pass book
- Ex.P 21 Original of Medical Bills (No.20) Rs.1,82,354/-
- Ex.P 22 Original of Trip Sheet (No.2) Rs.8,000/-

Court side Exhibits :

NIL

Respondent side Exhibits:

- Ex.R1 Copy of FIR, Charge Sheet, MV Report, Judgment
- Ex.R2 Copy of Insurance Policy of the Vehicle belonging to the 1st Respondent
- Ex.R3 Copy of Investigation Report of the 2nd Respondent company

Special Subordinate Judge(FAC),
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
Tirupattur, Tirupattur District.