



/1/

IN THE COURT OF THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUPATTUR,
TIRUPATTUR DISTRICT.

Present : **Selvi. M. K. Anusha. M. L.**
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirupattur District.

Monday this the 27th day of April 2026
Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2057, Siri Parabhava Aandu 14th day of Chithirai Thingal.

Maintenance Case No. 132/2025
CNR NO. TNTU020127842025

1. Tmt.Gayathri W/o.Soundarajan (Age 25),
2. Minor.Sanjeev S/o. Soundarajan, (Age 2)
Rep. By her Gayathri,
Vaudugamuthampatti Village, Kurusilapattu Post,
Tirupathur Taluk and District.

...Petitioners

//Versus//

Soundarajan S/o. Lakshmanan (Age 33),
Lalapettai Village and Post, Selathan Vattam,
Andiyappanur Madhura,
Tirupathur Taluk and District.

...Respondent

This Maintenance case is coming up before me for Final hearing on 27.04.2026 in the presence of Tr.A.Sakthi,M.Com.,B.L., Counsel for Petitioners and the Respondent was called absent and set ex-parte for non appearance and upon consideration of evidences on hearing arguments of both sides and having stood over under my consideration till date, this Court delivers the following:

M.C. No.132/2025
Date:- 27.04.2026

M.K.Anusha,
C.J.M., Tirupathur



/2/

Order

The petitioners claim maintenance for the sum of Rupees 40,000/- per month towards petitioners per month and with cost.

1. The averments of the petition in brief:-

The first petitioner and respondent got married on 26.04.2021. The petitioner has performed all the acts as dutiful wife. The second petitioner born out of the wedlock. The petitioner is striving hard for living and managing child. Hence the first petitioner requires maintenance for maintaining basic necessity. The HMOP.No.162/2025 filed and same was pending. The respondent was earning a sum of rupees 70,000/- per month. The respondent is possess movable and immovable properties. Hence the petition.

2. Points for consideration:

1. Whether the respondent is having obligation to maintain the petitioners ?
2. Whether the petitioners are having sufficient means to maintain them self?
3. Whether the petitioners are entitled for maintenance ?
4. Whether the petitioners are entitled for a sum of rupees 40,000/- per month towards maintenance ?
5. To what other relief the petitioner are entitled to ?



3. Points No.1 to 4

The maintenance case is filed by the petitioners claiming maintenance for a sum of Rupees 40,000/- per month towards petitioners.

4. The respondent was set ex-parte for non-appearance. The petitioners side examined PW.1 and marked Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.5. This Court proceeded the case on the basis of materials available on record.

5. The Ex.P1 is a Wedding Invitation, Ex.P.2 is a Marriage Photo and Ex.P.3 is Xerox copy of Aadhar card for first petitioner. The perusal of said documents would show that first petitioner and respondent got married on 26.04.2021 and the petitioners are resident of Tirupathur. It is crystal clear that the relationship between first petitioner and respondent as husband and wife is well established. Ex.P.4 is Xerox copy of aadhar card for second petitioner. The Ex.P.5 is copy of petition in HMOP.No.162/2025 and the respondent admitted the relationship with petitioners in that case. The status of second petitioner as son of first petitioner and respondent is well established. The Ex.P.4 and Ex.P.5 are prima facie proof and evidence as to birth of second petitioner to first petitioner and respondent.

6. The evidence of PW.1, Ex.P1 to Ex.P.5 are sufficient to establish that the petitioners are striving hard for basic necessities. The respondent did not come forward



to dispute the means of petitioners. The evidences of petitioners are sufficient to arrive that they requires maintenance for meeting out basic necessities.

7. The assets and liabilities filed by the first petitioner would show that the petitioners did not have means and sufficient means to maintain themselves. The respondent did not come forward to dispute and also test the veracity of evidence of petitioners. The evidence is neither tested nor confronted by the respondent.

8. The petitioners may requires at least a sum of eight hundred rupees per day for meeting out the basic necessities. Considering the prevailing facts and circumstances, basic requirements to lead a life, this Court is of opinion that the petitioners may require a sum of Rs.24,000/- per month each to meet out the basic necessities such as food, shelter, clothing, medical and educational expenses etc. Therefore, this Court determines that the first petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.15,000/- per month and second petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.9,000/- per month to maintain themselves. The petitioners are entitled for maintenance from the date of petition. The respondent is directed to pay the arrears amount within the period of three months from the date of order.

9. For the reasons stated above the points are decided accordingly.



10. Point No.5

This Court determines that the first petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.15,000/- per month and second petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.9,000/- per month from the date of petition. The respondent is directed to pay the arrears amount within the period of three months from the date of order. Hence the petitioners are not entitled for any other relief.

11. For the reasons stated above the point is decided accordingly.

Result

12. In the result, the first petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand only) per month from the date of petition. The second petitioner is entitled to a sum of Rs.9,000/- (Nine thousand only) per month from the date of petition. The Respondent shall pay the said amount of Rs.24,000/- before 5th of every month. The petitioners are entitled for maintenance from the day of filing this petition. The respondent is directed to pay the arrears amount to the petitioners within the period of three months from the date of order. Maintenance Case is allowed accordingly and no cost.



/6/

Dictated by me directly to the Typist, computerized by her directly in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court, this the 27th day of April 2026.

M.K.Anusha,
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirupathur.

Petitioner side Evidence:-

PW.1 – Gayathri.

Petitioner side Documents:-

1.	26.04.2021	Wedding Invitation.	Ex.P1
2.	-	Marriage Photo.	Ex.P2
3.	04.01.2014	Xerox copy of aadhar card of first petitioner.	Ex.P3
4.	08.08.2024	Xerox copy of aadhar card of second petitioner	Ex.P.4
8.	-	Petition in HMOP.No.162/2025	Ex.P.5

Respondent side evidence and document – Nil.

Dated at Tirupathur Taluk and District this the 27th day of April 2026.

M.K.Anusha,
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirupathur.

M.C. No.132/2025
Date:- 27.04.2026

M.K.Anusha,
C.J.M., Tirupathur